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### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Tetra Tech (TT) have been appointed by Banks Group to produce a Transport Assessment (TA) and Travel Plan (TP) for submission with a planning application for a residential development of up to 251 units and provision of a Primary School on land west of Whinney Lane, Harrogate. Figure 1 in Appendix A shows the site location and the site masterplan is shown on the Pegasus Group drawing P22-4321_DE_001_011_B at Appendix B.
1.2 The TA has been produced having due regard for the advice contained in:

- National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) published by Ministry for Housing, Communities \& Local Government (MHCLG).
- MHCLG's Transport Assessment and Travel Plan guidelines set out in Planning Practice Guidance.
1.3 This report has been produced following extensive scoping discussions with highway officers at NYCC and the preparation of a parameters plan for the wider local plan allocation sites to the west of Harrogate. A Scoping Report was issued to NYCC in July 2019 and a revised scope was issued in January 2020 following amendments to the parameters plan. Further scoping discussions have taken place with NYCC regarding the cumulative assessments of the West of Harrogate sites and the TA has therefore adopted the parameters agreed for the cumulative assessments.
1.4 TT (formerly WYG) has previously considered the potential highways impacts of both the H51 and H70 residential allocations to the south-west of Harrogate and have had discussions with North Yorkshire CC and Harrogate Borough Council officers on these matters. TT have also undertaken an assessment of the approved residential development on site H70 for up to 130 units on land to the east of Whinney Lane.
1.5 The current application considers development of part of the H51 site on the west side of Whinney Lane, based on the principles set out for the masterplan of the full H 51 and H 70 site allocations and in accordance with the parameters plan.
1.6 The main purposes of the TA are to review the accessibility of the site for pedestrians, cyclists or users of public transport, to ensure there is a choice of transport mode, and to consider the impacts of traffic generated by the proposed development on the local road network and mitigate where necessary.
1.7 TT have also produced an Interim Travel Plan, submitted alongside the planning application under separate cover. The Interim TP has been prepared in broad accordance with the DfT publications Making Residential Travel Plans Work, North Yorkshire County Councils Travel Plan checklist and Good Practice Guidelines: Delivering Travel Plans through the Planning Process, presenting the parameters on which the Full TP is likely to be based.


### 2.0 EXISTING SITUATION

## Development Site

2.1 The development site comprises a parcel of agricultural land, which forms part of allocation H 51 , located to the west of Whinney Lane, south-west of Harrogate. The site is bounded by adjacent agricultural land to the north and east, by Whinney Lane to the south and Lady Lane to the west. Figure 1 at Appendix A shows the site location.

## Local Transportation Networks

2.2 Whinney Lane is a single carriageway road which has a north-east to south-west alignment between Pannal Ash roundabout in the north and a T-junction with Lady Lane and Hill Top Lane in the south. It has residential development on both sides of the road for the first c. 175 m , south from Pannal Ash roundabout, then residential development only on the east side for a further 100 m , thereafter the road is a rural character until the Squinting Cat public house where there is a small cluster of homes.
2.3 Whinney Lane has a variable carriageway width along its length, although the carriageway has been widened to 6.5 m between the Stonebridge Homes site access and Castle Hill Drive. There are 2 m footways provided on both sides of Whinney Lane between the Stonebridge Homes site access and Castle Hill Drive to the north east of the proposed site access. Footway provision continues to the west of Castle Hill Drive on both sides of Whinney Lane to the Yew Tree Lane roundabout. To the south of the proposed site access there is a continuous footway provided on the western side of Whinney Lane which varies in width.
2.4 Waiting is not restricted on Whinney Lane except in the vicinity of the Squinting Cat public house, here there are No Waiting at Any Time restrictions on both sides of Whinney Lane for a distance of c. 330 m north of the junction with Hilltop Lane. From Pannal Ash roundabout, south along Whinney Lane, the road is subject to a 30 mph speed limit for some 700 m and is also lit by a system of street lighting. Further south, by the Squinting Cat, Whinney Lane has no street lighting and is subject to the National Speed Limit.
2.5 Lady Lane is an unlit, rural, single carriageway road which is subject to the national speed limit. It generally has a north-west to south-east alignment connecting to Beckwith Head Road/ How Hill Quarry Road junction in the north west to the Whinney Lane / Hill Top Lane junction. The carriageway has a variable carriageway width of between c .4 .5 m and c .5 .4 m with wide grass verges either side of the carriageway which range from between c. 0.9 m and c .3 .8 m wide along its eastern side and from c .1 .9 m to c .6 .3 m wide along its western side.
2.6 Hill Top Lane, later named Hill Foot Lane, is an unlit, rural, single carriageway road which has a variable carriageway width of between c.4.1m and c.5.5m. Hill Top Lane has a north to south alignment from the T-junction with Whinney Lane to Fall Lane which continues west to east as Hill Foot Lane. The road is subject to the national speed limit for c .750 m south of the Whinney Lane Tjunction which then changes to a posted 40 mph speed limit for c .860 m . The speed limit then changes to 30 mph through Burn Bridge village. Hill Top Lane forms the south-eastern continuation of Lady Lane to a mini-roundabout junction with Burn Bridge Lane on the outskirts of Burn Bridge
village. Burn Bridge Road has traffic calming in the form of speed cushions from the miniroundabout junction with Hill Foot Lane to the mini-roundabout with Malthouse Lane. Burn Bridge Lane forms a T-junction with the A61 to the south of Burn Bridge village which provides a route to Leeds.
2.7 Beckwith Road forms the western arm of the Pannal Ash roundabout which runs in a north west to south east alignment to form a priority junction with the B6162 Otley Road in the west. It has residential development on both sides of the road and is a bus route. Beckwith Road is a street-lit, single carriageway road with a carriageway width of approximately 7.3 m and 2.0 m wide footway on both sides. There are no waiting restrictions on Beckwith Road and it is subject to a 30 mph speed limit with traffic calming along the route in the form of speed cushions.
2.8 Pannal Ash Road forms the northern arm of the Pannal Ash roundabout and runs broadly northwards to a signalised crossroads junction with the B6162 Otley Road and Manor Drive. Pannal Ash Road has residential development on both sides of the road and serves Rossett Sports Centre, Rossett Acre Primary School and Rossett School. It is a bus route and, in part, a cycle route. The road has a carriageway width of approximately 6.1 m and 2.0 m wide footway on both sides for most of the route and at least on one side for the full length. There are no waiting restrictions on Pannal Ash Road and there is white edge of carriageway lines along both sides of the road. Pannal Ash Road is subject to a 30 mph speed limit for c .340 m from its junction with Otley Road to Rossett Holt Drive and then subject to a 20 mph speed limit for c .640 m to Pannal Ash roundabout, including Rossett Acre Primary school. There is a Puffin pedestrian crossing at the entrance to Rossett Acre Primary school, Rossett School and Rossett Sports Centre.
2.9 Green Lane forms the eastern arm of Pannal Ash Roundabout and has a north-west to south- east alignment from the roundabout to a priority junction with Leadhall Lane. It is largely residential in character, but serves Rossett School, a local secondary school, on the north side adjacent to the roundabout, and Ashville College, an independent school for pupils between the ages of 4 and 18. The road is a street-lit, single carriageway road with a typical carriageway width of c.7.3m. There is a continuous 1.5 m wide footway along the south side of the road, and a similar width footway on the north side between Pannal Ash roundabout and Rossett School. There are no waiting restrictions on Green Lane, except for No Stopping outside the Rosset School and Ashville College entrances, and it is subject to a 30 mph speed limit.
2.10 Yew Tree Lane has a north-east to south-west alignment from the Pannal Ash Roundabout to form a mini-roundabout with Burn Bridge Road and Spring Lane. Approximately 1km of the northern section of Yew Tree Lane has residential development on both sides, with an access to Ashville College car park, and Ashville College sports fields, with 700 m of the southern section being rural in character, before entering Burn Bridge village. The residential section of Yew Tree Lane is a streetlit, single carriageway road with a typical carriageway width of 6.0 m with 2.0 m footway on both sides for 650m and continues on the east side with a varied width.
2.11 The B6162 Otley Road has a broadly east to west alignment which begins at the A61 Leeds Road/ A6040 York Place roundabout. To the west, the B6162 Otley Road meets a roundabout with Pot Bank where the B6161 continues southwards through Leathley where it is named the B6161 Leathley Lane. From this point, the B6161 continues eastwards to form a priority junction with the A658 north of Pool in Wharfedale.
2.12 Within the Harrogate built-up area, the B6162 Otley Road serves mainly residential areas of Harrogate from a number of priority junctions, as well as the RHS Harlow Moor Gardens and Cardale Park - a major employment area. Within Harrogate, the B6162 is street-lit, has a typical carriageway width of 7.3 m with $2.0 \mathrm{~m}-3.0 \mathrm{~m}$ wide footway on the south side with $1.5 \mathrm{~m}-1.8 \mathrm{~m}$ wide footway on the north side and is a bus and cycle route. On the south side of the B6162, there are areas of residential on-street parking separated by double yellow line waiting restrictions, with double yellow line waiting restrictions also present on the north side. The B6162 has a posted speed limit of 30 mph within Harrogate which changes to National Speed Limit, some 25 m west of the Beckwith Head Road junction.
2.13 In scoping discussions NYCC have requested a review of a number of highway links in the vicinity of the Castle Hill West site and other development sites to the west of Harrogate. A summary of this review is provided in Table C1 in Appendix C. In conclusion it is considered unlikely that the Castle Hill West development would result in a significant impact on the majority of the links highlighted by NYCC. Further consideration of the potential impact of the development on adjacent junctions is provided later in the report.

## Walking/ Cycling

2.14 Within the vicinity of the site, there are a number of existing public footways and bridleways which provide access across the wider network which are shown in Figure 2 at Appendix A. Within close proximity to the site, there are public rights of way connecting to Lady Lane in the west, with routes continuing to the east of site H 70 via a route connecting to Yew Tree Lane to the south of Rossett College. Improvements to the public right of way between H 70 and Yew Tree Lane have been completed by Banks during 2022 provide a surfaced route to encourage walking and cycling in the area.
2.15 The Harrogate Ringway runs to the south of the site and is a $32-\mathrm{km}$ circular walking route around Harrogate, with $5-6.5 \mathrm{kms}$ of the route being on country lanes and pathways. The route is accessible from Yew Tree Lane and connects to Hill Top Lane, approximately 300 m south of the public right of way which runs along the rear of H 70 . To the north, connections to the B6162 Otley Road can be made, and to the south, the public right of way routes to Pannal before following a route around the town with connections to other rights of way from this route to the wider areas of Harrogate available. The route also provides a connection to the Knaresborough Round route to the northeastern side of Harrogate.
2.16 There is a local cycle route which provides access to the National Cycle Route 67 (NCN67) that is accessible from St. George’s Road, Westbourne Avenue, Alderson Road and St.James' Drive. NCN67 is situated to the east of the site and can be accessed via the existing footway provisions, through on road-cycle access and via the public right of way routes. This route runs locally from Ripley along the Nidderdale Greenway to the north of Harrogate before continuing through the town, past the showground and southwards towards Wetherby where it links to the wider national cycle network.
2.17 National Cycle Route 636 is situated to the north east of the site and runs between Harrogate and Knaresborough and is approximately 6.5 kms in length. The route is a combination of urban / rural and runs along Bilton Lane, commencing at Dragon Cycleway and provides a link between the two
towns without the requirement to use the A59. The National Cycle Routes in relation to the site are shown in Figure 3 at Appendix A.
2.18 In addition to the above facilities, there are existing footways provided adjacent to residential streets to the north and east of the site, which provide access to surrounding local facilities. The accessibility from the site to nearby destinations on foot or by bike is considered in more detail in Section 4 of the report.

## Public Transport

2.19 The nearest bus stops to the site are situated to the north east of the development at Pannal Ash Roundabout and can be seen in Figure 4 of Appendix A.
2.20 A bus stop is located on Pannal Ash Road, 60 m north of the roundabout junction with Yew Tree Lane. This stop is approximately a 750 m walk from the centre of the site. This stop is a flag stop, with timetable information with no bus stop lay-by or cage provisions and no existing shelter or access provisions. This stop is served by the number 6 route operated by Harrogate Bus Company.
2.21 Another bus stop is provided on the eastbound approach to the roundabout junction on Beckwith Road and is approximately 780 m walk distance from the centre of the site. This stop is also a flag stop with timetable only with no shelter or access provisions currently in place. This stop is also served by the number 6 route operated by Harrogate Bus Company.
2.22 The services available from these stops are discussed in more detail in Section 4 of this report.

## Committed Development

2.23 The TA will consider the traffic impacts of local planning permissions which affect the road network local to the development, as agreed with NYCC during scoping discussions. NYCC have identified a number of sites for inclusion in assessments as committed development, which are summarised in Table 2.1 below.

Table 2.1 - Committed Development Sites

| Local Plan <br> Allocation <br> Ref. | Site Name (no. dwellings) | Planning Portal Ref |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H74 | Crag Lane/Harlow Grange (125) | $14 / 00259 /$ OUTMAJ |
| H46 | Otley Road (Horticap) (125) | $15 / 01999 /$ EIAMAJ |
|  | Pannal business park (120 + other) | $14 / 02804 /$ OUTMAJ |
| H50 | Penny Pot Lane (600) | $14 / 02737 /$ EIAMAJ |
| H88 | Beckwithknowle employment | $16 / 01066 /$ FULMAJ |
| H88 | Beckwith Knowle employment | $17 / 00094 /$ OUTMAJ |
| H71 | Skipton Road phase 1 (210) | $14 / 00854 /$ OUTMAJ |


| Local Plan <br> Allocation <br> Ref. | Site Name (no. dwellings) | Planning Portal Ref |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H72 | Skipton Road phase 2 (135) | $14 / 02944 /$ OUTMAJ |
| H73 | Skipton Road (Bellway-part) (170) | $14 / 03119 /$ FULMAJ |
| H51 | Whinney Lane - Mulgrave (40) | $18 / 02960 /$ FULMAJ |
| H6 | St Georges former BT training (88) | $15 / 05478 /$ OUTMAJ |
| H70 | Castle Hill Farm, Whinney Lane (130) | $17 / 05595 /$ OUTMAJ |

2.24 Whilst it is understood that a number of the committed development sites have commenced on site the predicted traffic flows from the relevant TA's have been added to the baseline surveyed flows in order to provide a robust assessment developments. Traffic flow diagrams for the committed development traffic are included in Appendix D.
2.25 In addition to the committed developments identified above the impacts of a number of sites to the west of Harrogate that have been allocated in the Local Plan but that have not received planning approval have also been assessed in a separate cumulative impact assessment report produced on behalf of the developers. This assessment has considered the cumulative effects of the committed and allocated development sites and identifies appropriate mitigation measures necessary. Table 2.2 below summarises the additional sites assessed as part of the cumulative impact assessment.

Table 2.2 - Cumulative Impact Assessment Sites

| Local Plan <br> Allocation <br> Ref. | Site Name (no. dwellings/ GFA) |
| :--- | :--- |
| H16 | Employment Allocation (12,000sm <br> B1/B2/B8) |
| H28 Employment Allocation (16,000sm B2/B8) |  |
| H36 | Police Training centre, Yew Tree Lane (200) |
| H45 | Bluecoat Park (480) |
| H49 | Windmill Farm (890 dwellings, primary <br> school and local centre) |
| H51 | Lady Lane/Whinney Lane (750 dwellings, <br> 2.43 hectares of employment) |
| H70 | Castle Hill Farm (remaining 100 dwellings) <br> K25 |
| Highfield Farm, Knaresborough (402 |  |
| dwellings) |  |

The results of the cumulative impact assessments are considered further later in the report.

### 3.0 PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

3.1 The development proposals are for up to 251 residential units and provision of a Primary School on part of the H 51 allocation site. The proposed layout is shown on the Pegasus Group drawing P224321_DE_001_011_B at Appendix B.

## Access

3.2 The development will be accessed via a new arm onto the existing roundabout junction on Whinney Lane.
3.3 A compact 4-arm roundabout junction also provides access to the approved residential development on site H70 to the east of Whiney Lane. The proposed layout is shown on Wardell Armstrong drawing NT16079-004 Rev C in Appendix B.
3.4 As shown in the site masterplan at Appendix B the internal site layout has been designed with a single point of access from Whinney Lane, however the layout also provides for future vehicular connections to the wider H 51 site allocation in order to ensure permeability of both sites and to enable future public transport improvements.
3.5 Footways with a width of 2.0 m are to be provided on both sides of Whinney Lane between the roundabout access and the existing footway provision to the north of the site. A 2 m footway will also be provided on the north side of Whinney Lane to the south of the roundabout access tying in to the existing footway provision to the south of the site providing a continuous route along Whinney Lane. Refuge splitter islands are to be provided on each arm of the roundabout to facilitate pedestrians crossing Whinney Lane and the site access.
3.6 Following construction of the access to the H 70 site to the east the carriageway width of Whinney Lane has also been widened to 6.5 m along the site frontage as per the Local Distributor road type in the NYCC Design Guide to accommodate future bus access.
3.7 The proposed development also includes provision of a new half width bus layby on Whinney Lane adjacent to the roundabout junction. The bus layby was considered as part of the development of H70 and deemed acceptable. The layby would be implemented once public transport services are diverted via the site/ Whinney Lane. The layout of the bus layby is shown on WYG Drawing No. A081951-3 91-18-C003 Rev H in Appendix B.
3.8 The proposed site layout includes provision of footways/cycleways adjacent the main spine road within the site in addition to a separate shared use foot/ cyclepath within the site running parallel to the southern section of Whinney Lane to connect into a separate east/ west shared use foot/cycle path. The layout also includes a separate footpath link running adjacent to Lady Lane. In addition to the proposed vehicular access point the site layout will also provide a number of separate pedestrian access points on Whinney Lane and Lady Lane providing links to the existing footway and PROW network in the vicinity of the site as well as proposed connections to the wider H51 development site to ensure permeability of the proposed layout for non-car users.

## Servicing

3.9 The internal site layout is indicative at this stage, however the development layout will be designed
to ensure a refuse vehicle and fire tender can adequately access the site with appropriate turning heads provided.

## Car Parking

3.10 Car parking will be provided in accordance with appropriate NYCC parking standards. Visitor parking will be provided throughout the development.

### 4.0 ACCESSIBILITY

4.1 NPPF was updated in July 2021, replacing the previous version of the Framework. At Paragraph 104 c) NPPF identifies "opportunities to promote walking, cycling and public transport use are identified and pursued" for development proposals and at Paragraph 105 it indicates "opportunities to maximise sustainable transport solutions will vary between urban and rural areas, and this should be taken into account in both plan-making and decision-making". The accessibility of the development has been considered based on this guidance.

## Walking

4.2 TT (formerly WYG) have analysed walking for all purposes as the main mode of travel (from home) by interrogating data collected through the National Travel Survey (NTS), to calculate the average and 85th percentile distances travelled. The survey data was collected from 7,700 households covering over 18,000 individuals, and so provides a robust sample which can be reviewed for variations across UK regions and variations between different reasons for travelling. The analysis has revealed the average distance people walk is 1.15 km and the 85 th percentile distance is 1.95 km . The NTS data is available on request and was reported in Local Transport Today in October 2017.
4.3 For this review an acceptable maximum walk distance of 1.95 km has been adopted, i.e. approximately a 24 min walk (at a typical walking speed of 1.3 m per sec ) from the centre of the proposed development. These have been assessed with due consideration to the local public rights of ways identified in Figure 2 and the existing pedestrian connections discussed in Chapter 2. A walking catchment plan is shown at Figure 5 at Appendix A.
4.4 The internal footway networks within the site would connect to the existing footway provision on the west side of Whinney Lane, as well as providing connections to adjacent developments both on allocation sites H 51 and H70. The enhanced footway provision on Whinney Lane would provide the main connection to existing pedestrian routes from the site to local schools and facilities in surrounding areas.
4.5 At the Pannal Ash roundabout, there are currently dropped kerbs and tactile paving on Green Lane and Pannal Ash Road crossing points. To further facilitate movements across the junction from Whinney Lane there is potential to provide a pedestrian crossing refuge by extending the existing splitter island into the area of hatching on the approach from Beckwith Road, with dropped kerbs and tactile paving provision provided on the approaches. This will facilitate movements to the eastern side of Pannal Ash Road and to the northern side of Beckwith Road where there are existing bus stops as well as providing accessible routes towards the schools and facilities situated along these routes.
4.6 On Pannal Ash Road there is a signalised pedestrian crossing at the junction with the Rossett Acre Primary School allowing full pedestrian movements across the carriageway on all approaches and access from the existing bus stops.
4.7 Beyond the new primary school proposed on site the nearest primary school is Rossett Acre Primary School which is approximately 1.1 km from the centre of the site in a northbound direction
via Whinney Lane and Pannal Ash Road. This school is accessible via the existing pedestrian network and the proposed footway along the western side of Whinney Lane.
4.8 Rossett School is the nearest secondary school with a sixth form and is situated to the north of Green Lane. This school is approximately 1.1 km from the centre of the site via Whinney Lane and Pannal Ash Road.
4.9 Both the Stagecoach Harrogate Theatre Arts School and the Rossett Sports Centre are located to the north of the proposed development to the rear of the Rossett School. The Theatre Arts School provides opportunities for 14-18 year olds to participate in drama, music and theatrical classes. The Sports Centre provides access to a range of indoor and outdoor sporting facilities including tennis pitches, gymnasiums and artificial sports pitches. These sites are approximately 1.2 km north of the site via Whinney Lane.
4.10 The nearest convenience store is the Co-op located north east of the site on Otley Road to the north of its junction with Pannal Ash Drive. The store can be reached within a c1.7km walking distance via existing footways provided.
4.11 The Squinting Cat is the nearest public house which also offers a restaurant. The site is situated to the south of the proposed development and is within close walking proximity within approximately 400 m via Whinney Lane.
4.12 Cardale Park, to the south of the B6162 Otley Road, can be reached within a 1.8 km walk from the centre of the site and provides potential job opportunities.
4.13 There are a number of local education, employment, retail, health and community facilities which could be reached on foot from the development site.

## Cycling

4.14 TT have analysed cycling for all purposes as the main mode of travel (from home) by interrogating data collected through the NTS, to calculate the average and 85th percentile distances travelled. The survey data was again collected from 7,700 households covering over 18,000 individuals. The analysis revealed the average distance people cycle is 4.3 km and the 85th percentile distance is 7.25 km . The NTS data is available on request.
4.15 For this review an acceptable maximum cycle distance of 7.25 km has been adopted, i.e. approximately a 36 -minute ride (at a typical cycle speed of 200 m per minute) from the centre of the proposed development. A cycle catchment plan is shown in Figure 6 at Appendix A.
4.16 Residents at the development site could cycle to a wide range of education, employment, retail, health and community opportunities, some of which they may view as beyond a reasonable walk distance. A 10 -minute bike ride ( 5 km ) reaches all of those destinations which are within a 25 -minute walk ( 2 km ).
4.17 To the north-east of the site, all of Harrogate Town Centre is accessible within the 85th percentile cycling catchment. Within this catchment access to additional education facilities can be reached which includes Harrogate Grammar School, Harrogate High School St John' Fisher Catholic High School and St Aiden's Church of England High School in addition to further retail and employment opportunities both within the town centre.
4.18 To the south, Pannal and Burn Bridge can also be reached where there are a number of additional food-stores and local shops including a Co-op Food-store as well as Pannal Primary School also being accessible within approximately 3.5 km .
4.19 In addition to education and retail facilities, healthcare is accessible within a 5 km cycle catchment with the nearest doctors’ surgery being Leeds Road Surgery, with Harrogate District Hospital also being accessible within the catchment area.
4.20 Within the extents of the cycle catchment, further access to retail opportunities is available which includes Harrogate Retail Park, situated to the north of the site where there is a B \& Q and Pets at Home store. Plumpton Retail Park is located to the north-east of the site where a Morrison's, Homebase and PC World are situated along with a number of smaller retail units.
4.21 Hornbeam Park Railway Station is situated south of Hookstone Road and is accessible within a 3km cycle ride from the centre of the site and has cycle storage facilities. Rail services from Hornbeam Park include those to Leeds, Knaresborough and York. Pannal Station is also located approximately 3 km to the south of the site and also provides access to trains towards York/ Leeds. Hornbeam Park station provides cycle parking including cycle lockers and cycle stands. Cycle stands are also provided at Pannal station
4.22 There are a range of education, employment, retail, health and community opportunities which could be reached by bike from the development site.

## Public Transport - Bus

4.23 TT (formerly WYG) have analysed distances for those trips where walking was the 1st stage mode of travel and bus was the 2nd stage mode of travel. The NTS data from 2010 to 2012 was used to calculate the average and 85th percentile walk distances to a bus stop. The analysis, published in Logistics and Transport Focus March 2018, shows, outside of London, the average distance people walk to a bus stop is 580 m and it can be concluded at 580 m there is a good prospect people would walk to a bus stop.
4.24 The nearest existing bus stops to the development site, as shown in Figure 4 in Appendix A, are the stops located on Beckwith Road and Pannal Ash Road. The bus stop located on Beckwith Road is approximately an 780 m walking distance from the centre of the site, with the bus stop on Pannal Ash Road an approximate 740m walking distance, both of these stops are served by the 6 service. Although these stops are beyond the normal target distance of 400 m , they are within the 85th percentile and at that distance there is still a good prospect that residents will use the services at these stops.
4.25 The consented H70 to the east of development provided for the construction of a bus stop at some point in the future to the north of the roundabout including the provision of a half width layby on Whinney Lane.
4.26 As noted earlier in the report discussions have been undertaken with bus operators regarding the diversion of existing services to serve the proposed development and other developments coming forward in the surrounding area in the future.
4.27 Both existing stops have a flag / pole design with timetable information provided although there are no shelters provided. The new bus stop including flag and pole on Whinney lane will come forward via S278 works.
4.28 Table 4.1 below summarises the local bus services.

Table 4.1 - Bus Routes Accessible from the Development

| Route No. | Route Description | Location of Bus <br> Stop | Monday to <br> Saturday | Sunday |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 | Harrogate to Pannal Ash <br> Harrogate Bus Station, <br> Harrow Hill, Pannal Ash | Beckwith Road / <br> Pannal Ash Road | Half hourly | Hourly |

4.29 The current number 6 service offers regular and frequent journey opportunities to Harrogate Town Centre where local facilities can be accessed and proposals to extend the service would further enhance the site's accessibility.
4.30 This development site forms part the H 51 allocation and adjoins the H 70 allocation which will provide around 900 new homes in total. A comprehensive approach to the delivery of these sites and their public transport provision will be necessary and is being promoted by Banks Group. The development provides for this comprehensive approach by the provision of infrastructure which supports this specific site, but also the greater needs of the wider allocations. As a result, the proposed site access junction also serves site H70. A bus layby is provided to facilitate future bus access as H 51 and H 70 are developed. This will therefore make provision for long-term bus routing but would also permit an extension of existing services, when practical.

## Conclusion

4.31 The development site is accessible on foot, by bike and public transport to a range of local destinations across the local and wider Harrogate area via the existing network of paths and routes.
4.32 The site forms part of the H 51 allocation and provides the necessary highway infrastructure to facilitate bus access in the future when the level of housing development increases. Site H 51 also provides a primary school, food retail, recreation, and additional employment opportunities.

### 5.0 HIGHWAY SAFETY

5.1 Road traffic accident records for the 3-year period between the 1st January 2017 and the 29th February 2020, prior to covid lockdown/ travel restrictions, have been obtained from Highways North Yorkshire at North Yorkshire County Council for the local road network which has been assessed for highway capacity.
5.2 In addition, more recent accident data for 2020 and 2021 for the study network has been obtained from the Crashmap website and has been reviewed as a comparison with the pre-covid accident records. Copies of the accident records are attached in Appendix E.

## Whinney Lane/ Site Access Roundabout

5.3 There were no reported accidents within 250 m in both directions of the Whinney Lane/ Site Access roundabout junction during the 3-year assessment period.

## Prince of Wales Roundabout (A61 Leeds Road/ West Park/ B6162 Otley Road)

5.4 There were ten reported accidents at the Prince of Wales roundabout junction during the 3-year assessment period. Table 5.1 below presents a summary of the accidents that occurred.

Table 5.1 - Access Summary - Prince of Wales Roundabout

| Ref No | Location | Lighting | Rd Surface | Severity | Casualties |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1800608 | B6162 Otley <br> Road, <br> Harrogate | Daylight | Wet/Damp | Slight | 1 Pedestrian |

A pedestrian walked across B6162 Otley Road on the two-lane approach to the Prince of Wales Roundabout. A car stopped to allow the pedestrian to cross the first lane. Another car travelling east in the second lane, did not see the pedestrian as they crossed the road from the other side of the stationary car and the pedestrian was unaware that there was a second lane. The pedestrian walked out into the second lane and the car ran over their foot.
Contributory Factors: Failed to look properly, Crossed road masked by stationary vehicle

| 2000137 | A61 West Park <br> at $\mathrm{j} / \mathrm{w}$ Raglan <br> Street | Darkness | Dry | Slight | 1 Driver |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

A car travelled west on Raglan Street and stopped at the junction with A61 West Park. The driver looked left to check for any oncoming vehicles on the one-way street and pulled out onto A61 West Park. A car travelled southbound on A61 West Park, the wrong way on the one-way street, and the car pulled out without seeing the southbound car which led to a collision.
Contributory Factors: Other, Failed to look properly, Illegal turn or direction of travel

| 2000067 | A61 Swindon <br> Lane, <br> Harrogate | Daylight | Wet/Damp | Slight | 2 Drivers |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

A car travelled south on the A61 and attempted to overtake the car in front. Another car travelled in the opposite direction which forced the southbound car to get back in lane, and in doing so, the car has collided with the car in front.
Contributory Factors: Loss of control, Illness or disability, mental or physical

| Ref No | Location | Lighting | Rd Surface | Severity | Casualties |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1800434 | B6162 Otley <br> Road, <br> Harrogate | Daylight | Dry | Slight | 1 Pedestrian |

A car travelled east towards the Prince of Wales roundabout in the nearside lane of B6162 Otley Road and came to a stop at the end of a queue in heavy traffic. Another car travelled east in the offside lane of the B6162 Otley Road. As the offside lane car passed the nearside lane car, a pedestrian walked out into the offside lane and collided into the car.

Contributory Factors: Failed to look properly, Stationary or parked vehicle

| 1800015 | A61 York Place <br> at j/w Prince of <br> Wales r/about | Daylight | Dry | Slight | 1 Pedestrian |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

A car travelled westbound on A61 York Place and approached the Prince of Wales roundabout where it stopped to give way. A pedestrian attempted to cross A61 York Place from south to north and side stepped into the carriageway and into the path of the car. The car collided into the pedestrian.
Contributory Factors: Failed to look properly, Failed to judge vehicles path or speed, Failed to look properly

| 12180031077 | A61 Leeds <br> Road at j/w <br> Prince of Wales <br> r/about | Daylight | Dry | Slight | 1 Driver |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

A car travelled north on A61 Leeds Road and on the approach to the Prince of Wales roundabout attempted to move into the nearside lane. As the car moved into the nearside lane, it collided into a car travelling northbound in the nearside lane.
Contributory Factors: Careless/reckless/in a hurry

| 12170124818 | A61 West Park <br> at j/w Prince of <br> Wales r/about | Daylight | Dry | Slight | 1 Passenger |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Three cars travelled north on A61 West Park in single file with stationary traffic ahead. On the approach to the back of the queue, the front two cars stopped and the third car failed to stop and collided into the rear of the car in front which in turn collided into the leading car.
Contributory Factors: Distraction in vehicle, Failed to look properly

| 12170113587 | A61 York Place <br> at j/w Prince of <br> Wales r/about | Daylight | Dry | Slight | 1 Pedestrian |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

A car travelled east to A61 York Place from the Prince of Wales roundabout when a pedestrian attempted to cross from north to south and collided into the car.

Contributory Factors: Failed to judge vehicles path or speed

| 12170099234 | A61 York Place <br> at j/w Prince of <br> Wales r/about | Daylight | Dry | Serious | 1 Driver |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

A car travelled west on A61 York Place and the driver lost control which led to the car colliding into a parked vehicle.
Contributory Factors: Illness or disability, mental or physical

| 12170051913 | B6162 Otley <br> Road at j/w <br> Prince of Wales <br> r/about | Daylight | Dry | Serious | 1 Pedestrian |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



A car was stationary on the B6162 Otley Road arm of the Prince of Wales roundabout, waiting to turn right. A pedestrian crossed the road from the nearside and was struck by the car as it set off.
Contributory Factors: Failed to look properly, Crossed road masked by stationary vehicle, Junction restart
5.5 The number of accidents that occurred at the Prince of Wales roundabout during the 3-year assessment period is not unreasonably high with an average of approximately 3 accidents per year. The main contributory factor listed was "failed to look properly" which was cited as a cause in $60 \%$ of the accidents. One accident was caused by a driver travelling against traffic on the A61 West Park, which is one-way working. Two of the accidents were caused by the driver having a mental or physical illness or disability and another accident was caused by a distraction within the vehicle. All of which point to factors other than inadequate highway design as the cause of the accidents. $50 \%$ of the accidents that occurred resulted in a pedestrian casualty. Overall, the accidents were distributed between the approaches with no common causal factors suggesting any existing road safety issues.

## B6162 Otley Road/ Cold Bath Road/ Arthur's Avenue Traffic Signals

5.6 There were six reported accidents at the B6162 Otley Road/ Cold Bath Road/ Arthur's Avenue traffic signals junction during the 3 -year assessment period. Table 5.2 below presents a summary of the accidents that occurred.

Table 5.2 - Accident Summary - B6162 Otley Road/Cold Bath Road/Arthur's Avenue Traffic Signals

| Ref No | Location | Lighting | Rd Surface | Severity | Casualties |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1901153 | Cold Bath Road, <br> Harrogate | Daylight | Dry | Slight | 1 Pedestrian |

A car travelled north east on B6162 Otley Road when a pedestrian stepped out into its path, colliding into the offside wing mirror.
Contributory Factors: Failed to look properly, Failed to judge vehicles path or speed

| 1901190 | B6162 Otley <br> Road at j/w Cold <br> Bath Road, <br> Harrogate | Daylight | Dry | Slight | 1 Cyclist |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

A motorcycle rider travelled south west on B6162 Otley Road and turned right to Cold Bath Road. As the rider turned right they crossed the path of a cyclist travelling north-eastbound on B6162 Otley Road, this caused the cyclist to perform an evasive manoeuvre which caused the cyclist to collided into a stationary goods vehicle.
Contributory Factors: No factors were provided

| 1900653 | Cold Bath Road, <br> Harrogate | Daylight | Dry | Slight | 1 Pedestrian |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

A car travelled south on Cold Bath Road when a pedestrian ran out into the road from the offside and collided into its wing mirror.
Contributory Factors: Failed to look properly, Carless/reckless/in a hurry

| 2000098 | Cold Bath Road <br> at | Darkness | B6162 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad$ Wet/Damp | Slight | 1 Driver |
| :--- | :--- |



A car was stationary on Cold Bath Road at the traffic signals junction with B6162 Otley Road when another car travelled south on Cold Bath Road at high speed and collided into the rear of the stationary car.
Contributory Factors: No factors were provided

| 12180078208 | B6162 Otley <br> Road at j/w Cold <br> Bath Road, <br> Harrogate | Darkness | Dry | Serious | 2 Drivers, 1 <br> Passenger |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

An emergency vehicle travelled north east on B6162 Otley Road through a set of red lights at the junction with Cold Bath Road. A car travelled south on Cold Bath Road and turned right at the junction, with green lights on, and collided into the emergency vehicle on B6162 Otley Road.

Contributory Factors: Emergency vehicle on call

| 12170208429 | Arthur's Avenue <br> at j/w Cundall <br> Way | Daylight | Wet/Damp | Slight | 1 Pedestrian |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

A car travelled south east on Arthur's Avenue when a pedestrian crossed the road in front of the car and was clipped by the car.

Contributory Factors: Failed to look properly, Failed to judge vehicles path or speed, Rain, sleet, snow, or fog
5.7 The number of accidents that occurred at the B6162 Otley Road/ Cold Bath Road/ Arthur's Avenue traffic signals junction during the 3-year assessment period is reasonably low. 50\% of the accidents resulted in a pedestrian casualty ( 3 in total) and 2 of these pedestrians were children. The 3 accidents which involved pedestrians were caused by the pedestrian failing to look properly before they crossed the road. Of the remaining accidents, one was caused by a driver not seeing an emergency vehicle with sirens on, and the other two were caused by what appear to be careless driving. Inadequate highway design does not appear to be at fault for the accidents that occurred. No further assessment is required on highway safety grounds.

## B6162 Otley Road/ Pannal Ash Road/ Manor Drive Traffic Signals

5.8 There were five reported accidents at the B6162 Otley Road/ Pannal Ash Road/ Manor Drive traffic signals junction during the 3-year assessment period. Table 5.3 below presents a summary of the accidents that occurred.

Table 5.3 - Accident Summary - B6162 Otley Road/Pannal Ash Road/Manor Drive Traffic Signals

| Ref No | Location | Lighting | Rd Surface | Severity | Casualties |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1900743 | B6162 Otley <br> Road at j/w <br> Manor Drive | Daylight | Dry | Slight | 1 Pedestrian |

A pedestrian left the Co-Op store on B6162 Otley Road when a car has entered the car park across the path of the pedestrian, who collided into the car.
Contributory Factors: Failed to look properly

| Ref No | Location | Lighting | Rd Surface | Severity | Casualties |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1800375 | B6162 <br> Road | Otley | Daylight | Dry | Slight | 1 Driver |  |
| :--- |

A car travelled south-west on B6162 Otley Road and went through a red light. Another car turned right from Pannal Ash Road onto B6162 Otley Road. The car which disobeyed the traffic signal has collided into the front offside of the right-turning car.
Contributory Factors: Disobeyed automatic traffic signal, Failed to look properly

| 12170213896 | B6162 Otley  <br> Road nr $\mathrm{j} / \mathrm{w}$ <br> Pannal Ash  <br> Road   <br>    | Daylight | Dry | Slight | 1 Driver |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Two cars travelled north-west on B6162 Otley Road and approached the traffic signals at the junction with Pannal Ash Road. The car travelling in front stopped at the lights, but the following car did not brake and collided into the rear of the car.

Contributory Factors: Failed to look properly

| 12180042417 | B6162 Otley  <br> Road at j/w <br> Pannal Ash  <br> Road   | Daylight |  | Slight | 1 Driver |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

A car travelled north east on the B6162 Otley Road and another car travelled south west, both cars approached the junction with Pannal Ash Road. As the north-eastbound car turned right into Pannal Ash Road, it crossed the path of the south-westbound car and collided into the car.
Contributory Factors: Failed to look properly, Rain, sleet, snow, or fog

| 12180055098 | Manor Drive at <br> j/w B6162 Otley <br> Road | Daylight | Dry | Serious | 1 Pedestrian |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

A pedestrian crossed Manor Drive as a stationary car began to reverse towards B6162 Otley Drive and in doing so has collided into the pedestrian.
Contributory Factors: Failed to look properly, Vehicle blind spot
5.9 The number of accidents that occurred at the B6162 Otley Road/ Pannal Ash Road/ Manor Drive traffic signals junction during the 3-year assessment period is reasonably low. All of the accidents cited a failure to look properly as a contributory factor which appears to be the main cause of the accidents as opposed to inadequate highway design. No further assessment is required on highway safety grounds.

## Pannal Ash Road/ Richmond Avenue Priority Junction

5.10 There was one reported accident at the Pannal Ash Road/ Richmond Avenue priority junction during the 3-year assessment period. Table 5.4 below presents a summary of the accident that occurred.

Table 5.4-Accident Summary - Pannal Ash Road/ Richmond Avenue Priority Junction

| Ref No | Location | Lighting | Rd Surface | Severity | Casualties |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1800395 | Pannal Ash <br> Road at j/w | Daylight | Dry | Slight | 1 Motorcycle Rider |


|  | Richmond <br> Avenue |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | A motorcycle rider travelled north on Pannal Ash Road on the offside of a queue of stationary traffic and approached |
| :--- |
| the junction with Richmond Avenue when a stationary car has gone to turn right and has collided into the |
| motorcycle. |
| Contributory Factors: Travelling too fast for conditions, Failed to judge other persons path or speed, Failed to look |
| properly |

5.11 The number of accidents that occurred at the Pannal Ash Road/ Richmond Avenue priority junction during the 3-year assessment period is very low. The main cause of the accident was the driver not looking properly as they went to turn right as opposed to inadequate highway design. No further assessment is required on highway safety grounds.

## Pannal Ash Road/ Beckwith Road/ Green Lane/ Yew Tree Lane/ Whinney Lane Roundabout

5.12 There were three reported accidents at the Pannal Ash Road/ Beckwith Road/ Green Lane/ Yew Tree Lane/ Whinney Lane roundabout during the 3-year assessment period. Table 5.5 below presents a summary of the accident that occurred.

Table 5.5-Accident Summary - Pannal Ash Road/ Beckwith Road/ Green Lane/ Yew Tree Lane/ Whinney Lane Roundabout

| Ref No | Location | Lighting | Rd Surface | Severity | Casualties |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1800138 | Green Lane at <br> j/w Pannal Ash <br> Road r/about | Daylight | Wet/Damp | Slight | 1 Cyclist |

A car travelled south on Pannal Ash Road and approached the roundabout junction with Green Lane. A cyclist entered the roundabout from Yew Tree Lane and went to take the exit at Green Lane. The southbound car slowed at the roundabout but edged out over the give way and the cyclist collided into the front offside of the car.
Contributory Factors: Failed to look properly, Failed to judge other persons path or speed

| 12170045739 | Green Lane, <br> Harrogate | Daylight | Dry | Slight | 1 Passenger |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

A car was parked on Green Lane at the entrance to Rossett Acre Primary School Harrogate and a goods vehicle reversed back and collided into the rear of the parked car.

Contributory Factors: Failed to look properly

| 12180087561 | Yew Tree Lane, <br> Harrogate | Daylight | Dry | Serious | 2 Pedestrians |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Two pedestrians walked south east on Yew Tree Lane and a car travelled north west on Yew Tree Lane past them. The driver realised that they had missed their turn and reversed back to the junction and into the path of the pedestrians, colliding into both pedestrians.

Contributory Factors: Failed to look properly, Vehicle blind spot
5.13 The number of accidents that occurred at the Pannal Ash Road/ Beckwith Road/ Green Lane/ Yew Tree Lane/ Whinney Lane roundabout junction during the 3 -year assessment period is low. The main cause of the accidents was failing to look properly as opposed to inadequate highway design. No further assessment is required on highway safety grounds.

## Burn Bridge Lane/ Brackenthwaite Lane Priority Junction

5.14 There was one reported accident at the Burn Bridge Lane/ Brackenthwaite Lane priority junction during the 3-year assessment period Table 5.6 below presents a summary of the accident that occurred.

Table 5.6-Accident Summary - Burn Bridge Lane/ Brackenthwaite Lane Priority Junction

| Ref No | Location | Lighting | Rd Surface | Severity | Casualties |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 12170129630 | Burn Bridge <br> Lane at j/w <br> Brackenthwaite <br> Lane, Harrogate | Daylight | Dry | Slight | 1 Cyclist |

A cyclist travelled north on Burn Bridge Lane and a car travelling behind went to overtake but drove too close to the cyclist and knocked the rider from their bike.

Contributory Factors: Loss of control, Careless/reckless/in a hurry
5.15 The number of accidents that occurred at the Burn Bridge Lane/ Brackenthwaite Lane priority junction during the 3 -year assessment period is very low. The main cause of the accident was careless driving as opposed to inadequate highway design. No further assessment is required on highway safety grounds.

## A61 Harrogate Road/ Burn Bridge Road Priority Junction

5.16 There was one reported accident A61 Harrogate Road/ Burn Bridge Road priority junction during the 3 -year assessment period. Table 5.7 below presents a summary of the accident that occurred.

Table 5.7-Accident Summary - A61 Harrogate Road/ Burn Bridge Road Priority Junction

| Ref No | Location | Lighting | Rd Surface | Severity | Casualties |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 12170131159 | A61 Harrogate <br> Road at j/w <br> Burn Bridge <br> Lane | Daylight | Dry | Slight | 1 Driver |

A car travelled northbound on A61 and approached the junction with Burn Bridge Lane and collided into another car.
Contributory Factors: Failed to look properly, Poor turn or manoeuvre, Impaired by alcohol, Nervous/uncertain/panic, Swerved, Fatigue
5.17 The number of accidents that occurred A61 Harrogate Road/ Burn Bridge Road priority junction during the 3-year assessment period is very low. The main cause of the accident was that the driver was impaired by alcohol and as a result was not in control of their vehicle as opposed to inadequate highway design. No further assessment is required on highway safety grounds.
5.18 In addition, a review of more recent accident data obtained from the Crashmap website for 2020 and 2021 for the study network does not indicate any significant changes from the pre-covid accident records. The data is attached in Appendix E.

## Conclusion

5.19 The frequency of accidents that occurred on the local road network to the site is reasonably low during the most recent 3 -year period.
5.20 There were no reported accidents within 250 m in both directions of the Whinney Lane/ Site Access roundabout junction during the 3 -year assessment period.
5.21 In most cases the accidents resulted from driver error which can be difficult to address with engineering measures and therefore mitigation measures for highway safety reasons are not required.

### 6.0 TRIP GENERATION AND TRAFFIC ASSIGNMENT

## Traffic Generation

6.1 As noted in Section 1 of the report extensive scoping discussions have taken place with NYCC highways officers regarding the methodology for the assessment of the impacts of the Castle Hill West site as well as a number of other development/ allocation sites to the west of Harrogate.
6.2 Scoping discussions have considered assumptions relating to the likely trip generation and distribution patterns of the proposed developments. The proposed trip generation rates agreed with NYCC for the purposes of the cumulative assessment of the West of Harrogate sites is summarised in the Ashely Helme Technical Note 8D, a copy of which is attached at Appendix F.
6.3 The agreed residential trip rates as set out in Technical Note 8D are shown at Table 6.1 below for the AM and PM peak hours respectively, and those rates have been used to predict the traffic flows generated by 251 homes.

Table 6.1 - Residential Trip Rates and Predicted Trips (251 Units)

|  | Arrivals |  | Departures |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Period | Trip Rate | Trips | Trip Rate | Trips |
| Weekday AM Peak Hour <br> 08:00 to 09:00 | 0.141 | 35 | 0.371 | 93 |
| Weekday PM Peak Hour <br> 17:00 to 18:00 | 0.345 | 87 | 0.169 | 42 |

## Assignment

6.4 To more accurately assign the traffic generated by the proposed development we have taken into account different routing for work based, education based on other trip purposes from National Travel Survey (NTS) information to produce a layered assignment which has previously been agreed with NYCC. The previously agreed methodology regarding assignment of trip types has therefore been adopted for the current assessment although some of the previous assumptions relating to distribution of trips have been amended following discussions with NYCC.
6.5 In considering the routing of generated traffic consideration has been given to the purposes for which journeys are undertaken, for example the routing of trips to schools may be different to that for work purposes. TT have grouped together several of the purposes as below:

- Work Related trips comprise:
- Commuting, and taking others to/ from work e.g. car share.
- Business, i.e. trips during the course of work, including taking others.
- Education Related trips comprise:
- Students driving themselves to/ from schools or colleges.
- Parents escorting children to/ from school.
- Other Purposes trips comprise both direct and escort journeys for:
- Shopping, both food and non-food.
- Health/medical related.
- Social, entertaining and sport, including visiting friends.
- Holiday.
- Personal business and other.
6.6 The trip generation peak hour periods adopted for assessment purposes are 08:00 to 09:00 and 17:00 to 18:00, and so the NTS data for these time periods have been analysed.


## Weekday AM Peak Hour (08:00 to 08:59)

6.7 Vehicle trips starting from or ending at home were distributed between the grouped journey purposes as set out in Table 6.2 below.

Table 6.2 - AM Peak, Purpose of Journeys Starting or Ending at Home

|  | Arrivals | Departures |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Work | $19.2 \%$ | $55.8 \%$ |
| Education | $53.9 \%$ | $25.7 \%$ |
| Other | $26.9 \%$ | $18.5 \%$ |

6.8 Table 6.2 shows, in the AM peak Work trips account for nearly three-fifths of the departure journeys with education accounting for one-fifth and nearly one-fifth being other journey purposes. The education element is dominated by escort journeys, education Escort; is $24.2 \%$ and education is $1.5 \%$ of the $25.7 \%$, and for the most part parents after completing the escort journey either return home or travel to another destination.

## Weekday PM Peak Hour (17:00 to 17:59)

6.9 Vehicle trips starting from or ending at home were distributed between the grouped journey purposes as set out in Table 6.3 below.

Table 6.3 - PM Peak, Purpose of Journeys Starting or Ending at Home

|  | Arrivals | Departures |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Work | $65.9 \%$ | $21.6 \%$ |
| Education | $2.2 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ |
| Other | $31.9 \%$ | $75.5 \%$ |

6.10 In the PM peak the proportion of education journeys is much smaller and no special consideration of these trips is needed. Table 6.3 shows that in the PM peak work trips account for approximately two-thirds of the arrival journeys with other journey purposes accounting for the remaining onethird.
6.11 Table 6.4 below allocated the traffic generated by the proposed development between the main journey purposes for the AM and PM peak hours separately.

Table 6.4 - Proposed Development, Car Driver Trips by Journey Purpose

|  | Journey Purpose |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Period | Work | Education | Total |
| Weekday AM Peak (\%) | $67 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Car Trips - Departures | 62 | 31 | 93 |
| Weekday AM Peak (\%) | $67 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Car Trips - Arrivals | 24 | 12 | 35 |
| Time Period | Work | Other | Total |
| Weekday PM Peak (\%) <br> Car Trips - Departures | $67 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Weekday PM Peak (\%) | 28 | 14 | 42 |
| Car Trips - Arrivals | $67 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

## Journeys for Work

6.12 Work-related vehicle trips generated by the proposed development have been distributed on the local road network using the Census 2011 data for car-based trips among residents of the Harrogate 020 Middle Super Output Area (MSOA), in which the development is located as is shown at Figure 7 in Appendix A, to workplace destinations.
6.13 The distribution of journey to work trips is based on information provided by NYCC during scoping discussions for the Harrogate 020 MSOA area, which has been amended to reflect likely routing from the Castle Hill West site.
6.14 The numbers of vehicle trips for Journeys to Work at Table 6.4 have been distributed on the surrounding road network using the assignment shown at Figure 8, which derives the AM and PM peak flows for Figures 9 and 10 also in Appendix A.

## Journeys for Education

6.15 The proposals for the Castle Hill West site include provision of a 2-form entry primary school on the site. This is likely to significantly reduce off-site school trips associated with the Castle Hill West site as these would be accommodated on site.
6.16 Previous assessments of the H 70 site assumed that $80 \%$ of school trips were related to primary school trips and 20\% to secondary school. The secondary school trips have therefore been assigned off-site as per previous assessments, however the primary school trips are assumed to remain on the Castle Hill West site as a result of the provision of the primary school.
6.17 All high school vehicle trips have been equally split between Harrogate High School and Rossett Academy School (20\%).
6.18 Figure 11 in Appendix A shows the Education-based assignment for the site, and Figure 12 in Appendix A shows the resulting traffic generations during the AM peak hour.
6.19 Whilst the above methodology takes account of the off-site education trips associated with the Castle Hill West site the provision of a new primary school is also likely to generate additional
education trips from surrounding areas, including other developments and site allocations coming forward. An assessment of the potential traffic generation associated with the school has therefore been carried out based on primary school trip rates from the TRICS database. The trip rates and predicted trip generation for a 2-form entry primary school are summarised in Table 6.5 Below.

Table 6.5 - Primary School Trip Rates and Predicted Trips (2 Form Entry)

|  | Arrivals |  | Departures |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Period | Trip Rate | Trips | Trip Rate | Trips |
| Weekday AM Peak Hour <br> 08:00 to 09:00 | 0.240 | 101 | 0.169 | 71 |
| Weekday PM Peak Hour <br> 17:00 to 18:00 | 0.024 | 10 | 0.039 | 16 |

6.20 The figures in Table 6.5 provide an estimate of the total trip generation of a 2-form entry school, however a number of the trips will be associated with the residential elements of the Banks development site. The primary school trips associated with the Banks site have therefore been discounted from the overall trip generation of the school and the discounted trip generations are summarised in Table 6.6 below.

Table 6.6 - Primary School Trips (Discounted)

|  | Arrivals | Departures |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Weekday AM Peak Hour <br> 08:00 to 09:00 | 91 | 46 |
| Weekday PM Peak Hour <br> 17:00 to 18:00 | 10 | 16 |

6.21 The trips in Table 6.6 above have therefore been added to the predicted development traffic flows at the site access junction in order to ensure a robust assessment.

## Journeys for Other Purposes

6.22 As discussed in paragraph 6.6, trips for other purposes combine a range of journey purposes, however for the most part it is reasonable to assume these peak hour trips are to/ from facilities in nearby local centres and retail parks.
6.23 To the north-east of the site, within a $21 / 2-k m$ drive, Harrogate Town Centre provides a wide range of shops, facilities and services.
6.24 To the east of Harrogate Town Centre there is a large Asda store on Dragon Road and Odeon Cinema on Station Avenue, both of which can be reached within an approximate $21 / 2$ to 3 -km drive of the development site.
6.25 To the north of Harrogate Town Centre Harrogate Retail Park includes a range of shops, including a DIY outlet and Pet Store etc. Harrogate Retail Park can be reached via an approximate 4 to 5 kms from the development site. East of the site, Plumpton Retail Park is also accessible from Hookstone Chase, via a 5 -km drive, and offers a Morrison's supermarket as well as a number of DIY \& computing shops.
6.26 In addition to the above the H 51 allocation would also provide additional retail and recreation provision on the site. A proportion of the other traffic generation is therefore assumed to be internal within the H 51 allocation site.
6.27 The following splits have therefore been assumed for "other" trips to/ from the above off-site destinations:

- Local facilities in Harrogate Town Centre - 40\%.
- Asda and Odeon Cinema - 30\%
- Harrogate Retail Park - 10\%.
- Plumpton Retail Park - 20\%.
6.28 The number of vehicle trips for other purpose trips presented at Table 6.4 has been distributed on the surrounding road networks using PM peak assignment shown at Figure 13 at Appendix A, which derives the other trips shown at Figure 14 in Appendix A. These are the assigned traffic flows for other purpose trips to/ from the development site during the PM peak hour.


## Total Vehicle Trips

6.29 Figure 15 in Appendix A shows the total numbers of vehicle trips for all journey purposes generated by the development during the AM peak hour. Figure 16 also in Appendix A shows the total number of generated vehicle trips in the PM peak.

### 7.0 OPERATIONAL ASSESSMENT

## Extent of Assessment

7.1 The extent of the local road network to be assessed in this TA has been identified by the predicted traffic impacts of the proposed development and based on scoping discussions with NYCC.
7.2 Below is a list of the junctions to be assessed.

1. Whinney Lane/ Site Access Roundabout.
2. Whinney Lane/ Pannal Ash Road/ Yew Tree Lane/ Green Lane Roundabout.
3. B6162 Otley Road/ Cold Bath Road/ Arthurs Avenue traffic signals.
4. Prince of Wales Roundabout (A61 York Place / A61 Leeds Road / B6162 Otley Road / A61 W Park).

## Committed Developments

7.3 The junction assessments have included the traffic predicted to be generated by the following committed developments summarised in Table 7.1 below:

Table 7.1-Committed Development Sites

| Local Plan <br> Allocation <br> Ref. | Site Name (no. dwellings) | Planning <br> Portal Ref |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H74 | Crag Lane/Harlow Grange (125) | $14 / 00259 /$ OUTMAJ |
| H46 | Otley Road (Horticap) (125) | $15 / 01999 /$ EIAMAJ |
| H50 | Penny Pot Lane (600) | $14 / 02804 /$ OUTMAJ |
| H88 | Beckwithknowle employment | $14 / 02737 /$ EIAMAJ |
| H88 | Beckwith Knowle employment | $16 / 01066 /$ FULMAJ |
| H71 | Skipton Road phase 1 (210) | $17 / 00094 /$ OUTMAJ |
| H72 | Skipton Road phase 2 (135) | $14 / 00854 /$ OUTMAJ |
| H73 | Skipton Road (Bellway-part) (170) | $14 / 02944 /$ OUTMAJ |
| H51 | Whinney Lane - Mulgrave (40) | $14 / 03119 / F U L M A J$ |
| H6 | St Georges former BT training (88) | $18 / 02960 /$ FULMAJ |
| H70 | Castle Hill Farm, Whinney Lane (130) | $15 / 05478 /$ OUTMAJ |
|  |  | $17 / 05595 /$ OUTMAJ |

## Assessment Year

7.4 Traffic assessments have been undertaken for a baseline of 2020 with future year assessments carried out for 2030.
7.5 Traffic survey data has been obtained for a number of junctions on the surrounding highway network as part of the cumulative impact assessments carried out for the West of Harrogate sites.
7.6 For assessment purposes surveyed traffic flows have been projected to 2020 and 2030 by applying factors extracted from the DfT's TEMPRO software.
7.7 The 2020 baseline flows at adjacent junctions from the cumulative impact assessment are shown in Figures 17 and 18 for the AM and PM peak periods respectively. Those flows have been factored to 2030 using TEMPRO growth factors and the and the committed development flows from the sites in Table 7.1 have been added to provide 2030 No Development flows shown in Figures 19 and 20 at Appendix A.
7.8 The development generated traffic shown in Figures 15 and 16 have been added to the 2030 No Development flows shown in Figures 19 and 20 to provide 2030 With Development flows shown in Figures 21 and 22 at Appendix A.

## Junction Assessments

7.9 Based on pre-application discussions with NYCC highways, the local road network to be assessed comprises the junctions listed below:

1. Whinney Lane/ Site Access Roundabout.
2. Whinney Lane/ Pannal Ash Road/ Yew Tree Lane/ Green Lane Roundabout.
3. B6162 Otley Road/ Cold Bath Road/ Arthurs Avenue traffic signals.
4. Prince of Wales Roundabout (A61 York Place / A61 Leeds Road / B6162 Otley Road / A61 W Park).
7.10 In addition to undertaking detailed assessments at the above junctions, consideration has been given to the impact of the development on a number of other junctions on the surrounding network. These include the following junctions:

- Hill Foot Lane/ Yew Tree Lane
- A61/Burn Bank Road
- B6162 Otley Road/ B6161 Pot Bank, Beckwithshaw
7.11 Based on the predicted trip generation and assignment the impact of proposed development traffic at the above junctions was not considered material and therefore no detailed junction assessments have been carried out at these locations.
7.12 The ability of the local road network to accommodate additional traffic flows generated by the proposed development has been assessed using the Transport Research Laboratory's (TRL's) JUNCTIONS 9 computer program for the three roundabout junctions being assessed.
7.13 The two signalised junctions on Otley Road have been modelled as linked junctions using the LINSIG junction modelling software.
7.14 JUNCTIONS 9 models report the Ratio of Flow to Capacity (RFC), the average number of vehicles queuing, and the average delay per vehicle for all approaches during each 15-min interval throughout the assessment period.
7.15 LINSIG models report the Degree of Saturation (DoS), the Mean Maximum Queue (MMQ) and the average delay per vehicle for all approaches throughout the assessment period. The MMQ represents the average maximum number of vehicles queuing on any approach during each traffic signal cycle.


## Junction 1: Whinney Lane Site Access Roundabout

7.16 The proposed layout of the Whinney Lane site access roundabout has been tested with the predicted development traffic flows for the design year of 2030 with full development. The junction output files are attached at Appendix G. The proposed site access layout is shown on Wardell Armstrong drawing NT16079-004 Rev C in Appendix B.
7.17 Table 7.2 below shows the proposed Whinney Lane/ site access roundabout operates well within capacity with no queuing and only minimal delays across the junction during both peak periods in 2030.

Table 7.2 - Whinney Lane/Site Access Roundabout

|  |  | 2030 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AM Peak With Dev | PM Peak With Dev |
| Whinney Lane North | RFC | 0.21 | 0.11 |
|  | Av. Q | 0 | 0 |
|  | Av. Delay (s) | 3 | 3 |
| Proposed Eastern Site Access | RFC | 0.05 | 0.02 |
|  | Av. Q | 0 | 0 |
|  | Av. Delay (s) | 3 | 3 |
| Whinney Lane South | RFC | 0.13 | 0.08 |
|  | Av. Q | 0 | 0 |
|  | Av. Delay (s) | 4 | 3 |
| Proposed Western Site Access | RFC | 0.10 | 0.04 |
|  | Av. Q | 0 | 0 |
|  | Av. Delay (s) | 3 | 3 |

## Junction 2: Whinney Lane/ Pannal Ash Road/ Yew Tree Lane/ Green Lane

7.18 Table 7.3 below summarises the ARCADY 9 results for the Pannal Ash Roundabout in 2020 and in 2030 for both the No Development and With Development scenarios. The junction output file is attached at Appendix G.

Table 7.3 - Whinney Lane/ Pannal Ash Road Roundabout

|  |  | 2020 |  | 2030 No Dev |  | 2030 With Dev |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AM | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| Green Lane | RFC | 0.41 | 0.33 | 0.43 | 0.35 | 0.44 | 0.38 |
|  | Av. Q | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Av. Delay (s) | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 |
| Yew Tree <br> Lane | RFC | 0.66 | 0.36 | 0.68 | 0.38 | 0.76 | 0.41 |
|  | Av. Q | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
|  | Av. Delay (s) | 14 | 7 | 16 | 8 | 21 | 9 |
| Whinney Lane | RFC | 0.26 | 0.15 | 0.35 | 0.20 | 0.48 | 0.25 |
|  | Av. Q | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  | Av. Delay (s) | 9 | 7 | 11 | 7 | 14 | 8 |
| Beckwith Road | RFC | 0.32 | 0.27 | 0.34 | 0.28 | 0.37 | 0.29 |
|  | Av. Q | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  | Av. Delay (s) | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 |
| Pannal Ash Road | RFC | 0.65 | 0.45 | 0.69 | 0.59 | 0.73 | 0.55 |
|  | Av. Q | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
|  | Av. Delay (s) | 15 | 9 | 17 | 910 | 20 | 11 |

7.19 The results of the ARCADY 9 assessment show that in the 2030 No Development scenario the junction is predicted to operate within capacity on all approaches with short queues and delays. The effect of development generated traffic is to increase the queues by 1 vehicle and to increase delays by 3 to 5 seconds at most. The junction is predicted to operate satisfactorily with the addition of development traffic and no mitigation measures are therefore required.

## Junction 3: B6162 Otley Road/ Pannal Ash Road/ Cold Bath Lane

7.20 The LINSIG assessments of these closely spaced traffic signal junctions in 2020 and in 2030 for both No Development and With Development scenarios are summarised in Table 7.4 below. The LINSIG model is based on the modelling assumptions adopted in the Vectos Otley Road Corridor Capacity Appraisal report produced to consider the cumulative impacts of the West of Harrogate sites and taking into account the recent changes at the junction as part of the Otley Road cycle corridor scheme. The junction output files are attached at Appendix G.
7.21 The results in Table 7.4 summarise the operation of the junction in 2020. The junction is predicted to operate within capacity with queuing on a number of approaches in both the AM and PM peak periods and is considered representative of the existing operation of the junction.
7.22 In the 2030 No Development scenario the junction is predicted to continue to operate within capacity in both the AM and PM peak hours with increased Degrees of Saturation on the Otley Road approaches to both junctions and associated increases in queuing and delay. The Cold Bath Road
and Pannal Ash Road approaches are also predicted to experience increased Degrees of Saturation in 2030 without the addition of development traffic.
7.23 The addition of development traffic in 2030 results in small increases in the maximum Degrees of Saturation in both the AM and PM peaks with the maximum increase in DoS during the AM peak of 2.9\% on the Pannal Ash Road approach. The maximum increase in DoS in the PM peak is also on the Pannal Ash Road approach with an increase of 2.4\%.
7.24 Overall the impact of development generated traffic on the predicted queuing and delay at the junction in the future year is low and the impact is not considered severe and as a result no mitigation measures are considered necessary.

Table 7.4 - B6162 Otley Road/Pannal Ash Road/Cold Bath Lane

|  |  | 2020 |  | 2030 No Dev |  | 2030 With Dev |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AM | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| J1: Otley Road/Cold Bath Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Otley Road (E) | DoS | 76.5\% | 69.1\% | 78.2\% | 72.9\% | 77.3\% | 74.7\% |
|  | MMQ | 14.3 | 12.7 | 14.9 | 14.0 | 14.8 | 14.8 |
|  | Delay (s) | 31.4 | 22.8 | 32.4 | 24.2 | 31.0 | 25.1 |
| Arthurs <br> Avenue | DoS | 59.1\% | 36.4\% | 59.3\% | 37.1\% | 64.3\% | 36.3\% |
|  | MMQ | 6.5 | 2.8 | 6.5 | 2.9 | 6.8 | 2.8 |
|  | Delay (s) | 41.6 | 42.3 | 41.9 | 42.4 | 45.4 | 41.9 |
| Otley Road (W) | DoS | 68.7\% | 65.6\% | 79.0\% | 71.1\% | 81.9\% | 70.9\% |
|  | MMQ | 9.3 | 9.3 | 10.8 | 9.1 | 11.8 | 9.8 |
|  | Delay (s) | 17.5 | 13.2 | 20.9 | 14.6 | 22.1 | 14.5 |
| Cold Bath Road | DoS | 73.9\% | 68.2\% | 75.9\% | 72.2\% | 79.3\% | 73.6\% |
|  | MMQ | 8.2 | 6.7 | 8.6 | 7.4 | 9.0 | 7.7 |
|  | Delay (s) | 39.0 | 42.1 | 40.0 | 43.9 | 43.0 | 44.8 |
| J2: Otley Road/Pannal Ash Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Otley Road <br> (E) | DoS | 67.2\% | 67.2\% | 69.3\% | 71.5\% | 70.8\% | 72.8\% |
|  | MMQ | 5.1 | 5.0 | 4.8 | 4.4 | 5.1 | 5.0 |
|  | Delay (s) | 7.8 | 8.7 | 8.2 | 9.5 | 8.7 | 9.4 |
| Pannal Ash Road | DoS | 67.6\% | 67.0\% | 72.7\% | 71.1\% | 75.6\% | 73.5\% |
|  | MMQ | 6.3 | 6.0 | 7.4 | 7.0 | 8.3 | 7.6 |
|  | Delay (s) | 42.5 | 40.6 | 45.2 | 42.5 | 46.1 | 43.9 |
| Otley Road <br> (W) | DoS | 53.3\% | 57.6\% | 61.5\% | 62.0\% | 62.5\% | 61.2\% |
|  | MMQ | 8.9 | 10.0 | 11.1 | 11.2 | 11.4 | 11.0 |
|  | Delay (s) | 18.4 | 20.0 | 20.3 | 21.1 | 21.3 | 20.9 |

## Junction 4: A61 York Place / A61 Leeds Road / B6162 Otley Road

7.25 Table 7.5 below summarises the ARCADY 9 results for the Prince of Wales Roundabout in 2020 and in 2030 for both the No Development and With Development scenarios. The junction output file is attached at Appendix G. It should be noted that the northern arm of the roundabout, A61 West Park, is a one-way road with no entry to the roundabout.

Table 7.5 - Prince of Wales Roundabout

|  |  | 2020 |  | 2030 No Dev |  | 2030 With Dev |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AM | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| A61 Leeds Road | RFC | 0.62 | 0.76 | 0.67 | 0.79 | 0.67 | 0.80 |
|  | Av. Q | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 4 |
|  | Av. Delay (s) | 7 | 11 | 8 | 13 | 8 | 14 |
| B6162 Otley <br> Road | RFC | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.96 | 0.95 | 0.98 | 0.97 |
|  | Av. Q | 5 | 5 | 14 | 12 | 18 | 14 |
|  | Av. Delay (s) | 21 | 25 | 52 | 54 | 62 | 60 |
| A61 York Place | RFC | 0.77 | 0.75 | 0.80 | 0.78 | 0.80 | 0.79 |
|  | Av. Q | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
|  | Av. Delay (s) | 8 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 8 |

7.26 The results of the ARCADY 9 assessment show that in 2030 in the No Development scenario the junction is predicted to operate within capacity on the A 61 approaches, and close to capacity on the Otley Road approach in the AM and PM peak periods. The junction is predicted to continue to operate within capacity on the A61 approaches, and close to capacity on the Otley Road approach with the addition of development traffic. The effect of development traffic on the Otley Road approach is to increase the queue by 4 vehicles in the AM peak and 2 vehicles in the PM peak with an additional 10 seconds of delay in the AM peak and 6 seconds in the PM peak.
7.27 The junction is therefore predicted to operate within capacity in the future year and the impact of the proposed development traffic is not considered severe and as a result no mitigation measures are considered necessary.

## Summary

7.28 The impact of the proposed development of 251 homes and a primary school on the site has been assessed in 2030 including the traffic generated by committed development sites and background traffic growth.
7.29 The assessment has shown that at all four junctions assessed the impact of the development is not severe and no mitigation measures are required.

### 8.0 CUMULATIVE ASSESSMENT

## Introduction

8.1 The junction assessments in Chapter 7 include the traffic generated by a number of committed developments summarised in Table 7.1 above. In addition, there are a number of allocated sites to the west of Harrogate which in combination with the proposed development may affect the performance of the local highway network. The sites included in the cumulative impact assessment are summarised in Table 8.1 below.

Table 8.1 - Cumulative Impact Assessment

| Local Plan <br> Allocation <br> Ref. | Site Name (no. dwellings) |
| :--- | :--- |
| H16 | Employment Allocation (12,000sm B1/B2/B8) |
| H28 | Employment Allocation (16,000sm B2/B8) |
| H36 | Bluecoat Park (480) Training centre, Yew Tree Lane (200) |
| H45 | Windmill Farm (890 dwellings, primary school <br> and local centre) |
| H49 | Lady Lane/Whinney Lane (750 dwellings, 2.43 <br> hectares of employment) |
| H51 | Castle Hill Farm (remaining 100 dwellings) |
| H70 | Highfield Farm, Knaresborough (402 dwellings) |
| K25 | Employment Allocation (46,500sm B1/B2) |
| PN18 |  |

8.2 The results of the cumulative assessment of the above sites along with committed development traffic and traffic growth are summarised in the West of Harrogate Transport Strategy report produced by Ashley Helme Associates on behalf of the West of Harrogate site developers.
8.3 The Transport Strategy report identifies the cumulative impacts of the West of Harrogate sites and identifies mitigation measures required in order to accommodate the combined impacts of the sites on the surrounding network. The mitigation measures include capacity improvements at junctions where necessary as well as improvements to pedestrian/ cycle facilities at a number of locations.
8.4 Given the cumulative impact of the West of Harrogate sites the proposed mitigation measures would be delivered either via S278 works for specific improvements or a S106 contribution to the wider package of mitigation measures.

### 9.0 SUMMARY

9.1 Tetra Tech (TT) have been appointed by Banks Group to produce a Transport Assessment (TA) and Travel Plan (TP) for submission with a planning application for a residential development of up to 251 units and provision of a Primary School on land west of Whinney Lane, Harrogate.
9.2 The development will be accessed from a 4-arm roundabout junction with Whinney Lane which will also serve the land east of Whinney Lane which has planning permission as part of allocation H 70 .
9.3 The current application considers development of part of the H51 site on the west side of Whinney Lane, based on the principles set out for the masterplan of the full H 51 and H 70 site allocations as well as the parameters plan for the West of Harrogate allocation sites. There is a planning application lodged by Gladman (18/05202/EIAMAJ) which sets out the development proposals for the remaining part of the H 51 site.
9.4 The development site is accessible on foot or by bike to a range of local destinations across the local and wider Harrogate area via the existing network of paths and routes. Access to bus services would be improved by extending the number 6 route on Whinney Lane and the proposed layout has been designed to accommodate future bus access as well provision of a layby on Whinney Lane adjacent to the proposed site access.
9.5 Accidents on the local road network including the junctions have been assessed. There were no reported incidents within 250 m in both directions of the Whinney Lane/ Site Access roundabout junction during this period. The frequency of accidents that occurred on the road network local to the site is reasonably low and in most cases the incidents resulted from driver error which can be difficult to address with engineering measures and therefore mitigation measures for highway safety reasons are not required.
9.6 The proposed site access junction is expected to operate well within capacity in 2030 with the addition of traffic growth, committed development and development traffic.
9.7 The impact of the development on the local road network in 2030 has been assessed, including the traffic generated by a number of committed developments and background traffic growth. The assessment has shown that at all four junctions the impact of the development is not severe and no mitigation measures are required.
9.8 A cumulative assessment of the impact of the West of Harrogate sites has also been undertaken and is summarised in the West of Harrogate Transport Strategy report. This report considers the cumulative impact of all of the allocated west of Harrogate sites as well as the impacts of traffic growth and committed development traffic. Mitigation measures have been identified at a number of junctions and locations including capacity improvements and improved pedestrian/ cycle facilities.
9.9 The wider package of mitigation measures to deal with the cumulative impact of development would be secured via either S278 or S106 agreements.
9.10 The proposed development therefore meets the sustainable transport objectives of the National Planning Policy Framework and its residual traffic impacts are not considered severe. On that basis, there is no justifiable transportation reason why planning consent should be withheld.


Castlehill West, Whinney Lane, Harrogate
Site Location Plan
Figure 1
FE TETRA TECH



Castlehill West, Whinney Lane, Harrogate
Figure 3
Local Cycle Plan





Castlehill West, Whinney Lane, Harrogate
Journey to Work Routing

Figure 7
Tt TETRA TECH


Castlehill West, Whinney Lane, Harrogate















## High level schedule breakdown

1 beds - 10 homes
2 beds - 61 homes
3 beds - 125 homes
$4 / 5$ beds - 55 homes
Total cumulative homes
251 homes
Of which $40 \%$ are affordable (note not split between affordable rent and intermediate at present)

Total affordable - 100 homes
$\qquad$
Conditions @ pegasusgroup.co.uk



## APPENDIX C - HIGHWAY LINKS REVIEW

| Road | Section | Length | Speed Limit | Approximate Widths | Potential Constraints | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Howhill Road | Howhill Road between Lady Lane and B6162 Otley Road. | 0.99 km | Derestricted | Approximately 4.5-4.7m between the edge of carriageway markings. <br> Additional $0.5-0.7 \mathrm{~m}$ (each side) of asphalt surface between the edge of carriageway markings and grass verge. | Sharp bend at junction with Howhill Quarry Road. | Howhill Road is rural in nature. It is generally straight, except at junction with Howhill Quarry Road. There are no sudden changes in vertical alignment. On-street parking has not been observed. The carriageway allows two-way working for cars. HGVs may be required to use the additional land outside of the edge of carriageway markings to pass other vehicles. |
| Beckwith Head Road | Full length of road between Lady Lane and B6162 Otley <br> Road. | 0.76km | 30/40mph | Approximately 6.2-6.8m carriageway. | On street parking occurs in the vicinity of the business park (where restriction not in place). This can reduce the carriageway to one-way working, particularly for larger vehicles. | Beckwith Head Road is a straight and has no sudden changes in vertical alignment. It is generally two-way working, but this can be reduced where on-street parking is present at the northern end of the road. |
| Lady Lane | Between Beckwith Head Road and Whinney Lane | 1.0km | Derestricted | Approximately 4.5-5.4m wide. | - Several bends, <br> - Localised narrowing. | Lady Lane is rural in character. It is predominately two-way working for cars. |
| Whinney Lane | Between Yew Tree Lane R/A and Lady lane. | 1.0 km | 30 mph and derestricted | Approximately 5.0-6.5m wide. | - On-street parking, <br> - Sharp bend, <br> - Localised narrowing. | It has residential development on both sides of the road for the first 175m, south from Pannal Ash roundabout, then residential development only on the east side for a further 100 m , thereafter the road is a rural character. It is predominately two-way working for cars. On-street parking occurs at the northern end of Whinney Lane. |
| Hill Top <br> Lane/Hill Foot Lane | Between Whinney Lane and Burn Bridge Road. | 1.7 km | 30/40mph and derestricted. | Generally the road is around 4.1-5.5m between edge of carriageway markings. However, the carriageway narrows to $3.9-4.0 \mathrm{~m}$ on the sharp bend where road changes name from Hill Top Lane to Hill Foot Lane. | - Several bends and changes to the vertical alignment that restrict forward visibility, - Localised narrowing is several sections. | Hill Top Lane/Hill Foot Lane is rural in nature. The carriageway is generally wide enough to allows two cars to pass one another, though there are narrow sections with evidence of grass verges being used to allow vehicle to pass one another. |
| Yew Tree Lane | Section between Whinney Lane R/A and Rosset Green Lane. | 0.94 km | 30 mph | Approximately 6.0 m carriageway. | Some on-street parking occurs along the northern section near the school/college. | This section of Yew Tree Lane has the characteristics of a modern residential road. It is wide enough for two-way working, though on-street parking can restrict this in some locations. |
| Yew Tree Lane | Section between Rosset Green and Burn Bridge Road. | 0.94 km | 30 mph | Approximately 5.0-6.1m wide. | - Several bends and changes in the vertical alignment, <br> - Priority controlled one-way working and speed hump to the south of Spring Lane. | This section of Yew Tree Lane is more rural in nature than the northern section. It is generally narrower, and the alignment is much more bendy. However, there is little on-street parking along this section. There are some traffic calming features on the southern part of Yew Tree Lane. |


| Spring <br> Lane/Rosedale | Between Yew Tree Lane and Main Street. | 0.67km | 20/30mph | Spring Lane is approximately 4.4-5.8m wide. Rosedale is 7.5 m wide. | - On-street parking and narrow sections (Spring Lane). | Spring Lane is semi-rural in nature. The road varies in width and there is no footway over most of its length. It is generally wide enough for two cars to pass each other, but on-street parking can restrict to one-way working in some places. Rosedale is a modern carriageway with footway on both sides and provides an alternative route to Main Street than the last section of Spring Lane. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Green Lane | Between Whinney Lane R/A and Rosset Green Lane. | 0.88km | 30 mph | Approximately 7.3 m wide. | - On-street parking in vicinity of school and college. | Green Lane is a modern urban road. At around 7.3 m wide it can accommodate parking on one side whist still allowing two-way working. |
| Church Lane | Between Rosset Green Lane and Main Street. | 1.2km | 20/30mph | Generally 5.7-6.2m wide, but narrows to 4.8 m at southern end. | - Several bends along the route, <br> - Narrowing of the carriageway at the southern end of Church Lane. | Green Lane is predominately rural in nature. It is wide enough for two-way working and there is little on-street parking. The road narrows on the approach to Main Street. The road is still wide enough to allow two-way working for cars. |
| Main <br> Street/Station <br> Road | Between Church Lane and A61 Leeds Road. | 0.72km | 20/30mph | Approximately 5.7-7.3m wide. | - Traffic calming (speed humps), <br> - Localised narrowing (eg bridge over Crimple Beck), <br> - One-way working (traffic signals) over railway line, <br> - A61 Leeds Road/Station Road traffic signals. | Main Street/Station Road is two-way working. There are traffic calming features and some narrower sections. |
| Burn Bridge <br> Road | Between Hill Foot Lane and A61 Harrogate Road. | 1.26 km | 30 mph and derestricted | Approximately $5.5-6.1 \mathrm{~m}$ wide. | - Traffic calming features (speed humps), <br> - One-way working over Crimple Beck bridge, <br> - Junction with Brackenthwaite Lane, <br> - Localised narrowing such as the bridge over the railway line, <br> - A61/Burn Bridge Road Junction. | Burn Bridge Road is semi-rural in nature with house on at least one side of the road until Brackenthwaite Lane and some traffic calming features, after which it is firmly rural in character. |
| Brackenthwaite Lane | Between Burn Bridge Lane and Shaw Lane. | 3.4km | 30 mph and derestricted | Approximately 4.5m-5.1m. | - Several bends, some which are particularly sharp one, <br> - Localised narrowing including on some of the bends. | Brackenthwaite Lane is rural in nature. It is generally two-way working for cars, with a few exceptions where the carriageway. |
| Briscoe Ridge Lane | Between Shaw Lane and the B6161 Otley Road. | 1.59 km | Derestricted | Approximately 3.5-5.1m | - Mainly one-way working. | Briscoe Ridge Lane is a rural road, which mainly operates with one-way working with some passing places. |


| High Moor <br> Road/Rigton <br> Hill | Between Shaw Lane and | 2.3km | 20/30mph and derestricted | Approximately 5.3-6.0m wide. | - Several bends, <br> - Localised narrowing, <br> - One-way working (priority controlled), <br> - On-street parking (Rigton Hill). | High Moor Road is rural in nature. It is subject to a derestricted speed limit. Apart from a few noticeable bends, it is very straight. Rigton Hill is generally slightly narrower than High Moor Road. It is more urban, and some on-street parking occurs. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hall Green Lane | Between Rigton Hill and A658 Harrogate Road | 0.94 km | 20/30mph and derestricted | Approximately 5.3-6.2m wide. | - Traffic calming (speed humps), <br> - On-street parking. | The first circa 200 m of Hall Green Lane (from Rigton Hill) is urban in nature and on-street parking occurs. After this point it becomes much more rural. Hall Green Lane is generally two-way working, but this can be restricted where on-street parking occurs. |
| Church Hill | Between Rigton Hill and A658 Harrogate Road | 0.72 km | 20/30mph and derestricted | Approximately 5.1-5.6m wide. | - On-street parking. | The first circa 350m of Church Hill (from Rigton Hill) is urban in nature and on-street parking occurs. After this point it becomes more rural. It is generally two-way working for cars, but this can be restricted where on-street parking occurs at the northern end. |
| Shaw Lane | Between Brackenthwaite Lane and B6161 Otley Road | 1.9km | Derestricted | Approximately 4.8-6.1m. | - Several sharp bends, <br> - Change in vertical alignment that restricts forward visibility, <br> - Localised narrowing. | Shaw Lane is a rural road that is subject to a derestricted speed limit. There are a number of sharp bends and localised narrowing, but the road is generally wide enough for two-way working. |

## APPENDIX D - COMMITTED DEVELOPMENT TRAFFIC DIAGRAMS





Accidents between dates $\quad 01 / 01 / 2017$ and $29 / 02 / 2020 \quad$ (38) months

## Selection:

## Selected using Manual Selection

| 12170045739 | 14/03/2017 | Time 1540 |  | Vehicles 2 | Casualties | 1 | Slight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E: 429378 | N: 453282 | 2 First Road: U | 309 | Road Type: | Single carriageway |  | Speed limit: 30 |
| Junction Detail: | Pri Drive |  |  | Give way or | ontrolled |  | Unclassified |
| Crossing Control | Facilities S | School crossing patrol at | None within | Daylight |  |  | Road surface Dry |
| Fine without high | winds |  |  | Special Cond | tions at Site: Non |  |  |
| Carriageway Haz | ards: None |  |  | Place accide | reported: Elsew | where |  |


|  | Causation Factor: | Participant: |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | Confidence:

V1 WAS PARKED ON THE STREET AND V2 REVERSED INTO REAR OF VEHICLE 1
Occurred on GREEN LANE AT ENTRANCE TO ROSSETT SCHOOL HARROGATE

Vehicle Reference 1
Vehicle movement from Parked to
On main carriageway
Hit vehicle:
Hit object in road None
Off road: Did not leave carr
Not hit and run

## Car

Parked No tow / articulation
No skidding, jack-knifing or overturning
Location at impact Jct Approach
Hit off road: None
Age of Driver 33
Breath test Driver not contacted

## Parked

First impact Back

Female
Left hand drive No

Casualty Reference: 1 Vehicle: $1 \quad$ Age: 10 Female Passenger
Seatbelt: Worn and independently confirmed Front seat
,

Van or Goods 3.5 tonnes mgw and under
No tow / articulation
No skidding, jack-knifing or overturning Location at impact Jct Approach
Hit off road: None
Age of Driver 58
Breath test Driver not contacted

Severity: Slight
Cycle helmet: Not a cyclist

Reversing
First impact Back

Male
Left hand drive No

| Accidents between dates | 01/01/2017 and | 29/02/2020 | (38) months |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Selection: |  |  | Notes: |

## Selection:

Notes
Selected using Manual Selection


|  | Causation Factor: | Participant: | Confidence: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1st: | Failed to look properly | Casualty 1 | Possible |
| 2nd: | Crossed road masked by stationary veh | Casualty 1 | Possible |
| 3rd: | Junction restart | Vehicle 1 | Possible |

V1 STATIONARY WAITING TO TURN RIGHT AT ROUNDABOUT CONTROLLED JUNCTION. PEDESTRIAN CROSSES FROM NEARSIDE AND HIT BY V1 AS IT SETS OFF
Occurred on B6162 OTLEY ROAD AT JUNCTION WITH A61 LEEDS ROAD.

Accidents between dates $\quad 01 / 01 / 2017$ and $29 / 02 / 2020 \quad$ (38) months

## Selection:

Notes:
Selected using Manual Selection


| Causation Factor: | Participant: | Confidence: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1st: | Illness or disability, mental or physical | Vehicle 1 |

## THROUFG A CONED OFF AREA AND INTO LARGE HOLE THERE DUE TO ROADWORKS <br> Occurred on A6040 YORK PLACE AT ROUNDABOUT WITH A61 LEEDS ROAD HARROGATE


Casualty Reference: 1 Vehicle: $1 \quad$ Age: 47 Male Driver/rider Severity: Serious Seatbelt: Worn but not independently confirme Not car passenger

Vehicle Reference 2
Vehicle movement from Parked to
On main carriageway
Hit vehicle:
Hit object in road None
Off road: Did not leave carr
Not hit and run

Van or Goods 3.5 tonnes mgw and under Parked No tow / articulation
No skidding, jack-knifing or overturning Location at impact Jct Approach
Hit off road: None
Age of Driver Unknown
Breath test Driver not contacted

Driver/rider Cycle helmet: Not a cyclist

Parked
First impact Back

Left hand drive No

TRAFFMAP
Accidents between dates $\quad 01 / 01 / 2017$ and $29 / 02 / 2020 \quad$ (38) months

## Selection:

Selected using Manual Selection



## Causation Factor

Participant:
Confidence:

Failed to judge vehicles path or speed
Casualty 1
Very Likely
st:
2nd
3rd:
4th:
5th:
6th:

Notes:

PEDESTRIAN STEPS OUT ONTO PATH OF VEHICLE 1 CAUSING MINOR INJURIES
Occurred on A6040 YORK PLACE AT ROUNDABOUT WITH A61 HARROGATE


## Accidents between dates <br> 01/01/2017 and $29 / 02 / 2020$ (38) months

Selection:
Notes:
Selected using Manual Selection


|  | Causation Factor: | Participant: | Confidence: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1st: | Distraction in vehicle | Vehicle 1 | Possible |
| 2nd: | Failed to look properly | Vehicle 1 | Possible |

## V3 AND V2 STOP DUE TO STATIONARY TRAFFIC AHEAD V1 HITS REAR OF V2 CAUSING V2 TO HIT REAR OF V3

 Occurred on ALL 3 VEHICLES TRAVELLING TOWARDS RIPON ON THE A61| Vehicle Reference 1 |  | Car |  | Stopping |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle movement from | SW to NE | No tow / articulation |  |  |  |
| On main carriageway |  | No skidding, jack-knifing or overturning |  | First impact | Front |
| Hit vehicle: 2 |  | Location at im | ct Not at, or within |  |  |
| Hit object in road None |  | Hit off road: | None |  |  |
| Off road: Did not leave carr |  | Age of Driver | 39 | Female |  |
| Not hit and run |  | Breath test | Negative | Left hand drive | No |
| Vehicle Reference 2 |  | Car |  | Going ahead but | but held up |
| Vehicle movement from | SW to NE | No tow / articu |  |  |  |
| On main carriageway |  | No skidding, ja | -knifing or overturning | First impact B | Back |
| Hit vehicle: 3 |  | Location at im | Not at, or within |  |  |
| Hit object in road None |  | Hit off road: | None |  |  |
| Off road: Did not leave carr |  | Age of Driver | 42 | Male |  |
| Not hit and run |  | Breath test | Negative | Left hand drive | No |


| Casualty Reference: | 1 | Vehicle: | 2 | Age: 42 | Female | Passenger |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Seatbelt: Worn but not independently confirme | Front seat |  |  |  | Severity: Slight |  |
| Cycle helmet: | Not a cyclist |  |  |  |  |  |


| Vehicle Reference 3 |  | Car | Going ahead but held up |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vehicle movement from | SW to NE | No tow / articulation |  |
| On main carriageway |  | No skidding, jack-knifing or overturning | First impact Back |
| Hit vehicle: | Location at impact Not at, or within 20M of Jct |  |  |
| Hit object in road None | Hit off road: None |  |  |
| Off road: Did not leave carr | Age of Driver 49 |  |  |
| Not hit and run | Breath test | Negative | Female |


| Accidents between dates | $01 / 01 / 2017$ | and | $\mathbf{2 9 / 0 2} / 2020$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad$| (38) months |
| :---: |
| Selection: |

## Selected using Manual Selection

| 12170129630 | 19/07/2017 | Time 1130 |  | Vehicles 2 |  | lties | 1 | Slight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E: 429943 | N: 451328 | First Road: C | 258 | Road Type: | Single carri | vay |  | Speed limit: 30 |
| Junction Detail: Not within 20 m of junction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crossing Control | Facilities N | vithin 50 m |  | Daylight |  |  |  | Road surface Dry |
| Fine without hig | winds |  |  | Special Con | tions at Site: | Non |  |  |
| Carriageway Haz | ards: None |  |  | Place accide | reported: | Else | wh |  |


|  | Causation Factor: | Participant: | Confidence: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1st: | Loss of control | Vehicle 2 | Very Likely |
| 2nd: | Careless/Reckless/In a hurry | Vehicle 1 | Very Likely |

## V1 OVERTAKES V2 TOO CLOSE CAUSING V2 TO CLIP THE KERB

Occurred on BRCKENTHWAITE LANE HARROGATE


Accidents between dates $\quad 01 / 01 / 2017$ and $29 / 02 / 2020 \quad$ (38) months
Selection:
Selected using Manual Selection


|  | Causation Factor: | Participant: | Confidence: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1st: | Failed to look properly | Vehicle 2 | Very Likely |
| 2nd: | Poor turn or manoevre | Vehicle 2 | Very Likely |
| 3rd: | Impaired by alcohol | Vehicle 1 | Very Likely |
| 4th: | Nervous/Uncertain/Panic | Vehicle 1 | Possible |
| 5th: | Swerved | Vehicle 1 | Possible |
| 6th: | Fatigue | Vehicle 1 | Very Likely |

V1 TRAVELLING NORTHBOUND IN THE DIRECTION OF HARROGATE ON APPROACH TO JUNCTION WITH BURNBRIDGE LANE COLLIDES WITH V2. CAUSING FRONT END DAMAGE TO OFFSIDE OF V2
Occurred on A61 LEEDS ROAD AT JUNCTION WITH BURNBRIDGE LANE

| Vehicle Reference 1 |  |  |  | Car |  |  |  | Going ahead other |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle movement from | N to | S |  | No tow / articu |  |  |  |  |  |
| On main carriageway |  |  |  | No skidding, j | -knifin | overturning |  | First impact Front |  |
| Hit vehicle: |  |  |  | Location at im |  | Approach |  |  |  |
| Hit object in road None |  |  |  | Hit off road: | None |  |  |  |  |
| Off road: Did not leave carr |  |  |  | Age of Driver | 53 |  |  | Female |  |
| Not hit and run |  |  |  | Breath test | Positive |  |  | Left hand drive | e No |
| Casualty Reference: | 1 |  | Vehicle: | $1 \quad$ Age: 53Not car passenger |  | Female | Driver/rider | Severity: |  |
| Seatbelt: Unknown |  |  |  |  |  | Cycle helmet: |  | Not |
| Vehicle Reference 2 |  |  |  | Car |  |  |  |  | Turning right |  |
| Vehicle movement from | NW to | S |  | No tow / articulation |  |  |  |  |  |
| On main carriageway |  |  |  | No skidding, ja | -knifin | overturning |  | First impact | Offside |
| Hit vehicle: |  |  |  | Location at im | t | Approach |  |  |  |
| Hit object in road None |  |  |  | Hit off road: | None |  |  |  |  |
| Off road: Did not leave carr |  |  |  | Age of Driver | 23 |  |  | Male |  |
| Not hit and run |  |  |  | Breath test | Negat |  |  | Left hand drive | No |

Accidents between dates
Selection:
Selected using Manual Selection

| 12170208429 | 20/11/2017 | Time 0840 |  | Vehicles 1 |  | lties | 1 | Slight |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E: 429720 | $\mathrm{N}: 454253$ | First Road: U | 24 | Road Type: | Single carri | way |  | Speed limit: 30 |  |
| Junction Detail: | T \& Stag Jct | None within 50 m |  | Give way or controlled |  |  |  | Unclassified | 202 |
| Crossing Control | Facilities N |  |  | Daylight |  |  |  | Road surface | Wet/Damp |
| Raining without | igh winds |  |  | Special Cond | tions at Site: | No |  |  |  |
| Carriageway Haz | ards: None |  |  | Place accide | reported: | Else | wh |  |  |


|  | Causation Factor: | Participant: | Confidence: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1st: | Failed to look properly | Casualty 1 | Possible |
| 2nd: | Failed to judge vehicles path or speed | Casualty 1 | Possible |
| 3rd: | Rain, sleet, snow, or fog | Vehicle 1 | Possible |
| 4th: |  |  |  |
| 5th: |  |  |  |

## V1 TRAVELLING SE IN SLOW TRAFFIC DOWN ARTHURS AVENUE WHEN A PEDESTRIAN CROSSES IN FRONT OT V1 AND WAS CLIPPED AND FELL TO THE FLOOR <br> Occurred on ARTHURS AVENUE AT JUNCTION WITH CUNDALL WAY HARROGATE

| Vehicle Reference 1 |  | Car | Going ahead other |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vehicle movement from | NW to SE | No tow /articulation |  |
| On main carriageway |  | No skidding, jack-knifing or overturning | First impact Front |
| Hit vehicle: | Location at impact Jct Approach |  |  |
| Hit object in road None | Hit off road: None |  |  |
| Off road: Did not leave carr | Age of Driver 36 | Female |  |
| Not hit and run | Breath test | Driver not contacted | Left hand drive No |


| Casualty Reference: 1 | Vehicle: | Age: 11 <br> Not car passenger | Female | Pedestrian | Severity: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slight |  |  |  |  |  |


| Accidents between dates | $01 / 01 / 2017$ | and $\quad 29 / 02 / 2020 \quad$ (38) months |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Selection: <br> Selected using Manual Selection

Notes:

| 12170213896 | $24 / 11 / 2017$ | Time 1250 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| E: | 429592 | $\mathrm{~N}: ~ 454314$ | First Road: B | 616 |

Junction Detail: Not within 20m of junction
Crossing Control Facilities None within 50 m
Fine without high winds
Carriageway Hazards: None

| Vehicles 2 | Casualties | 1 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | | Slight |
| :--- |
| Road Type: |
| Speed limit: 30 |


|  | Causation Factor: | Participant: | Confidence: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1st: | Failed to look properly | Vehicle 1 | Very Likely |

nd
3rd:
4th:
5th:
6th:

## V1 AND V2 BOTH TRAVELLING IN THE SAME DIRECTION - V2 STOPS FOR TRAFFIC BUT V1 FAILS TO STOP AND HITS V2 REAR

Occurred on B6162 OTLEY ROAD 50 M N/E PANNAL ASH ROAD HARROGATE

| Vehicle Reference 1 | Car | Going ahead other |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vehicle movement from | SW to NE | No tow / articulation |  |
| On main carriageway |  | No skidding, jack-knifing or overturning | First impact Front |
| Hit vehicle: | Location at impact Not at, or within 20M of Jct |  |  |
| Hit object in road None | Hit off road: None |  |  |
| Off road: Did not leave carr | Age of Driver $\quad 67$ | Male |  |
| Not hit and run | Breath test | Driver not contacted | Left hand drive No |

Casualty Reference: 1 Vehicle: $1 \quad$ Age: 67 Male Driver/rider Severity: Slight

Seatbelt: Worn but not independently confirme Not car passenger

Vehicle Reference 2
Vehicle movement from SW to NE
On main carriageway
Hit vehicle:
Hit object in road None
Off road: Did not leave carr
Not hit and run

## Car

No tow / articulation
No skidding, jack-knifing or overturning
Location at impact Not at, or within 20M of Jct
Hit off road: None
Age of Driver 54 Male
Breath test Driver not contacted Left hand drive No

Accidents between dates
Selection:
Selected using Manual Selection


|  | Causation Factor: | Participant: | Confidence: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1st: | Careless/Reckless/In a hurry | Vehicle 2 | Very Likely |

V1 TRAVELLING NORTH TOWARDS HARROGATE APPROACHES ROUNDABOUT MOVES TO NEARSIDE LANE TO POSITION FOR ROUNDABOUT WHERE V2 IMPACTS ON THE FRONT OF V1
Occurred on A61 LEEDS ROAD SOUTH B6162 OTELY ROAD HARROGATE

| Vehicle Reference |  | Car |  | Going ahead other |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle movement from | S to N | No tow / articulation |  |  |  |
| On main carriageway |  | No skidding, j | -knifing or overturning | First impact | Back |
| Hit vehicle: |  | Location at im | Jct Approach |  |  |
| Hit object in road None |  | Hit off road: | None |  |  |
| Off road: Did not leave carr |  | Age of Driver | 34 | Male |  |
| Not hit and run |  | Breath test | Negative | Left hand dr | No |

Casualty Reference: 1 Vehicle: $1 \quad$ Age: 34 Male Driver/rider Severity: Slight
Seatbelt: Worn and independently confirmed Not car passenger 34 Ma

Driver/rider

Car
No tow / articulation
No skidding, jack-knifing or overturning
Location at impact Jct Approach
Hit off road: None
Age of Driver 45 Male
Breath test Driver not contacted Left hand drive No
Accidents between dates $\quad 01 / 01 / 2017$ and $\quad 29 / 02 / 2020 \quad$ (38) months

## Selection:

Notes:
Selected using Manual Selection

| 12180042417 | $12 / 03 / 2018$ | Time $\quad 0830$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| E: $\quad 429536$ | $\mathrm{~N}: \quad 454282$ | First Road: B | 6162 |
| Junction Detail: $\quad$ Pri Drive |  |  |  |
| Crossing Control Facilities | None within 50 m |  |  |
| Raining without high winds |  |  |  |
| Carriageway Hazards: None |  |  |  |


| Vehicles 2 | Casualties 1 | Slight |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Road Type: | Single carriageway | Speed limit: 30 |
| Give way or controlled |  | Unclassified |
| Daylight |  | Road surface Wet/Damp |
| Special Conditions at Site: | None |  |
| Place accident reported: | At scene |  |


|  | Causation Factor: | Participant: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | Confidence:

2
Possible

3rd:
4th:
5th:
6th:

Vehicles 2 Casualties
Road Type: Single carriageway
Give way or controlled

Special Conditions at Site: None
Place accident reported: At scene

Sligh

Unclassified 563
Road surface Wet/Damp

Carriageway Hazards: None

Vehicle
Vehicle 1

V1 TRAVELLING NE TOWARDS PRINCE OF WALES ROUNDABOUT V2 TRAVELLING SW DIRECTION - V1 TURNS RIGHT INTO PANAL ASH ROAD IN THE PATH OF V2
Occurred on B6162 OTLEY ROAD AT JUNCTION WITH PANAL ASH ROAD KINDERCARE LTD HARROGATE

Accidents between dates $\quad 01 / 01 / 2017$ and $29 / 02 / 2020 \quad$ (38) months
Selection:

## Selection:

Notes:
Selected using Manual Selection


|  | Causation Factor: | Participant: | Confidence: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1st: | Failed to look properly | Vehicle 1 | Very Likely |
| 2nd: | Vehicle blind spot | Vehicle 1 | Possible |
| 3rd: |  |  |  |
| 4th: |  |  |  |
| 5th: |  |  |  |

[^0]

## Accidents between dates <br> 01/01/2017 and $\mathbf{2 9 / 0 2 / 2 0 2 0}$ (38) months

Selection:
Notes:
Selected using Manual Selection

| 12180078208 | $05 / 05 / 2018$ | Time 2212 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| E: $\quad 429666$ | N: $\quad 454351$ | First Road: B | 6162 |
| Junction Detail: | T \& Stag Jct |  |  |
| Crossing Control Facilities Ped. phase at traffic signal junction |  |  |  |
| Fine without high winds |  |  |  |
| Carriageway Hazards: None |  |  |  |

Vehicles 2 Casualties 3 Serious
Road Type: Single carriageway
Automatic traffic signal
Darkness: street lights present and lit
Special Conditions at Site: None
Place accident reported: At scene

Speed limit: 30
Unclassified 167
Road surface Dry

Carriageway Hazards: None

Participant:
Confidence:

Vehicle 1
Very Likely

1st:
2nd:
3rd:
4th:
5th:
6th:
Causation Factor:

Emergency vehicle on call

V1 TRAVELLING OTLEY ROAD DIR OF A61 PRINCE OF WALES R/A UNDER BLUES RESP TO I GRADE - V2 TRAVELS FROM COLD BATH ROAD INTO JUNCTION TO TURN RIGHT AT OTLEY ROAD - TRAFFIC LIGHTS ON RED FOR V1 AND GREEN FOR V2 - V1 PROCEEDS THROUGH RED LIGHTS AND COLLID
ES WITH V2 CAUSING IT TO SPIN AND COMES TO REST ON A WALL
Occurred on B6162 OTLEY ROAD AT JUNCTION WITH COLD BATH ROAD HARROGATE

Vehicle Reference $\quad 1$
Vehicle movement from S to N
On main carriageway
Hit vehicle:
Hit object in road None
Off road: O/S
Not hit and run

## Car

No tow / articulation
No skidding, jack-knifing or overturning First impact Front
Location at impact Jct Approach
Hit off road: Road sign / ATS
Age of Driver 35
Breath test Negative Left hand drive No
Casualty Reference: 1 Vehicle: $1 \quad$ Age: 35 Female Driver/rider Severity: Serious Seatbelt: Worn but not independently confirme Not car passenger
Vehicle Reference 2

Vehicle movement from W to S
On main carriageway
Hit vehicle:
Hit object in road None
Off road: Did not leave carr
Not hit and run


Accidents between dates
Selection:
Selected using Manual Selection

| 12180087561 | 19/05/2018 | Time 0830 |  | Vehicles 1 |  | lties | 2 | Serious |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E: 429313 | N: 453215 | First Road: C | 243 | Road Type: | Single carri | way |  | Speed limit: 30 |  |
| Junction Detail: | T \& Stag Jct |  |  | Give way or controlled |  |  |  | Unclassified | 893 |
| Crossing Control | Facilities N | None within 50 m |  | Daylight |  |  |  | Road surface | Dry |
| Fine without hig | winds |  |  | Special Cond | tions at Site: | No |  |  |  |
| Carriageway Haz | ards: None |  |  | Place accide | reported: | At s | ene |  |  |


|  | Causation Factor: | Participant: | Confidence: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 1st: | Failed to look properly | Vehicle 1 | Very Likely |
| 2nd: | Vehicle blind spot | Vehicle 1 | Very Likely |

C 1 AND C2 WALKING ALONG THE ROAD V1 DRIVES PAST THEM REALISES SHE HAS MISSED HER TURNING AND REVERSES KNOCKING DOWN C1 THEN C2
Occurred on YEW TREE LANE 5M S ASHVILLE COLLEGE SPORT HARROGATE

Accidents between dates $\quad 01 / 01 / 2017$ and $29 / 02 / 2020 \quad$ (38) months

## Selection:

Notes:
Selected using Manual Selection


|  | Causation Factor: | Participant: | Confidence: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1st: | Failed to look properly | Casualty 1 | Very Likely |
| 2nd: | Failed to judge vehicles path or speed | Casualty 1 | Possible |
| 3rd: | Failed to look properly | Vehicle 1 | Very Likely |
| 4th: |  |  |  |
| 5th: |  |  |  |
| 6th: |  |  |  |

VEHICLE APPROACHING PRICE OF WALES ROUNDABOUT STATIONARY WAITINF FOR A GAP IN THE TRAFFIC. A FEMALE WAITING TO CROSS THE LINE OF TRAFFIC FROM THE LEEDS ROAD SIDE STEPPED OUT. MINIMAL IMPACT TO THE VEHICLE HOWEVER, FEMALE FELL BACK ONTO THE ROAD CAUS ING INJURY TO HER SHOULDER.
Occurred on A61 YORK PLACE PRINCE OF WALES HARROGATE


Accidents between dates $\quad 01 / 01 / 2017$ and $29 / 02 / 2020 \quad$ (38) months
Selection:
Selected using Manual Selection
1800395 19/07/2018 Time 1530
E: 429524 N: 453725 First Road: U

Junction Detail: T \& Stag Jct
Crossing Control Facilities None within 50m
Fine without high winds
Carriageway Hazards: None

Vehicles 2 Casualties 1
Road Type: Single carriageway
Give way or controlled
Daylight
Special Conditions at Site: None
Place accident reported: At scene

Slight
Speed limit: 20
Unclassified
Road surface Dry

Causation Factor:

| 1st: | Travelling too fast for conditions |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2nd: | Failed to judge other persons path or speed |

Failed to look properly

Participant:
Confidence:

| Vehicle 1 | Possible |
| :--- | :--- |
| Vehicle 1 | Possible |
| Vehicle 2 | Possible |

QUEUE OF TRAFFIC ON PANNAL ASH ROAD HEADING NORTH V1 IS OVERTAKING QUEUE WHEN COLLIDES WITH V2 WHICH IS TURNING RIGHT ONTO RICHMOND AVENUE Occurred on UNCLASSIFIED PANNAL ASH ROAD AT JUNCTION WITH UNCLASSIFIED RICHMOND AVENUE HARROGATE
Vehicle Reference 1
Vehicle movement from $\quad \mathrm{S}$ to E
On main carriageway
Hit vehicle:
Hit object in road None
Off road: Did not leave carr
Not hit and run

Motor Cycle over 50 cc and up to 125 cc
No tow / articulation
No skidding, jack-knifing or overturning
Location at impact Jct Approach
Hit off road: None
Age of Driver 19 Male
Breath test Negative

Overtaking moving vehicle $\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{S}$
First impact Nearside

Left hand drive No

Seatbelt: Not Applicable

Vehicle Reference 2
Vehicle movement from SW to E
On main carriageway
Hit vehicle:
Hit object in road None
Off road: Did not leave carr
Not hit and run

Casualty Reference: 1 Vehicle: $1 \quad$ Age: 19 Male Driver/rider
Not car passenger

## Car

No tow / articulation
No skidding, jack-knifing or overturning
Location at impact Jct Approach
Hit off road: None
Age of Driver $31 \quad$ Female
Breath test Negative Left hand drive No

## Accidents between dates <br> 01/01/2017 and 29/02/2020 (38) months

Selection:
Selected using Manual Selection


|  | Causation Factor: | Participant: | Confidence: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1st: | Failed to look properly | Vehicle 1 | Very Likely |

2nd: Failed to judge other persons path or speed
3rd:
4th:
5th:
6th:
Vehicle 1
:
:

THE HONDA CIVIC, LESLEY REYNOLDS WAS DRIVING DOWN PANNAL ASH ROAD TOWARDS THE ROUNDABOUT THIS CAR SLOWED DOWN TOWARDS THE JUNCTION BUT DIDN'T COME TO A STOP, THE DRIVER THEN EDGED FORWARD INTO THE ROUNDABOUT, A CYCLIST CAME FROM YEW TREE LANE, ROUND
THE ROUNDABOUT TO LEAVE DOWN GREEN LAEN. THE CYCLIST COLLIDED WITH TE CAR HITTING THE FRONT OFFSIDE OF THE CAR, THE CYCLIST RECEIVED MINOR INJURIES OF A GRAZED ARM AMD BRUISING
Occurred on PANNAL ASH ROAD ROUNDABOUT AT JUNTION WITH GREEN RD HARROGATE

Accidents between dates $\quad 01 / 01 / 2017$ and $29 / 02 / 2020 \quad$ (38) months

## Selection: <br> Selected using Manual Selection

Notes:

| 1800375 | $16 / 10 / 2018$ | Time 1145 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E: $\quad 429541$ | N: $\quad 454286$ | First Road: B | 6162 |
| Junction Detail: $\quad$ Crossroads |  |  |  |
| Crossing Control Facilities | Ped. phase at traffic signal junction |  |  |
| Fine without high winds  <br> Carriageway Hazards: None  |  |  |  |


| Vehicles 2 | Casualties 1 | Slight |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Road Type: | Single carriageway | Speed limit: 30 |
| Automatic traffic signal |  | Unclassified |
| Daylight |  | Road surface Dry |
| Special Conditions at Site: | None |  |
| Place accident reported: | At scene |  |


|  | Causation Factor: | Participant: | Confidence: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1st: | Disobeyed automatic traffic signal | Vehicle 1 | Very Likely |
| 2nd: | Failed to look properly | Vehicle 1 | Very Likely |
| 3rd: |  |  |  |
| 4th: |  |  |  |
| 5th: |  |  |  |

V1 TRAVELLING OTLEY ROAD FROM TOWN CENTRE. V2 TURNING RIGHT ONTO OTLEY ROAD TOWARDS TOWN CENTRE V1 ALLEDGEDLY CONTRAVENES RED TRAFFIC LIGHT. FRONT OF V1 COLLIDES WITH FRONT OFFSIDE OF V2. Occurred on B6162 OTLEY ROAD

| Vehicle Reference 1 |  |  | Car |  | Going ahead other |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle movement from | NE to | SW | No tow / articu |  |  |  |
| On main carriageway |  |  | No skidding, jack-knifing or overturning |  | First impact | Front |
| Hit vehicle: |  |  | Location at impact Mid Junction - on roundabout or main roa |  |  |  |
| Hit object in road None |  |  | Hit off road: | None |  |  |
| Off road: Did not leave carr |  |  | Age of Driver | 74 | Male |  |
| Not hit and run |  |  | Breath test | Negative | Left hand drive | e No |
| Vehicle Reference 2 |  |  | Car |  | Turning right |  |
| Vehicle movement from | S to | NE | No tow / articu | ion |  |  |
| On main carriageway |  |  | No skidding, j | -knifing or overturning | First impact | Offside |
| Hit vehicle: |  |  | Location at im | ct Entering main road |  |  |
| Hit object in road None |  |  | Hit off road: | None |  |  |
| Off road: Did not leave carr |  |  | Age of Driver | 50 | Female |  |
| Not hit and run |  |  | Breath test | Negative | Left hand drive | No |

Casualty Reference: $1 \quad$ Vehicle: $2 \quad$ Age: 50 Female Driver/rider $\quad$ Severity: Slight
Seatbelt: Worn but not independently confirme Not car passenger Cycle helmet: Not a cyclist

Accidents between dates 01/01/2017 and $29 / 02 / 2020 \quad$ (38) months

## Selection:

Selected using Manual Selection

| 1800434 | 17/10/2018 | Time 0845 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| E: | 430210 | $\mathrm{~N}: \quad 454617$ | First Road: B | 616 |

Junction Detail: $\quad$ Not within 20 m of junction
Crossing Control Facilities Central reservation
Fine without high winds
Carriageway Hazards: None


|  | Causation Factor: | Participant: | Confidence: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1st: | Failed to look properly | Casualty 1 | Very Likely |
| 2nd: | Stationary or parked vehicle | Casualty 1 | Very Likely |

2 QUEING IN HEAVY TRAFFIC LEFT HAND LANE (OF TWO) OTLEY ROAD TWDS PRINCE OF WALES ROUNDABOUT. V1 TRAVELLING RIGHT HAND LANE PASSING V2 ON ITS OFFSIDE. PEDESTRIAN CROSSSES FROM NEARSIDE WALKS IN FRONT OF V2 INTO PATH OF V1 .COLLISION OCCURS
Occurred on B6162 OTLEY ROAD


| Accidents between dates | $01 / 01 / 2017$ | and $\quad 29 / 02 / 2020 \quad$ (38) months |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Selection: <br> Selected using Manual Selection

Notes:


|  | Causation Factor: | Participant: | Confidence: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1st: | Failed to look properly | Casualty 1 | Very Likely |
| 2nd: | Crossed road masked by stationary veh | Casualty 1 | Very Likely |

WALKING ACROSS OTLEY ROAD PEDESTRIAN. OTLEY ROAD PRIOR TO ROUNDABOUT PRINCE OF WALES IN DUAL LANE NEARSIDE VEHICLE STOPS TO LET PEDESTRIAN CROSS, PEDESTRIAN CROSSES FIRST LANE BUT WAS UNAWARE IT WAS DUAL LANE, STEPS OUT INTO SECOND LANE, VEHICLE RUNS
OVER HER FOOT.
Occurred on OTLEY ROAD HARROGATE


| Accidents between dates | $01 / 01 / 2017$ | and $\quad 29 / 02 / 2020 \quad$ (38) months |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Selection: <br> Selected using Manual Selection

Notes:


|  | Causation Factor: | Participant: | Confidence: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1st: | Failed to look properly | Casualty 1 | Possible |
| 2nd: | Careless/Reckless/In a hurry | Casualty 1 | Possible |

2nd: Careless/Reckless/In a hurry

3rd:
4th:
5th:
6th:

Vehicles $1 \quad$ Casualties $1 \quad$ Sligh
Type. Single carriageway
Daylight
None
Place accident reported: At scene

VEHICLE 1 WAS TRAVELLING DOWN COLD BATH ROAD TOWARDS TOWN, WHILST PASSING JUNCTION WITH HEYWOOD ROAD, INJURED PARTY HAS RUN OUT INTO THE ROAD FROM OFFSIDE, RUNNING INTO VEHICLE 1 OFFSIDE WING AND FALLING TO THE FLOOR.
Occurred on COLD BATH ROAD AT J/W HEYWOOD ROAD HARROGATE

| Vehicle Reference |  | Car |  | Going ahead other |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle movement from | W to E | No tow / articulation |  |  |  |
| On main carriageway |  | No skidding, j | -knifing or overturning | First impact | Offside |
| Hit vehicle: |  | Location at impact Mid Junction - on roundabout or main roa |  |  |  |
| Hit object in road None |  | Hit off road: | None |  |  |
| Off road: Did not leave carr |  | Age of Driver | 22 | Female |  |
| Not hit and run |  | Breath test | Negative | Left hand dr | e No |


| Casualty Reference: 1 | Vehicle: | Age: 13 <br> Not car passenger | Male |  | Pedestrian | Severity:Slight |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Seatbelt: Not Applicable |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Accidents between dates $\quad 01 / 01 / 2017$ and $29 / 02 / 2020 \quad$ (38) months
Selection:
Selected using Manual Selection


|  | Causation Factor: | Participant: | Confidence: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1st: | Other | Vehicle 1 | Very Likely |
| 2nd: | Failed to look properly | Vehicle 2 | Possible |
| 3rd: | Illegal turn or direction of travel | Vehicle 1 | Very Likely |

V2 DRIVING DOWN RAGLAN STREET HE GOT TO THE JUNCTION WITH WEST PARK LOOKED LEFT AND PULLED OUT ONTO WEST PARK WHERE COLLISION HAPPENED WITH V1 WHICH WAS TRAVELLING ALONG WEST PARK THE WRONG WAY DOWN A ONE WAY STREET. DRIVER OF V1 CHECKED WITH DRIVER
OF V2 THAT THEY WERE OK BEFORE LEAVING THE SCENE WITHOUT LEAVING ANY DETAILS
Occurred on UNCLASSIFIED RAGLAN STREET AT JUNCTION WITH A61 WEST PARK STREET HARROGATE

Accidents between dates $\quad 01 / 01 / 2017$ and $\quad 29 / 02 / 2020 \quad$ (38) months

## Selection:

Notes:
Selected using Manual Selection


|  | Causation Factor: | Participant: | Confidence: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1st: | Failed to look properly | Casualty 1 |  |
| 2nd: |  |  |  |
| 3rd: |  |  |  |
| 4th: |  |  |  |
| 5th: |  |  |  |

PEDESTRIAN HAS BEEN AT THE CO-OPERATIVE STORE ON OTLEY ROAD USING THE RECYCLING AND HAS STEPPED IN FRONT OF V1 AS IT HAS ENTERED THE CAR PARK
Occurred on B6162 OTLEY ROAD AT JUNCTION WITH MANOR DRIVE AT ENTRANCE TO CO-OP CAR PARK HARROGATE


| Accidents between dates | 01/01/2017 and | 29/02/2020 | (38) months |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Selection: |  |  | Notes: |

## Selected using Manual Selection

1901153 18/07/2019 Time 1530
E: $429670 \mathrm{~N}: 454351 \quad$ First Road: U

Junction Detail: Not within 20 m of junction
Crossing Control Facilities Pelican, puffin, toucan etc.
Fine without high winds
Carriageway Hazards: None

| Vehicles 1 | Casualties | 1 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Road Type: | Single carriageway | Slight <br> Speed limit: 30 |
| Daylight  Road surface Dry <br> Special Conditions at Site: <br> Place accident reported: None  <br> $l$  $\quad$ At scene |  |  |


| Causation Factor: | Participant: | Confidence: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Failed to look properly | Casualty 1 | Very Likely |
| Failed to judge vehicles path or speed | Casualty 1 | Very Likely |

1 DRIVING TOWARDS TOWN CENTRE MALE WALKS OUT IN FRONT OF IT AND CLIPS THE WING MIRROR Occurred on COLDBATH ROAD HARROGATE


Accidents between dates $\quad 01 / 01 / 2017$ and $29 / 02 / 2020 \quad$ (38) months
Selection:
Selected using Manual Selection
1901190 05/08/2019 Time 1957

E: $429674 \mathrm{~N}: 454361 \quad$ First Road: U
Junction Detail: Crossroads
Crossing Control Facilities Pelican, puffin, toucan etc.
Fine without high winds
Carriageway Hazards: None

| Vehicles 3 | Casualties 1 | Slight |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Road Type: | Single carriageway | Speed limit: 30 |
| Automatic traffic signal |  | Unclassified |
| Daylight | Road surface Dry |  |
| Special Conditions at Site: $\quad$ None |  |  |
| Place accident reported: | Elsewhere |  |

## Causation Factor

Confidence:

1st:
2nd
3rd:
4th:
5th:
6th:

V2 CROSSED PATH OF V1 CAUSING V1 TO SWERVE TO RIGHT AND SWERVE INTO STATIONARY V3.
Occurred on OTLEY ROAD/COLD BATH ROAD HARROAGTE
Vehicle Reference $\quad$ SW to NE
Vehicle movement from
On main carriageway
Hit vehicle:
Hit object in road None
Off road: Did not leave carr
Not hit and run

| Pedal Cycle | Going ahead other |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No tow /articulation |  |  |
| No skidding, jack-knifing or overturning | First impact Front |  |
| Location at impact Jct Approach |  |  |
| Hit off road: $\quad$ None |  |  |
| Age of Driver $\quad 48$ | Male |  |
| Breath test $\quad$ Not applicable | Left hand drive No |  |



Vehicle Reference 3
Vehicle movement from
On main carriageway
Hit vehicle:
Hit object in road None
Off road: Did not leave carr
Non-stop, not hit

Van or Goods 3.5 tonnes mgw and under
No tow / articulation
No skidding, jack-knifing or overturning First impact Did not impact
Location at impact Cleared junction or waiting/parked at junc
Hit off road: None
Age of Driver $55 \quad$ Male
Breath test Driver not contacted Left hand drive No

| Accidents between dates | $01 / 01 / 2017$ | and |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Selection: |  |  |

## Selection: <br> Selected using Manual Selection

Notes:

| 2000098 | 05/11/2019 | Time | 1842 | Vehicles 2 | Casualties | 1 | Slight |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E: 429670 | N: 454351 | First Road: U |  | Road Type: | Single carriageway |  | Speed limit: 30 |  |
| Junction Detail: Crossroads |  | None within 50 m |  | Automatic traffic signal |  |  | Unclassified |  |
| Crossing Control | Facilities N |  |  | Darkness: street lights present and lit |  |  | Road surface | Wet/Damp |
| Raining without | igh winds |  |  | Special Cond | itions at Site: None |  |  |  |
| Carriageway Haz | ards: None |  |  | Place accide | t reported: Elsew | whe |  |  |

## Causation Factor

Confidence:

```
1st:
2nd
3rd:
4th:
5th:
6th:
```

V2 WAS STATIONARY AT LIGHTS V1 CAME AT SPEED AND COLLIDED WITH REAR OF V2 Occurred on COLD BATH ROAD 1M FROM OTLEY ROAD HARROGATE

Accidents between dates $\quad 01 / 01 / 2017$ and $29 / 02 / 2020 \quad$ (38) months

## Selection:

Notes:
Selected using Manual Selection


|  | Causation Factor: | Participant: | Confidence: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1st: | Loss of control | Vehicle 1 | Very Likely |
| 2nd: | Illness or disability, mental or physical | Vehicle 1 | Very Likely |

V1 TRAVELLING TOWARDS LEEDS ATTEMPTED TO OVERTAKE V2 AN ONCOMING VEHICLE IS IN SIGHT SO V1 HAS MOVED BACK OVER COLLIDING WITH V2 TAKING BOTH INTO FIELD
Occurred on A61 100M SOUTH SWINDON LANE HARROGATE

Vehicle Reference 1
Vehicle movement from S to N
On main carriageway
Hit vehicle:
Hit object in road None
Off road: Nearside
Not hit and run

Car
No tow / articulation
No skidding, jack-knifing or overturning First impact Nearside
Location at impact Not at, or within 20M of Jct
Hit off road: Entered ditch
Age of Driver 85 Male
Breath test Negative Left hand drive No

Seatbelt: Worn but not independently confirme Not car passenger

Driver/rider

Car
No tow / articulation
No skidding, jack-knifing or overturning
Location at impact Not at, or within 20M of Jct
Hit off road: Entered ditch
Age of Driver 58
Breath test Negative

Severity: Slight Cycle helmet: Not a cyclist

Going ahead other
First impact Offside

Female
Left hand drive No

| Casualty Reference: | 2 | Vehicle: | 2 | Age: 58 | Female | Driver/rider |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Seatbelt: Worn but not independently confirme | Not car passenger |  |  | Severity: Slight |  |  |
| Cycle helmet: | Not a cyclist |  |  |  |  |  |


| Accidents between dates | $\mathbf{0 1 / 0 1 / 2 0 1 7}$ | and | $\mathbf{2 9 / 0 2} / 2020$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Selection: |  | (38) months |  |
| Selected using Manual Selection |  | Notes: |  |

Accidents between dates $\quad 01 / 01 / 2017$ and $29 / 02 / 2020 \quad$ (38) months
Selection:

Selected using Manual Selection

| Police Ref. | Date | Cas. | Sev. | P2W | Cycs | Peds $\mathbf{C h}$ | OAPs | Vis. | Manv. | Road Cond. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 12170045739 | $14 / 03 / 2017$ | 1 | Slight | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | Light | No turn | Dry


| Time | Location |
| ---: | :--- |
| 1540 | GREEN LANE AT ENTRANCE TO ROSSETT SCHOOL HARROGATE |
| 0900 | B6162 OTLEY ROAD AT JUNCTION WITH A61 LEEDS ROAD. |
| 1436 | A6040 YORK PLACE AT ROUNDABOUT WITH A61 LEEDS ROAD HARR |
| 0820 | A6040 YORK PLACE AT ROUNDABOUT WITH A61 HARROGATE |
| 1239 | ALL 3 VEHICLES TRAVELLING TOWARDS RIPON ON THE A61 |
| 1130 | BRCKENTHWAITE LANE HARROGATE |
| 1650 | A61 LEEDS ROAD AT JUNCTION WITH BURNBRIDGE LANE |
| 0840 | ARTHURS AVENUE AT JUNCTION WITH CUNDALL WAY HARROGATE |
| 1250 | B6162 OTLEY ROAD 50 M N/E PANNAL ASH ROAD HARROGATE |
| 1104 | A61 LEEDS ROAD SOUTH B6162 OTELY ROAD HARROGATE |
| 0830 | B6162 OTLEY ROAD AT JUNCTION WITH PANAL ASH ROAD KINDER |
| 1220 | MANOR DRIVE 13M N OF OTLEY ROAD HARROGATE |
| 2212 | B6162 OTLEY ROAD AT JUNCTION WITH COLD BATH ROAD HARROG |
| 0830 | YEW TREE LANE 5M S ASHVILLE COLLEGE SPORT HARROGATE |
| 1230 | A61 YORK PLACE PRINCE OF WALES HARROGATE |
| 1530 | UNCLASSIFIED PANNAL ASH ROAD AT JUNCTION WITH UNCLASSIF |
| 1240 | PANNAL ASH ROAD ROUNDABOUT AT JUNTION WITH GREEN RD HA |
| 1145 | B6162 OTLEY ROAD |
| 0845 | B6162 OTLEY ROAD |
| 1215 | OTLEY ROAD HARROGATE |
| 1545 | COLD BATH ROAD AT J/W HEYWOOD ROAD HARROGATE |
| 0447 | UNCLASSIFIED RAGLAN STREET AT JUNCTION WITH A61 WEST PAR |
| 1539 | B6162 OTLEY ROAD AT JUNCTION WITH MANOR DRIVE AT ENTRAN |
| 1530 | COLDBATH ROAD HARROGATE |
| 1957 | OTLEY ROAD/COLD BATH ROAD HARROAGTE |
| 1842 | COLD BATH ROAD 1M FROM OTLEY ROAD HARROGATE |
| 1109 | A61 100M SOUTH SWINDON LANE HARROGATE |
|  |  |

Total number of accidents listed:

| Accidents between dates | $01 / 01 / 2017$ | and $29 / 02 / 2020$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Selection: | (38) months |  |
| Selected using Manual Selection | Notes: |  |

Selected using Manual Selection
Police Ref. Date Cas. Sev. P2W Cycs Peds Ch OAPs Vis. Manv. Road Cond. Time Location


## APPENDIX F - TECHNICAL NOTE 8D

| TECHNICAL FILE NOTE 8D |  |  |  |  | ASHLEY HELME <br> ASSOCIATES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project | West of Harrogate Sites |  | Project No | 1586 |  |
| Contact | Originator | BDJ | Date | 21/2/2022 |  |

## Trip Rate Adjustment

### 1.1 Introduction

1.1.1 This Technical File Note considers the trip generation rates requested by NYCC to be used to estimate the traffic generated by the residential developments in the West of Harrogate. This technical note has been prepared by AHA, after discussion and input from Tetra Tech, Vectos and WSP.
1.1.2 The current rates requested by NYCC are set out below:

|  | Arr | Dep | Two-way |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AM | 0.159 | 0.420 | 0.579 |
| PM | 0.391 | 0.191 | 0.582. |

1.1.3 These trip rates were proposed prior to the Covid pandemic and also before the latest TRICS Guidance Note (February 2021) on the Decide \& Provide approach. It is considered that these trip rates can be considered 'base trip rates', which are to be adjusted as part of the 'scenario planning' stage.
1.1.4 This Technical Note considers the trip generation rates in the context of existing local trip rates, changing travel patterns and the impact that new facilities on H 49 and H 51 will have on travel patterns.

### 1.2 Decide \& Provide Approach

1.2.1 TRICS published a Guidance Note in February 2021 on the practical implementation of the Decide \& Provide approach. Traditionally a Predict \& Provide (P\&P) approach has been taken by relying on historical trip rate data to predict the trip rates of future developments.
1.2.2 The TRICS publication states in paragraph 4.4 of the Guidance Note that:
"The risks associated with sticking with the P\&P approach need to be recognised and acknowledged. If we continue to reproduce past transport solutions based on previous travel behaviours, it is inevitable that transport planning will continue to seek to provide infrastructure that meets previously predicted needs, rather than meeting, and indeed shaping, the transport needs of the future. It is important to recognise society's needs and changes in society, to avoid the overprovision of highway infrastructure and the perpetuation of car borne development. The possible consequences, unintended or otherwise, include:

- The potential over-provision of highway capacity which, in turn, can induce motorised traffic (exacerbating efforts to reduce direct CO2 emissions from the transport sector);
- The potential under-provision of walking and cycling infrastructure or public transport services; and
- The risk of planning and developing underutilised or stranded assets.' ${ }^{\prime}$
1.2.3 With regard to the Decide \& Provide approach, the TRICS Guidance Note states in paragraph 5.1:

> "The D\&P approach provides the opportunity for more positive and integrated transport and land use planning. It also provides the opportunity to meaningfully implement the modal hierarchy, giving greater centrality to the up-front consideration of walking and cycling, rather than a more cursory treatment as residual or less considered modes that has sometimes, historically, been the case."
1.2.4 The Guidance Note also discusses the changing relationships between the economy, society and travel that have occurred over the last few decades. These include increased online shopping working from home, reduced driving licence take up and use of technology for meetings.
1.2.5 It is clear from the guidance that simply relying on historic data to predict future demand risks designing road infrastructure on past trends and not what may be required in the future.
1.2.6 The TRICS guidance also stresses the importance of 'visioning' in the planning process and how this will influence the assumptions made on travel characteristics. Paragraph 6.5 states:
"Visioning is central to high quality place-making, creating better places to live, work and play. As such, there are three key questions that a plan or project needs to ask and meaningfully answer:

- What sort of place are we creating?
- What kind of activities do we need or desire to travel for?
-How will we provide for mobility?',
1.2.7 The West of Harrogate Sites will create high quality places to live and work, with good walk, cycle and public transport connections to the surrounding areas including the town centre. Significant pedestrian and cycle improvement works are already underway on Otley Road and these will be complemented by the walk and cycle facilities that will be provided as part of the developments. Improved bus accessibility will also be provided, which will benefit the new developments as well as existing residents in the vicinity. This provided the context for any assumptions regarding forecasting the travel characteristic of the West of Harrogate developments.
1.2.8 Scenario planning is an important part of the decide \& provide approach. Paragraph 7.7 of the TRICS guidance states:
'In quantitative terms, a future scenario for a project or plan will involve consideration of the following parameters or assumptions:
- The \% change in trip rates.
- The \% level of trip internalisation assumed.
- The \% change in car driver mode share.
- The \% change in active travel mode share.
- The \% change in public transport and shared mobility shares.
- The level of accessibility and mobility assumptions that the site layout and the land uses in the proposed project support.'
1.2.9 It is clear that any adjustments to the 'base trip rates' need to be considered in the context of the development proposals, including the potential for internalisation of trips and public transport improvements etc.


### 1.3 AHA Survey

1.3.1 AHA undertook traffic count surveys at the Snapdragon Way development, which is located off Crag Lane, Harrogate. The survey was undertaken on 18.01 .22 and the following vehicle movements were recorded in the AM and PM peak hours (0800-0900 \& 1700-1800).

|  | Arr | Dep | Two-way |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AM | 21 | 44 | 65 |
| PM | 40 | 33 | 73. |

1.3.2 The Snapdragon Way development comprises 119 dwellings and these appear to be fully constructed and occupied at the time of the survey. Based on this survey, the following trip generation rates are derived:

|  | Arr | Dep | Two-way |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AM | 0.176 | 0.370 | 0.546 |
| PM | 0.336 | 0.277 | 0.613 |

1.3.3 Review of the above shows that the trip generation rates derived from the Snapdragon Way development are broadly similar to those requested by NYCC. The Snapdragon Way trip rates are slightly lower in the AM and slightly higher in the PM, but the differences are relatively small.
1.3.4 It is considered that the NYCC trip rates are a good starting point for the West of Harrogate Sites for 2022. However, some of these developments, unlike Snapdragon Way, will benefit from on-site facilities such as primary schools, local shops and employment (H51 only) and the cumulative assessment is for the year 2030. Therefore, it is necessary to consider the impact that the facilities will have on trip generation and the potential impact of future behavioural changes, such as increased home working and flexible working should also be considered.

### 1.4 Internalised Trips

1.4.2 TRICS has been interrogated for trip generation rates for larger sized residential developments with and without schools and community facilities. Sites greater than 250 dwellings were considered and a total of 10 Sites were identified. A copy of the TRICS outputs is included in Appendix A.
1.4.2 Three of the 10 Sites had primary schools within the scheme or in one case the primary school was very close $(300 \mathrm{~m})$ and two of the three also had community facilities. The other seven Sites did not have any facilities or those facilities were not constructed at the time of the surveys.
1.4.3 A comparison of the average trip generation rates for this Sites without facilities and those with a primary school and shops (two of the three) are set out below:

|  | AM Two-way Trip Rate | PM Two-way Trip Rate |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No Facilities | 0.555 | 0.547 |
| Facilities | 0.359 | 0.425 |
| Difference | $-35 \%$ | $-22 \%$ |

1.4.4 Review of the above indicates that the presence of facilities within or very close to a housing development can have a significant impact on trip generation rates. Both the H 49 and H 51 sites will have primary schools and neighbourhood centres.

### 1.5 Working from Home and Flexible Working

1.5.1 The ONS report 'Coronavirus and homeworking in the UK labour market: 2019' (March 2020) indicates that the number of people who mainly work at home has generally increased over time. In the fouryear period between 2015 and 2019, the \% of people that mainly work in their own home increased by $0.8 \%$.
1.5.2 However, the covid pandemic has accelerated this process. During the first national lockdown, around one in four adults worked from home (ONS Business and individual attitudes towards the future of homeworking, UK: April to May 2021). According to an ONS (Business and individual attitudes towards the future of homeworking, UK: April to May 2021) publication, around $27 \%$ of working adults did some work at home in 2019. As a result of the pandemic, this increased by around $10 \%$ in 2020.
1.5.3 It seems likely that home working or hybrid working, where employees work part of the time at home and the rest of the time at their workplace, will continue to some extent after the pandemic ends. There was an increasing trend of home working prior to the pandemic so there seems no reason to
suspect that this trend will not continue, with covid perhaps normalising and accelerating what would likely have happened anyway.
1.5.4 Flexible working is another feature of the work environment that has increased steadily over the last decade. According to Statista, the number of employees able to work flexibly increased by around $25 \%$ between 2013 and 2019. Again, this trend is likely to continue beyond the covid pandemic.
1.5.5 Increases in flexible working means that more people no longer start and finish their work at the tradition times (around 0900 and 1700). This can contribute to 'peak hour spreading', where the profile of traffic demand on a local highway network is spread over a longer period rather than concentrated in a shorter period. Flexible working is not the only cause of peak hour spreading, other factors such as congestion can also contribute to this trend, but it is likely that continued increases in flexible working will impact on traffic demand and hence trip generation rates in the traditional peak periods.

### 1.6 Increased Online Retail Shopping

1.6.1 Online retail shopping has steadily increased over the last two decades, with a significant increase during the covid pandemic when non-essential shops were forced to close for some periods. According to ONS statistics, internet sales accounted for $3.4 \%$ of all retail sales in the UK in 2007. Between 2011 and 2019, this percentage increased from $8.3 \%$ to $19.2 \%$. In 2020 this figure increased dramatically to $28.1 \%$ and was $29.1 \%$ in 2021.
1.6.2 Whilst the pandemic has undoubtedly increased online retail sales in 2020 and 2021, the has been a steady increase in online retail sales as a portion of total retail sales. Online deliveries to homes and parcel lockers are also more likely to occur outside of the peak hours.

### 1.7 Trend in Person Trips

1.7.1 According to the National Travel Survey, the average person made 1074 trips by all modes in 2002. This had reduced by around $11 \%$ in 2019, with people making an average of 953 trips. NTS 2019 states:
"Understanding reasons for these trends is difficult. The averages presented here mask different trends for different types of people, modes and types of trip. Some of the many factors might include changing demographic patterns, changing patterns of trips, and the impact of new technologies influencing the demand for travel, for example the increase in online social networking, the capability for home working and online shopping.'"
1.7.2 The average distance travelled has also decreased by around $10 \%$ between 2002 and 2019 .

### 1.8 H51 Employment Double Counting

1.8.1 The H51 application includes a significant amount of employment land. It is likely that some of the residents of the new housing sites will work at this location. Therefore, there is a danger that there will be some double counting if reductions are not made to the residential/employment trips to take account of this.
1.8.2 Harrogate 020 already includes a significant area of employment within Cardale Business Park. The additional employment on H 51 will further concentrate employment opportunities in this location and all of the West of Harrogate sites are located close proximity. This needs to be factored into the assessment.

### 1.9 Sustainable Transport Improvements

1.9.1 The West of Harrogate development will include significant improvements to walking, cycling and public transport infrastructure to encourage residents to use more sustainable modes of transport. The
existing infrastructure in the vicinity of the Sites will be significantly improved to promote walking and cycling to/from each scheme. Similarly, public transport improvements will also form part of the development.
1.9.2 The H 49 and H 51 developments will also include community facilities that will be within walking and cycling distances which will help further promote walking and cycling trips.
1.9.3 Each development will also benefit from a Travel Plan to help promote sustainable transport choices and will have targets that will be monitored for a period of time.

### 1.10 Current Trip Assumptions

1.10.1 The above provides the context for reviewing the current trip rates and trip assumptions. From the above, the following is noted:

- The NYCC trip generation rates seem a reasonable starting point for the year 2022 for a development in the local of the Sites that have no facilities such as a school and local shops,
- Review of TRICS suggests that developments that have primary schools and shops with the development (or very close) have lower trip rates than those that do not, which is intuitive.
- The latest TRICS guidance suggests that trends in travel behaviour should be considered when estimating development trip rates for future scenarios,
- Online retail shopping and working from home and flexible working has been increasing since before the covid pandemic and accelerated during it,
- The average number of person trips has declined by about $11 \%$ between 2002 and 2019,
- The employment allocation on H51 will increase jobs in Harrogate 020 and this should be factored into the assessment to prevent double counting.
1.10.2 In the AM peak hour, the cumulative assessment assumes that $67 \%$ of trips are work trips and $33 \%$ of the trips are educational trips, with $80 \%$ of the latter these being associated with primary school trips. This has been agreed with NYCC. The H49 and H51 primary school trips are considered internal and those associated with H 36 and H 45 are assumed to be to/from the school on H 51 (ie the trips are kept to the local network). On this basis, the AM trip rate is effectively reduced by $26.4 \%$ (ie $0.33 \times 0.8$ ) or $73.6 \%$ of the original trip rate for H 49 and H 51 .
1.10.3 In the PM peak hour, the cumulative assessment assumes that $67 \%$ of trips are work trips and $33 \%$ of the trips are other trips (leisure, retail etc). This is agreed with NYCC. In the case of H51 and H49, there is an assumption that $10 \%$ of the other trips are associated with the proposed community facilities, but this only equates to a $3.3 \%$ reduction in the total trip rate.
1.10.4 Based on the Harrogate 020 Census data, $8.8 \%$ of journey to work trips are to employment locations within Harrogate 020.


### 1.11 Proposed Changes

### 1.11.1 Modal Shift

1.11.1.1 The West of Harrogate development Sites include significant walking, cycling and public transport infrastructure improvements. These are in addition to the NYCC/HBC Otley Road cycle improvement scheme.
1.11.1.2 It is assumed that these sustainable travel improvements will result in a $3 \%$ shift from peak hour car trips to walk, cycle and public transport trips. This is not large modal shifts given the proposed improvements and facilities that will be provided on H49 and H51 and can be considered realistic. For example, a $15 \%$ increase in walking alone could deliver a modal shift of nearly $2 \%$.
1.11.1.3 The current rates requested by NYCC are set out below:

|  | Arr | Dep | Two-way |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AM | 0.159 | 0.420 | 0.579 |
| PM | 0.391 | 0.191 | 0.582. |

1.11.1.4 The 2011 Census data for Harrogate 020 and the District as a whole suggests the following trips by mode:

|  | Harrogate 020 |  | Harrogate District |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mode | Trips | $\%$ | Trips | $\%$ |
| Working From Home | 437 | 9.1 | 6926 | 8.5 |
| Metro/Tram | 9 | 0.2 | 120 | 0.1 |
| Train | 175 | 3.6 | 2020 | 2.5 |
| Bus | 148 | 3.1 | 3122 | 3.8 |
| Taxi | 10 | 0.2 | 226 | 0.3 |
| Motorcycle | 20 | 0.4 | 446 | 0.5 |
| Car Driver | 3066 | 63.8 | 50350 | 61.9 |
| Car Passenger | 189 | 3.9 | 4057 | 5.0 |
| Cycle | 114 | 2.4 | 1770 | 2.2 |
| Walk | 609 | 12.7 | 11813 | 14.5 |
| Other | 26 | 0.5 | 552 | 0.7 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 8 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 1 4 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

1.11.1.5 Based on the 2011 census for journey to work data, $68.3 \%$ of journeys to work are by private vehicle The NYCC trip rates can be converted to all mode trip rates based on that figure (ie divide the trip rates by the proportion of private vehicle trips which is 0.683 ). On this basis, the all mode trip rates are:

|  | Arr | Dep | Two-way |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AM | 0.233 | 0.615 | 0.848 |
| PM | 0.572 | 0.280 | 0.852. |

1.11.1.6 For the Harrogate district, walk, cycle and bus make up $20.5 \%$ of the journeys to work compared to 18.2 for Harrogate 020, a difference of $2.3 \%$. Given the walk, cycle and public transport infrastructure improvements that is proposed as part of the West of Harrogate developments it seems reasonable to assume the level of walking, cycling and bus use is similar to and potentially higher than at the district level. If the sustainable travel improvements deliver a total modal shift of $3 \%$ (ie an additional $0.7 \%$ above the district level), then NYCC vehicle trip rates need to be reduced by the following:

|  | Arr | Dep | Two-way |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AM | 0.007 | 0.018 | 0.025 |
| PM | 0.017 | 0.008 | 0.025 |

### 1.11.2 Homeworking and Hybrid/flexible Working

1.11.2.1 The ONS data suggested that in the four-year period leading up to the pandemic, the percentage of people mainly working in their own homes increased by $0.8 \%$ between 2015 and 2019 or an average of $0.2 \%$ year. The \% of homeworking for Harrogate 020 was $9.1 \%$ in the 2011 census. Based on a $0.2 \%$ growth per year this might expect to have risen by $3.8 \%$ by 2030 based on pre-pandemic growth levels. However, the pandemic is likely to accelerated this growth as it became normal working practice for some people that previously had little experience in homeworking. A $10 \%$ increase in parttime homeworking was experienced in 2020 alone during the pandemic and this is likely to accelerate the move towards more people mainly working from home. On this basis, a $5 \%$ shift to mainly working from home does not seem unreasonable between now and 2030. This equates to an average increase yearly increase of just over $0.6 \%$, which does not seem unrealistic and would represent an additional $3.4 \%$ above what might have been expected to have occurred anyway with the pre-pandemic growth.
1.11.2.2 Assuming a $5 \%$ shift towards homeworking, the reduction to the trips rates will be a further:

|  | Arr | Dep | Two-way |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AM | 0.011 | 0.031 | 0.042 |
| PM | 0.029 | 0.014 | 0.043 |

1.11.2.3 It should also be recognised that an increase in hybrid and flexible working is also likely to occur in the future, which will also reduce vehicle trips in the peaks. However, no separate adjustment is proposed to account for this and it is assumed that it is included in the adjustment for homeworking.

### 1.11.3 Increase in Harrogate 020 Employment

1.11.3.1 The proposed employment on H 51 along with the permitted employment developments to the west of H51 will significantly increase the amount of employment in Harrogate 020. Therefore, it seems logical that the $\%$ of work trips with both an origin and destination in Harrogate 020 will increase from the 2011 census levels (currently $8.8 \%$ ). The existing employment land to the north of H 51 is around 23.8 Ha . A total of 2.43 Ha of employment is proposed on H 51 and there appears to be a further 4.8 Ha of employment land permitted (some under construction) to the west of H 51 (served from Beckwith Head Road). On this basis, the proposed and permitted employment represents a circa $30 \%$ increase.
1.11.3.2 It is therefore proposed to increase the $\mathbf{8 . 8 \%}$ of people working in Harrogate $\mathbf{0 2 0}$ to $\mathbf{1 1 . 4 \%}$ (ie $8.8 \times 1.3$ ) of the work trips. The distribution of the remaining traffic will be adjusted proportionally.
1.11.3.3 It is also assumed that the trips to/from the employment locations will be split in proportion to size as follows:

- Cardale Business Park: $70 \%$
- Permitted employment to the west of H51: $20 \%$
- New employment on H51: 10\%.


### 1.11.4 Community Facilities

1.11.4.1 Community facilities are proposed on both H 49 and H 51 and these are likely to reduce external 'other' trips from these two Site. It is also likely to attract some trips from the other nearby developments. It is assumed that the other trips are predominantly retail or leisure trips in the PM peak hour. The National Travel Survey (NTS) data for 2019 (2020 data likely to have been impacted by covid) suggests that shopping and leisure make up the following percentage of trips between 16001800:

|  | Shopping | Leisure (visiting friends, entertainment etc) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1600-1700$ | $15 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| $1700-1800$ | $12 \%$ | $20 \%$ |

1.11.4.2 Proportionally, shopping represents $38-45 \%$ of the 'other trips' between 1600 - 1800 , assuming these other trips are predominately either shopping or leisure trips.
1.11.4.3 The facilities on H 49 and H 51 will likely include local shops. It is therefore proposed to assume that $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$ of Other trips use the local facilities on H 49 and H 51 rather than the $10 \%$ currently assumed. The TRICS study suggests that the provision of facilities at developments might reduce trip rates by circa $22 \%$. The proposed assumption reduces the overall PM trip rates by a total of $13.2 \%$ (ie $0.4 \times 33 \%$ ) for these Sites rather than the $3.3 \%$ previously assumed. It is considered that the new assumption is more consistent with the findings of the TRICS study. The trips of those developments with these facilities (ie H 49 and H 51 ) will be internalised and those without (ie H36, H45 etc) will become local trips to/from the facilities on H49 and H51. The remaining Other Trips will be distributed as follows:

- $35 \%$ Harrogate
- $15 \%$ Plumpton Retail Park,
- $5 \%$ Oatlands Retail Park,
- $5 \%$ Hornbeam Park.


### 1.11.5 Trip Rate Adjustments

1.11.5.1 The current rates and the proposed reductions are set out in the table below, with the final adjusted trip rate shown in bold.

| TRIP RATE | AM |  |  | PM |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ARR | DEP | 2-WAY | ARR | DEP | 2-WAY |


| NYCC Vehicle Trip Rate | 0.159 | 0.420 | 0.579 | 0.391 | 0.191 | 0.582 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Reduction for 3\% modal shift to <br> sustainable travel modes | -0.007 | -0.018 | -0.025 | -0.017 | -0.008 | -0.025 |
| Reduction for 5\% shift to <br> homeworking | -0.011 | -0.031 | -0.042 | -0.029 | -0.014 | -0.043 |
| Adjusted NYCC vehicle trip rates | $\mathbf{0 . 1 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 1 4}$ |

1.11.5.2 These trip rates will be applied to all of the West of Harrogate Sites. The above trip rates are circa $\mathbf{1 2 \%}$ lower than the original NYCC trip rates.
1.11.5.3 Additional internalisation will be applied to the H 49 and H 51 Sites to take account trips to the new primary school and the community facilities, as set out above.

### 1.12 Conclusions

1.12.1 It is considered that the above changes are reasonable assumptions. However, it is requested that NYCC/HBC review these assumptions and confirm that they are happy with this approach.

## APPENDIX G - MODEL OUTPUTS

## Junctions 9



Filename: Proposed Site Access_Whinney Lane Roundabout 4-arm.j9
Path: <br>newcastle13\Data3\Projects\A081501-A082000\A081951-3 Castle Hill Farm, Whinney Lane, Harrogate\Analysis\Traffic Models\Proposed Site Access Junction\2022 Assessment
Report generation date: 22/11/2022 11:35:21

## "2030, AM Peak With Dev <br> "2030, PM Peak With Dev

Summary of junction performance

|  | AM Peak With Dev |  |  |  | PM Peak With Dev |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | RFC | Queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | RFC |  |  |
|  | 2030 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 - Whinney Lane North | 0.3 | 3.31 | 0.21 | 0.1 | 2.94 | 0.11 |  |  |
| 2 - Proposed Eastern Site Access | 0.0 | 3.19 | 0.05 | 0.0 | 2.86 | 0.02 |  |  |
| 3 - Whinney Lane South | 0.1 | 3.52 | 0.13 | 0.1 | 3.21 | 0.08 |  |  |
| 4 - Proposed Western Site Access | 0.1 | 3.20 | 0.10 | 0.0 | 2.89 | 0.04 |  |  |

There are warnings associated with one or more model runs - see the 'Data Errors and Warnings' tables for each Analysis or Demand Set.
Values shown are the highest values encountered over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle.

## File summary

File Description

| Title | (untitled) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Location |  |
| Site number |  |
| Date | $22 / 09 / 2017$ |
| Version |  |
| Status | (new file) |
| Identifier |  |
| Client |  |
| Jobnumber |  |
| Enumerator | WYGlandrew.stubbs |
| Description |  |

## Units

| Distance units | Speed units | Traffic units input | Traffic units results | Flow units | Average delay units | Total delay units | Rate of delay units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| m | kph | PCU | PCU | perHour | s | -Min | perMin |


The junction diagram reflects the last run of Junctions.

## Analysis Options

| Calculate Queue Percentiles | Calculate residual capacity | RFC Threshold | Average Delay threshold (s) | Queue threshold (PCU) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0.85 | 36.00 | 20.00 |

## Demand Set Summary

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D1 | 2030 | AM Peak With Dev | ONE HOUR | $07: 45$ | $09: 15$ | 15 |
| D2 | 2030 | PM Peak With Dev | ONE HOUR | $16: 45$ | $18: 15$ | 15 |

## Analysis Set Details

| ID | Network flow scaling factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: |
| A1 | 100.000 |

## 2030 , AM Peak With Dev

Data Errors and Warnings

| Severity | Area | Item | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Warning | Vehicle Mix |  | HV\% is zero for all movements / time segments. Vehicle Mix matrix should be completed whether working in <br> PCUs or Vehs. If HV\% at the junction is genuinely zero, please ignore this warning. |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction type | Use circulating lanes | Arm order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Proposed Site Access/ Whinney Lane Roundabout | Standard Roundabout |  | $1,2,3,4$ | 3.33 | A |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

## Arms

| Arm | Name | Description |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Whinney Lane North |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Proposed Eastern Site Access |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Whinney Lane South |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Proposed Western Site Access |  |

## Roundabout Geometry

| Arm | V - Approach road half-width (m) | E - Entry width (m) | I' - Effective flare length (m) | R - Entry radius ( m ) | D - Inscribed circle diameter (m) | PHI - Conflict (entry) angle (deg) | Exit only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Whinney Lane North | 3.22 | 4.62 | 11.8 | 36.1 | 34.5 | 13.5 |  |
| 2 - Proposed Eastern Site Access | 3.32 | 4.52 | 8.1 | 34.2 | 34.5 | 11.5 |  |
| 3 - Whinney Lane South | 3.01 | 4.52 | 12.8 | 32.0 | 34.5 | 30.0 |  |
| 4 - Proposed Western Site Access | 3.25 | 4.54 | 9.9 | 30.4 | 34.5 | 11.5 |  |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

| Arm | Final slope | Final intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - Whinney Lane North | 0.613 | 1385 |
| 2 - Proposed Eastern Site Access | 0.609 | 1358 |
| 3 - Whinney Lane South | 0.570 | 1267 |
| 4 - Proposed Western Site Access | 0.609 | 1363 |

The slope and intercept shown above include any corrections and adjustments.

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D1 | 2030 | AM Peak With Dev | ONE HOUR | $07: 45$ | $09: 15$ | 15 |


| Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: |
| HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Use O-D data | Average Demand (PCU/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Whinney Lane North |  | $\checkmark$ | 258 | 50 |
| 2 - Proposed Eastern Site Access |  | $\checkmark$ | 138 | 100.000 |
| 3 - Whinney Lane South |  | $\checkmark$ | 114 | 100.000 |
| 4 - Proposed Western Site Access |  | $\checkmark$ | 100.000 |  |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | 1-Whinney Lane North | 2 - Proposed Eastern Site Access | 3 - Whinney Lane South | 4 - Proposed Western Site Access |
|  | 1 - Whinney Lane North | 0 | 12 | 134 | 112 |
|  | 2 - Proposed Eastern Site Access | 34 | 0 | 16 | 0 |
|  | 3 - Whinney Lane South | 127 | 6 | 0 | 5 |
|  | 4 - Proposed Western Site Access | 101 | 0 | 13 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | 1 - Whinney Lane North | 2 - Proposed Eastern Site Access | 3 - Whinney Lane South | 4 - Proposed Western Site Access |
|  | 1 - Whinney Lane North | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2 - Proposed Eastern Site Access | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 3 - Whinney Lane South | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4 - Proposed Western Site Access | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (PCU) | Max LOS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - Whinney Lane North | 0.21 | 3.31 | 0.3 | A |
| 2 - Proposed Eastern Site Access | 0.05 | 3.19 | 0.0 | A |
| 3 - Whinney Lane South | 0.13 | 3.52 | 0.1 | A |
| 4 - Proposed Western Site Access | 0.10 | 3.20 | 0.1 | A |

## Main Results for each time segment

07:45-08:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Capacity <br> (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Whinney Lane North | 194 | 15 | 1376 | 0.141 | 193 | 0.2 | 3.043 | A |
| 2 - Proposed Eastern Site Access | 38 | 194 | 1240 | 0.030 | 38 | 0.0 | 2.993 | A |
| 3 - Whinney Lane South | 104 | 110 | 1204 | 0.086 | 104 | 0.1 | 3.270 | A |
| 4 - Proposed Western Site Access | 86 | 125 | 1286 | 0.067 | 86 | 0.1 | 2.998 | A |

08:00-08:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Whinney Lane North | 232 | 17 | 1374 | 0.169 | 232 | 0.2 | 3.151 | A |
| 2 - Proposed Eastern Site Access | 45 | 233 | 1217 | 0.037 | 45 | 0.0 | 3.071 | A |
| 3 - Whinney Lane South | 124 | 131 | 1192 | 0.104 | 124 | 0.1 | 3.370 | A |
| 4 - Proposed Western Site Access | 103 | 150 | 1271 | 0.081 | 103 | 0.1 | 3.080 | A |

## 08:15-08:30

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Whinney Lane North | 284 | 21 | 1371 | 0.207 | 284 | 0.3 | 3.308 | A |
| 2 - Proposed Eastern Site Access | 55 | 285 | 1185 | 0.046 | 55 | 0.0 | 3.185 | A |
| 3 - Whinney Lane South | 152 | 161 | 1175 | 0.129 | 152 | 0.1 | 3.517 | A |
| 4 - Proposed Western Site Access | 126 | 184 | 1251 | 0.101 | 126 | 0.1 | 3.199 | A |

## 08:30-08:45

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - Whinney Lane North | 284 | 21 | 1371 | 0.207 | 284 | 0.3 | 3.309 | A |
| 2 - Proposed Eastern Site Access | 55 | 285 | 1185 | 0.046 | 55 | 0.0 | 3.186 | A |
| 3 - Whinney Lane South | 152 | 161 | 1175 | 0.129 | 152 | 0.1 | 3.517 | A |
| 4 - Proposed Western Site Access | 126 | 184 | 1251 | 0.101 | 126 | 0.1 | 3.200 | A |

## 08:45-09:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Whinney Lane North | 232 | 18 | 1374 | 0.169 | 232 | 0.2 | 3.152 | A |
| 2 - Proposed Eastern Site Access | 45 | 233 | 1216 | 0.037 | 45 | 0.0 | 3.074 | A |
| 3 - Whinney Lane South | 124 | 132 | 1192 | 0.104 | 124 | 0.1 | 3.371 | A |
| 4 - Proposed Western Site Access | 103 | 150 | 1271 | 0.081 | 103 | 0.1 | 3.083 | A |

## 09:00-09:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - Whinney Lane North | 194 | 15 | 1376 | 0.141 | 194 | 0.2 | 3.046 | A |
| 2 - Proposed Eastern Site Access | 38 | 195 | 1240 | 0.030 | 38 | 0.0 | 2.994 | A |
| 3 - Whinney Lane South | 104 | 110 | 1204 | 0.086 | 104 | 0.1 | 3.274 | A |
| 4 - Proposed Western Site Access | 86 | 126 | 1286 | 0.067 | 86 | 0.1 | 3.000 | A |

## 2030, PM Peak With Dev

Data Errors and Warnings

| Severity | Area | Item | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Warning | Vehicle Mix |  | HV\% is zero for all movements / time segments. Vehicle Mix matrix should be completed whether working in <br> PCUs or Vehs. If HV\% at the junction is genuinely zero, please ignore this warning. |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction type | Use circulating lanes | Arm order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Proposed Site Access/ Whinney Lane Roundabout | Standard Roundabout |  | $1,2,3,4$ | 3.00 | A |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D2 | 2030 | PM Peak With Dev | ONE HOUR | $16: 45$ | $18: 15$ | 15 |


| Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: |
| HV Percentages | 2.00 |

## Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Use O-D data | Average Demand (PCU/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - Whinney Lane North |  | $\checkmark$ | 134 | 100.000 |
| 2 - Proposed Eastern Site Access |  | $\checkmark$ | 22 | 100.000 |
| 3 - Whinney Lane South |  | $\checkmark$ | 83 | 100.000 |
| 4 - Proposed Western Site Access |  | $\checkmark$ | 53 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | 1 - Whinney Lane North | 2 - Proposed Eastern Site Access | 3 - Whinney Lane South | 4 - Proposed Western Site Access |
|  | 1 - Whinney Lane North | 0 | 29 | 32 | 73 |
|  | 2 - Proposed Eastern Site Access | 15 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
|  | 3 - Whinney Lane South | 56 | 14 | 0 | 13 |
|  | 4 - Proposed Western Site Access | 47 | 0 | 6 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | 1 - Whinney Lane North | 2 - Proposed Eastern Site Access | 3 - Whinney Lane South | 4 - Proposed Western Site Access |
|  | 1 - Whinney Lane North | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2 - Proposed Eastern Site Access | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 3 - Whinney Lane South | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4 - Proposed Western Site Access | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Results

## Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (PCU) | Max LOS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - Whinney Lane North | 0.11 | 2.94 | 0.1 | A |
| 2 - Proposed Eastern Site Access | 0.02 | 2.86 | 0.0 | A |
| 3 - Whinney Lane South | 0.08 | 3.21 | 0.1 | A |
| 4 - Proposed Western Site Access | 0.04 | 2.89 | 0.0 | A |

## Main Results for each time segment

16:45-17:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Whinney Lane North | 101 | 15 | 1375 | 0.073 | 101 | 0.1 | 2.824 | A |
| 2 - Proposed Eastern Site Access | 17 | 83 | 1308 | 0.013 | 17 | 0.0 | 2.787 | A |
| 3 - Whinney Lane South | 62 | 66 | 1229 | 0.051 | 62 | 0.1 | 3.084 | A |
| 4 - Proposed Western Site Access | 40 | 64 | 1324 | 0.030 | 40 | 0.0 | 2.803 | A |

17:00-17:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Whinney Lane North | 120 | 18 | 1374 | 0.088 | 120 | 0.1 | 2.872 | A |
| 2 - Proposed Eastern Site Access | 20 | 100 | 1298 | 0.015 | 20 | 0.0 | 2.816 | A |
| 3 - Whinney Lane South | 75 | 79 | 1222 | 0.061 | 75 | 0.1 | 3.137 | A |
| 4 - Proposed Western Site Access | 48 | 76 | 1316 | 0.036 | 48 | 0.0 | 2.837 | A |

17:15-17:30

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Whinney Lane North | 148 | 22 | 1371 | 0.108 | 147 | 0.1 | 2.941 | A |
| 2 - Proposed Eastern Site Access | 24 | 122 | 1284 | 0.019 | 24 | 0.0 | 2.856 | A |
| 3 - Whinney Lane South | 91 | 97 | 1212 | 0.075 | 91 | 0.1 | 3.212 | A |
| 4 - Proposed Western Site Access | 58 | 94 | 1306 | 0.045 | 58 | 0.0 | 2.885 | A |

17:30-17:45

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Whinney Lane North | 148 | 22 | 1371 | 0.108 | 148 | 0.1 | 2.941 | A |
| 2 - Proposed Eastern Site Access | 24 | 122 | 1284 | 0.019 | 24 | 0.0 | 2.856 | A |
| 3 - Whinney Lane South | 91 | 97 | 1212 | 0.075 | 91 | 0.1 | 3.212 | A |
| 4 - Proposed Western Site Access | 58 | 94 | 1306 | 0.045 | 58 | 0.0 | 2.885 | A |

17:45-18:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Whinney Lane North | 120 | 18 | 1374 | 0.088 | 121 | 0.1 | 2.874 | A |
| 2 - Proposed Eastern Site Access | 20 | 100 | 1298 | 0.015 | 20 | 0.0 | 2.816 | A |
| 3 - Whinney Lane South | 75 | 79 | 1222 | 0.061 | 75 | 0.1 | 3.137 | A |
| 4 - Proposed Western Site Access | 48 | 76 | 1316 | 0.036 | 48 | 0.0 | 2.837 | A |

18:00-18:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Whinney Lane North | 101 | 15 | 1375 | 0.073 | 101 | 0.1 | 2.824 | A |
| 2 - Proposed Eastern Site Access | 17 | 84 | 1308 | 0.013 | 17 | 0.0 | 2.788 | A |
| 3 - Whinney Lane South | 62 | 66 | 1229 | 0.051 | 63 | 0.1 | 3.085 | A |
| 4 - Proposed Western Site Access | 40 | 64 | 1324 | 0.030 | 40 | 0.0 | 2.806 | A |

## Junctions 9



Filename: Pannal Ash Roundabout 2030 Without and With Dev Assessment.j9
Path: <br>newcastle13\data3\Projects\A081501-A082000\A081951-3 Castle Hill Farm, Whinney Lane, Harrogate\Analysis\Traffic Models\Pannal Ash RD_Whinney Ln Roundaboutl2022 Assessment
Report generation date: 08/12/2022 09:49:55

```
»Existing Layout - 2020, AM Peak
»Existing Layout - 2020 , PM Peak
»Existing Layout - 2030 NO Dev, AM Peak
»Existing Layout - 2030 NO Dev, PM Peak
»Existing Layout - 2030 With Dev, AM Peak
»Existing Layout - 2030 With Dev, PM Peak
```

Summary of junction performance

|  | AM Peak |  |  |  | PM Peak |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Set ID | Queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | RFC | Set ID | Queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | RFC |
|  | Existing Layout - 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 - Pannal Ash Road | D3 | 2.0 | 14.98 | 0.65 | D4 | 0.9 | 9.02 | 0.45 |
| 2 - Green Lane |  | 0.8 | 5.67 | 0.41 |  | 0.5 | 4.77 | 0.33 |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane |  | 2.1 | 14.33 | 0.66 |  | 0.6 | 7.30 | 0.36 |
| 4 - Whinney Lane |  | 0.4 | 9.47 | 0.26 |  | 0.2 | 6.85 | 0.15 |
| 5 - Beckwith Road |  | 0.5 | 5.60 | 0.32 |  | 0.4 | 4.80 | 0.27 |
|  | Existing Layout - 2030 NO Dev |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 - Pannal Ash Road | D5 | 2.4 | 17.07 | 0.69 | D6 | 1.1 | 9.96 | 0.50 |
| 2 - Green Lane |  | 0.8 | 5.91 | 0.43 |  | 0.6 | 5.01 | 0.35 |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane |  | 2.3 | 15.74 | 0.68 |  | 0.7 | 7.75 | 0.38 |
| 4 - Whinney Lane |  | 0.6 | 11.02 | 0.35 |  | 0.3 | 7.30 | 0.20 |
| 5 - Beckwith Road |  | 0.6 | 5.96 | 0.34 |  | 0.4 | 4.96 | 0.28 |
|  | Existing Layout - 2030 With Dev |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 - Pannal Ash Road | D7 | 2.8 | 19.91 | 0.73 | D8 | 1.3 | 11.22 | 0.55 |
| 2 - Green Lane |  | 0.9 | 6.19 | 0.44 |  | 0.7 | 5.28 | 0.38 |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane |  | 3.3 | 20.81 | 0.76 |  | 0.8 | 8.43 | 0.41 |
| 4 - Whinney Lane |  | 1.0 | 14.12 | 0.48 |  | 0.4 | 7.79 | 0.25 |
| 5 - Beckwith Road |  | 0.6 | 6.47 | 0.37 |  | 0.4 | 5.09 | 0.29 |

[^1]
## File summary

File Description

| Title | Pannal Ash Roundabout |
| :--- | :--- |
| Location | Whinney Lane |
| Site number |  |
| Date | $08 / 12 / 2022$ |
| Version |  |
| Status | (new file) |
| Identifier |  |
| Client |  |
| Jobnumber | 784-A081951-3 |
| Enumerator | TT/ANGUS.ATKIN |
| Description |  |

## Units

| Distance units | Speed units | Traffic units input | Traffic units results | Flow units | Average delay units | Total delay units | Rate of delay units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| m | kph | PCU | PCU | perHour | s | -Min | perMin |



[^2]The junction diagram reflects the last run of Junctions.

## Analysis Options

| Vehicle length <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | Calculate Queue <br> Percentiles | Calculate detailed queueing <br> delay | Calculate residual <br> capacity | RFC <br> Threshold | Average Delay <br> threshold (s) | Queue threshold <br> (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.75 |  |  |  | 0.85 | 36.00 | 20.00 |

## Demand Set Summary

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) | Run automatically |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D3 | 2020 | AM Peak | ONE HOUR | $08: 00$ | $09: 30$ | 15 |  |
| D4 | 2020 | PM Peak | ONE HOUR | $17: 00$ | $18: 30$ |  |  |
| D5 | 2030 NO Dev | AM Peak | ONE HOUR | $08: 00$ | 09 |  |  |
| D6 | 2030 NO Dev | PM Peak | ONE HOUR | $17: 00$ | 15 |  |  |
| D7 | 2030 With Dev | AM Peak | ONE HOUR | $08: 00$ | $18: 30$ | 15 |  |
| D8 | 2030 With Dev | PM Peak | ONE HOUR | $17: 00$ | $09: 30$ | 15 |  |

## Analysis Set Details

| ID | Name | Include in report | Network flow scaling factor (\%) | Network capacity scaling factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A1 | Existing Layout | $\checkmark$ | 100.000 | 100.000 |

THE FUTURE

## Existing Layout - 2020, AM Peak

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction type | Use circulating lanes | Arm order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Pannal Ash Rd/Beckworth Rd/ Whinney Ln/ Green Ln/Yew Tree Ln Roundabout | Standard Roundabout |  | $\begin{gathered} 1,2,3,4 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | 10.55 | B |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

## Arms

| Arm | Name | Description |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Pannal Ash Road |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Green Lane |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Yew Tree Lane |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Whinney Lane |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Beckwith Road |  |

## Roundabout Geometry

| Arm | V - Approach road halfwidth (m) | $\mathrm{E} \text { - Entry }$ width (m) | I' - Effective flare length (m) | R - Entry radius (m) | $\begin{gathered} \text { D - Inscribed circle } \\ \text { diameter ( } \mathbf{m} \text { ) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | PHI - Conflict (entry) angle (deg) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Exit } \\ & \text { only } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 3.12 | 5.15 | 1.5 | 35.0 | 45.0 | 58.0 |  |
| 2 - Green Lane | 3.68 | 5.98 | 5.3 | 375.0 | 45.0 | 41.0 |  |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 3.10 | 7.09 | 2.5 | 23.0 | 45.0 | 49.0 |  |
| 4 - Whinney Lane | 2.94 | 6.85 | 2.3 | 28.0 | 45.0 | 53.0 |  |
| 5 - Beckwith Road | 3.61 | 6.00 | 3.9 | 96.0 | 45.0 | 18.0 |  |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

| Arm | Final slope | Final intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 0.465 | 980 |
| 2 - Green Lane | 0.575 | 1418 |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 0.487 | 1070 |
| 4 - Whinney Lane | 0.472 | 1004 |
| 5 - Beckwith Road | 0.602 | 1446 |

The slope and intercept shown above include any corrections and adjustments.

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) | Run automatically |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D3 | 2020 | AM Peak | ONE HOUR | $08: 00$ | $09: 30$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |


| Vehicle mix varies over turn | Vehicle mix varies over entry | Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Profile type | Use O-D data | Average Demand (PCU/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Pannal Ash Road |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 447 | 100.000 |
| 2 - Green Lane |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 437 | 100.000 |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 481 | 100.000 |
| 4-Whinney Lane |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 133 | 100.000 |
| 5- Beckwith Road |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 309 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | 1- <br> Pannal <br> Ash <br> Road |  | 3 - <br> Yew <br> Tree Lane | 4 - <br> Whinney Lane | 5 - <br> Beckwith Road |
|  | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 2 | 209 | 161 | 41 | 34 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 190 | 2 | 33 | 48 | 164 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 206 | 72 | 3 | 29 | 171 |
|  | 4 - Whinney Lane | 42 | 61 | 24 | 0 | 6 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 33 | 164 | 100 | 11 | 1 |

Proportions

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | 1- <br> Pannal <br> Ash <br> Road | 2 - <br> Green <br> Lane | 3 - <br> Yew <br> Tree <br> Lane | 4 - <br> Whinney Lane | Beck <br> Roa |
|  | 1-Pannal Ash Road | 0.00 | 0.47 | 0.36 | 0.09 | 0.0 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 0.43 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.3 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 0.43 | 0.15 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.3 |
|  | 4-Whinney Lane | 0.32 | 0.46 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.0 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 0.11 | 0.53 | 0.32 | 0.04 | 0.0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | 1 - <br> Pannal <br> Ash <br> Road | 2 - <br> Green <br> Lane | 3- <br> Yew <br> Tree <br> Lane | 4 - <br> Whinney Lane | 5 - <br> Beckwith Road |
|  | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | 2-Green Lane | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | 4 - Whinney Lane | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |

Average PCU Per Veh

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2- \\ & \text { Green } \\ & \text { Lane } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3- } \\ & \text { Yew } \\ & \text { Tree } \\ & \text { Lane } \end{aligned}$ | 4 Whinney Lane | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ \text { Beck } \\ \text { Roa } \end{array}$ |
|  | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.10 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.10 |
|  | 3 -Yew Tree Lane | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.10 |
|  | 4 - Whinney Lane | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.1 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.10 |

## Detailed Demand Data

Demand for each time segment

| Time Segment | Arm | Demand (PCU/hr) | Demand in PCU (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08:00-08:15 | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 337 | 337 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 329 | 329 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 362 | 362 |
|  | 4 - Whinney Lane | 100 | 100 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 233 | 233 |
| 08:15-08:30 | 1-Pannal Ash Road | 402 | 402 |
|  | 2-Green Lane | 393 | 393 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 432 | 432 |
|  | 4 - Whinney Lane | 120 | 120 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 278 | 278 |
| 08:30-08:45 | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 492 | 492 |
|  | 2-Green Lane | 481 | 481 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 530 | 530 |
|  | 4 - Whinney Lane | 146 | 146 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 340 | 340 |
| 08:45-09:00 | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 492 | 492 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 481 | 481 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 530 | 530 |
|  | 4 - Whinney Lane | 146 | 146 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 340 | 340 |
| 09:00-09:15 | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 402 | 402 |
|  | 2-Green Lane | 393 | 393 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 432 | 432 |
|  | 4 - Whinney Lane | 120 | 120 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 278 | 278 |
| 09:15-09:30 | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 337 | 337 |
|  | 2-Green Lane | 329 | 329 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 362 | 362 |
|  | 4 - Whinney Lane | 100 | 100 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 233 | 233 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (PCU) | Max LOS | Average Demand (PCU/hr) | Total Junction Arrivals (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 0.65 | 14.98 | 2.0 | B | 410 | 615 |
| 2-Green Lane | 0.41 | 5.67 | 0.8 | A | 401 | 601 |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 0.66 | 14.33 | 2.1 | B | 441 | 662 |
| 4-Whinney Lane | 0.26 | 9.47 | 0.4 | A | 122 | 183 |
| 5 - Beckwith Road | 0.32 | 5.60 | 0.5 | A | 284 | 425 |

## Main Results for each time segment

08:00-08:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Capacity <br> (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 337 | 84 | 328 | 828 | 0.407 | 334 | 354 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 7.969 | A |
| 2-Green Lane | 329 | 82 | 282 | 1256 | 0.262 | 327 | 380 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 4.257 | A |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 362 | 91 | 369 | 890 | 0.407 | 359 | 240 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 7.422 | A |
| 4-Whinney Lane | 100 | 25 | 632 | 706 | 0.142 | 99 | 96 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 6.523 | A |
| 5 - Beckwith Road | 233 | 58 | 450 | 1175 | 0.198 | 232 | 281 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 4.193 | A |

08:15-08:30

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Circulating } \\ & \text { flow } \\ & (\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 402 | 100 | 393 | 797 | 0.504 | 400 | 424 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 9.943 | A |
| 2 - Green Lane | 393 | 98 | 338 | 1224 | 0.321 | 392 | 455 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 4.759 | A |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 432 | 108 | 442 | 854 | 0.506 | 431 | 288 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 9.328 | A |
| 4 - Whinney Lane | 120 | 30 | 758 | 646 | 0.185 | 119 | 116 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 7.514 | A |
| 5 - Beckwith Road | 278 | 69 | 540 | 1121 | 0.248 | 277 | 337 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 4.692 | A |

08:30-08:45

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 492 | 123 | 481 | 757 | 0.651 | 489 | 519 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 14.594 | B |
| 2 - Green Lane | 481 | 120 | 413 | 1181 | 0.408 | 480 | 557 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 5.646 | A |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 530 | 132 | 541 | 806 | 0.657 | 526 | 352 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 13.963 | B |
| 4-Whinney Lane | 146 | 37 | 926 | 567 | 0.258 | 146 | 141 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 9.402 | A |
| 5 - Beckwith Road | 340 | 85 | 660 | 1049 | 0.324 | 340 | 412 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 5.579 | A |

08:45-09:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Circulating } \\ \text { flow } \\ \text { (PCU/hr) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Capacity <br> (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 492 | 123 | 482 | 756 | 0.651 | 492 | 521 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 14.977 | B |
| 2 - Green Lane | 481 | 120 | 415 | 1180 | 0.408 | 481 | 559 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 5.669 | A |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 530 | 132 | 543 | 805 | 0.658 | 529 | 353 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 14.335 | B |
| 4-Whinney Lane | 146 | 37 | 930 | 565 | 0.259 | 146 | 142 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 9.469 | A |
| 5 - Beckwith Road | 340 | 85 | 663 | 1047 | 0.325 | 340 | 414 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 5.602 | A |

09:00-09:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Circulating } \\ & \text { flow } \\ & \text { (PCU/hr) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 402 | 100 | 395 | 796 | 0.505 | 405 | 427 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 10.216 | B |
| 2 - Green Lane | 393 | 98 | 341 | 1222 | 0.321 | 394 | 459 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 4.787 | A |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 432 | 108 | 445 | 853 | 0.507 | 436 | 290 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 9.581 | A |
| 4-Whinney Lane | 120 | 30 | 764 | 643 | 0.186 | 120 | 117 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 7.578 | A |
| 5 - Beckwith Road | 278 | 69 | 544 | 1118 | 0.248 | 278 | 340 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 4.719 | A |

09:15-09:30

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 337 | 84 | 330 | 826 | 0.407 | 338 | 357 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 8.134 | A |
| 2-Green Lane | 329 | 82 | 285 | 1254 | 0.262 | 330 | 384 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 4.283 | A |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 362 | 91 | 372 | 888 | 0.408 | 364 | 242 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 7.571 | A |
| 4 - Whinney Lane | 100 | 25 | 638 | 703 | 0.143 | 100 | 97 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 6.581 | A |
| 5 - Beckwith Road | 233 | 58 | 455 | 1172 | 0.198 | 233 | 284 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 4.219 | A |

## Existing Layout - 2020 , PM Peak

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction type | Use circulating lanes | Arm order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Pannal Ash Rd/Beckworth Rd/ Whinney Ln/ Green Ln/Yew Tree Ln Roundabout | Standard Roundabout |  | $\begin{gathered} 1,2,3,4 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | 6.46 | A |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) | Run automatically |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D4 | 2020 | PM Peak | ONE HOUR | $17: 00$ | $18: 30$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |


| Vehicle mix varies over turn | Vehicle mix varies over entry | Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV Percentages | 2.00 |

## Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Profile type | Use O-D data | Average Demand (PCU/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Pannal Ash Road |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 330 | 100.000 |
| 2 - Green Lane |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 377 | 100.000 |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 275 | 100.000 |
| 4 - Whinney Lane |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 94 | 100.000 |
| 5 - Beckwith Road |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 282 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | 1- <br> Pannal <br> Ash <br> Road | 2- <br> Green <br> Lane | 3 - <br> Yew <br> Tree <br> Lane | 4 - <br> Whinney Lane | 5 - <br> Beckwith Road |
|  | 1-Pannal Ash Road | 0 | 173 | 118 | 18 | 21 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 203 | 0 | 16 | 23 | 135 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 152 | 25 | 0 | 14 | 84 |
|  | 4 - Whinney Lane | 27 | 45 | 16 | 0 | 6 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 21 | 161 | 81 | 19 | 0 |

Proportions

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | 1- <br> Pannal <br> Ash <br> Road | 2 - <br> Green <br> Lane | $3 \text { - }$ <br> Yew <br> Tree <br> Lane | 4 Whinney Lane | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ \text { Beck } \\ \text { Roa } \end{array}$ |
|  | 1-Pannal Ash Road | 0.00 | 0.52 | 0.36 | 0.05 | 0.0 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 0.54 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.3 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 0.55 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.3 |
|  | 4-Whinney Lane | 0.29 | 0.48 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.0 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 0.07 | 0.57 | 0.29 | 0.07 | 0.0 |

Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | 1 - <br> Pannal <br> Ash <br> Road |  | 3 - <br> Yew <br> Tree <br> Lane | 4 - <br> Whinney Lane | 5 - <br> Beckwith Road |
|  | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | 2-Green Lane | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | 4 - Whinney Lane | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |

Average PCU Per Veh

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | 1 - <br> Pannal <br> Ash <br> Road | 2- <br> Green <br> Lane | 3 - <br> Yew <br> Tree <br> Lane | 4 - <br> Whinney Lane | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ \text { Beck } \\ \text { Roa } \end{array}$ |
|  | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.10 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.10 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.10 |
|  | 4-Whinney Lane | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.10 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.10 |

## Detailed Demand Data

Demand for each time segment

| Time Segment | Arm | Demand (PCU/hr) | Demand in PCU (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:00-17:15 | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 248 | 248 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 284 | 284 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 207 | 207 |
|  | 4 - Whinney Lane | 71 | 71 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 212 | 212 |
| 17:15-17:30 | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 297 | 297 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 339 | 339 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 247 | 247 |
|  | 4 - Whinney Lane | 85 | 85 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 254 | 254 |
| 17:30-17:45 | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 363 | 363 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 415 | 415 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 303 | 303 |
|  | 4 - Whinney Lane | 103 | 103 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 310 | 310 |
| 17:45-18:00 | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 363 | 363 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 415 | 415 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 303 | 303 |
|  | 4 - Whinney Lane | 103 | 103 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 310 | 310 |
| 18:00-18:15 | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 297 | 297 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 339 | 339 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 247 | 247 |
|  | 4 - Whinney Lane | 85 | 85 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 254 | 254 |
| 18:15-18:30 | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 248 | 248 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 284 | 284 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 207 | 207 |
|  | 4 - Whinney Lane | 71 | 71 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 212 | 212 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (PCU) | Max LOS | Average Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Total Junction <br> Arrivals (PCU) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 0.45 | 9.02 | 0.9 | A | 303 |  |
| 2 - Green Lane | 0.33 | 4.77 | 0.5 | A | 454 |  |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 0.36 | 7.30 | 0.6 | A | 346 |  |
| 4 - Whinney Lane | 0.15 | 6.85 | 0.2 | A | 252 |  |
| 5 - Beckwith Road | 0.27 | 4.80 | 0.4 | A | 86 |  |

## Main Results for each time segment

17:00-17:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Capacity <br> (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Pannal Ash Road | 248 | 62 | 260 | 859 | 0.289 | 247 | 302 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 6.448 | A |
| 2-Green Lane | 284 | 71 | 204 | 1301 | 0.218 | 283 | 302 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 3.884 | A |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 207 | 52 | 314 | 917 | 0.226 | 206 | 173 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 5.560 | A |
| 4 - Whinney Lane | 71 | 18 | 464 | 785 | 0.090 | 70 | 55 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 5.540 | A |
| 5 - Beckwith Road | 212 | 53 | 350 | 1235 | 0.172 | 211 | 184 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 3.865 | A |

17:15-17:30

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | ```Circulating flow (PCU/hr)``` | Capacity <br> (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 297 | 74 | 312 | 835 | 0.355 | 296 | 362 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 7.334 | A |
| 2-Green Lane | 339 | 85 | 245 | 1277 | 0.265 | 339 | 363 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 4.218 | A |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 247 | 62 | 376 | 886 | 0.279 | 247 | 207 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 6.188 | A |
| 4 - Whinney Lane | 85 | 21 | 557 | 741 | 0.114 | 84 | 66 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 6.028 | A |
| 5 - Beckwith Road | 254 | 63 | 420 | 1193 | 0.213 | 253 | 221 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 4.212 | A |

17:30-17:45

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Pannal Ash Road | 363 | 91 | 381 | 803 | 0.453 | 362 | 443 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 8.965 | A |
| 2 - Green Lane | 415 | 104 | 300 | 1246 | 0.333 | 414 | 444 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 4.761 | A |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 303 | 76 | 461 | 845 | 0.358 | 302 | 254 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 7.279 | A |
| 4-Whinney Lane | 103 | 26 | 681 | 682 | 0.152 | 103 | 81 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 6.839 | A |
| 5 - Beckwith Road | 310 | 78 | 514 | 1136 | 0.273 | 310 | 270 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 4.790 | A |

17:45-18:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Circulating } \\ & \text { flow } \\ & \text { (PCU/hr) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Capacity <br> (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Pannal Ash Road | 363 | 91 | 382 | 802 | 0.453 | 363 | 444 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 9.017 | A |
| 2-Green Lane | 415 | 104 | 301 | 1245 | 0.333 | 415 | 445 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 4.769 | A |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 303 | 76 | 461 | 845 | 0.358 | 303 | 254 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 7.304 | A |
| 4 - Whinney Lane | 103 | 26 | 683 | 682 | 0.152 | 103 | 81 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 6.849 | A |
| 5 - Beckwith Road | 310 | 78 | 515 | 1136 | 0.273 | 310 | 271 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 4.797 | A |

18:00-18:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Capacity <br> (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Pannal Ash Road | 297 | 74 | 313 | 835 | 0.355 | 298 | 363 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 7.394 | A |
| 2 - Green Lane | 339 | 85 | 246 | 1277 | 0.265 | 340 | 364 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 4.229 | A |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 247 | 62 | 377 | 886 | 0.279 | 248 | 208 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 6.217 | A |
| 4-Whinney Lane | 85 | 21 | 559 | 740 | 0.114 | 85 | 67 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 6.045 | A |
| 5 - Beckwith Road | 254 | 63 | 422 | 1192 | 0.213 | 254 | 222 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 4.224 | A |

18:15-18:30

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Capacity <br> (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 248 | 62 | 262 | 858 | 0.289 | 249 | 304 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 6.508 | A |
| 2 - Green Lane | 284 | 71 | 206 | 1300 | 0.218 | 284 | 305 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 3.901 | A |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 207 | 52 | 316 | 916 | 0.226 | 207 | 174 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 5.596 | A |
| 4-Whinney Lane | 71 | 18 | 468 | 783 | 0.090 | 71 | 56 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 5.562 | A |
| 5 - Beckwith Road | 212 | 53 | 353 | 1233 | 0.172 | 213 | 186 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 3.881 | A |

## Existing Layout - 2030 NO Dev, AM Peak

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction type | Use circulating lanes | Arm order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Pannal Ash Rd/Beckworth Rd/ Whinney Ln/ Green Ln/Yew Tree Ln Roundabout | Standard Roundabout |  | $\begin{gathered} 1,2,3,4 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | 11.68 | B |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) | Run automatically |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D5 | 2030 NO Dev | AM Peak | ONE HOUR | $08: 00$ | $09: 30$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |


| Vehicle mix varies over turn | Vehicle mix varies over entry | Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV Percentages | 2.00 |

## Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Profile type | Use O-D data | Average Demand (PCU/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1- Pannal Ash Road |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 467 | 100.000 |
| 2 - Green Lane |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 451 | 100.000 |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 491 | 100.000 |
| 4 - Whinney Lane |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 179 | 100.000 |
| 5 - Beckwith Road |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 315 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | 1- <br> Pannal <br> Ash <br> Road | 2- <br> Green <br> Lane | 3 - <br> Yew <br> Tree <br> Lane | 4 Whinney Lane | 5 - <br> Beckwith Road |
|  | 1-Pannal Ash Road | 2 | 213 | 164 | 53 | 35 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 194 | 2 | 34 | 54 | 167 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 210 | 73 | 3 | 31 | 174 |
|  | 4 - Whinney Lane | 72 | 73 | 27 | 0 | 7 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 34 | 167 | 102 | 11 | 1 |

Proportions

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | 1- <br> Pannal <br> Ash <br> Road | 2- <br> Green <br> Lane | 3 - <br> Yew <br> Tree <br> Lane | 4 - <br> Whinney Lane | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ \text { Beck } \\ \text { Roa } \end{array}$ |
|  | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 0.00 | 0.46 | 0.35 | 0.11 | 0.0 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 0.43 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.3 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 0.43 | 0.15 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.3 |
|  | 4 - Whinney Lane | 0.40 | 0.41 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.0 |
|  | 5-Beckwith Road | 0.11 | 0.53 | 0.32 | 0.03 | 0.0 |

Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | 1- <br> Pannal Ash Road | 2- <br> Green Lane | $\begin{gathered} 3- \\ \text { Yew } \\ \text { Tree } \\ \text { Lane } \end{gathered}$ | 4Whinney Lane | 5 - <br> Beckwith Road |
|  | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | 2-Green Lane | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | 3 -Yew Tree Lane | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | 4-Whinney Lane | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | 5-Beckwith Road | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |

Average PCU Per Veh

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $1-$ Pannal Ash Road | 2 Green Lane | $\begin{gathered} \text { 3- } \\ \text { Yew } \\ \text { Tree } \\ \text { Lane } \end{gathered}$ | 4 - <br> Whinney Lane | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ \text { Beck } \\ \text { Roa } \end{array}$ |
|  | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.10 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.10 |
|  | 3 -Yew Tree Lane | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.10 |
|  | 4 - Whinney Lane | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.10 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.10 |

## Detailed Demand Data

Demand for each time segment

| Time Segment | Arm | Demand (PCU/hr) | Demand in PCU (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08:00-08:15 | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 352 | 352 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 340 | 340 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 370 | 370 |
|  | 4 - Whinney Lane | 135 | 135 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 237 | 237 |
| 08:15-08:30 | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 420 | 420 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 405 | 405 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 441 | 441 |
|  | 4 - Whinney Lane | 161 | 161 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 283 | 283 |
| 08:30-08:45 | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 514 | 514 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 497 | 497 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 541 | 541 |
|  | 4 - Whinney Lane | 197 | 197 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 347 | 347 |
| 08:45-09:00 | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 514 | 514 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 497 | 497 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 541 | 541 |
|  | 4 - Whinney Lane | 197 | 197 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 347 | 347 |
| 09:00-09:15 | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 420 | 420 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 405 | 405 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 441 | 441 |
|  | 4 - Whinney Lane | 161 | 161 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 283 | 283 |
| 09:15-09:30 | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 352 | 352 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 340 | 340 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 370 | 370 |
|  | 4 - Whinney Lane | 135 | 135 |
|  | 5-Beckwith Road | 237 | 237 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (PCU) | Max LOS | Average Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Total Junction <br> Arrivals (PCU) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 0.69 | 17.07 | 2.4 | C | 429 |  |
| 2 - Green Lane | 0.43 | 5.91 | 0.8 | A | 414 |  |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 0.68 | 15.74 | 2.3 | C | 643 |  |
| 4 - Whinney Lane | 0.35 | 11.02 | 0.6 | B | 451 | 164 |
| 5 - Beckwith Road | 0.34 | 5.96 | 0.6 | A | 676 |  |

## Main Results for each time segment

08:00-08:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Pannal Ash Road | 352 | 88 | 343 | 820 | 0.429 | 348 | 383 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 8.335 | A |
| 2 - Green Lane | 340 | 85 | 297 | 1247 | 0.272 | 338 | 395 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 4.347 | A |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 370 | 92 | 389 | 880 | 0.420 | 367 | 247 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 7.662 | A |
| 4-Whinney Lane | 135 | 34 | 644 | 700 | 0.193 | 134 | 111 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 6.981 | A |
| 5 - Beckwith Road | 237 | 59 | 490 | 1151 | 0.206 | 236 | 287 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 4.323 | A |

08:15-08:30

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Capacity <br> (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Pannal Ash Road | 420 | 105 | 412 | 789 | 0.532 | 418 | 459 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 10.642 | B |
| 2-Green Lane | 405 | 101 | 357 | 1213 | 0.334 | 405 | 473 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 4.897 | A |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 441 | 110 | 466 | 843 | 0.524 | 440 | 296 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 9.788 | A |
| 4 - Whinney Lane | 161 | 40 | 772 | 639 | 0.252 | 160 | 134 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 8.262 | A |
| 5 - Beckwith Road | 283 | 71 | 588 | 1092 | 0.259 | 283 | 344 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 4.892 | A |

08:30-08:45

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | ```Circulating flow (PCU/hr)``` | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Pannal Ash Road | 514 | 129 | 504 | 746 | 0.689 | 510 | 561 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 16.467 | C |
| 2-Green Lane | 497 | 124 | 435 | 1168 | 0.425 | 496 | 578 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 5.883 | A |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 541 | 135 | 570 | 792 | 0.683 | 536 | 361 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 15.229 | C |
| 4-Whinney Lane | 197 | 49 | 943 | 559 | 0.353 | 196 | 163 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 10.899 | B |
| 5-Beckwith Road | 347 | 87 | 718 | 1013 | 0.342 | 346 | 421 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 5.928 | A |

08:45-09:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Capacity <br> (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 514 | 129 | 505 | 745 | 0.690 | 514 | 564 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 17.074 | C |
| 2-Green Lane | 497 | 124 | 438 | 1166 | 0.426 | 497 | 581 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 5.912 | A |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 541 | 135 | 571 | 791 | 0.683 | 540 | 363 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 15.744 | C |
| 4-Whinney Lane | 197 | 49 | 948 | 556 | 0.354 | 197 | 164 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 11.021 | B |
| 5 - Beckwith Road | 347 | 87 | 722 | 1011 | 0.343 | 347 | 423 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 5.959 | A |

09:00-09:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 420 | 105 | 414 | 787 | 0.533 | 424 | 463 | 2.4 | 1.3 | 11.032 | B |
| 2 - Green Lane | 405 | 101 | 361 | 1211 | 0.335 | 406 | 478 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 4.930 | A |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 441 | 110 | 468 | 841 | 0.525 | 446 | 299 | 2.3 | 1.2 | 10.110 | B |
| 4 - Whinney Lane | 161 | 40 | 779 | 636 | 0.253 | 162 | 135 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 8.369 | A |
| 5 - Beckwith Road | 283 | 71 | 594 | 1089 | 0.260 | 284 | 347 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 4.926 | A |

09:15-09:30

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Capacity <br> (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 352 | 88 | 346 | 819 | 0.429 | 353 | 387 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 8.537 | A |
| 2 - Green Lane | 340 | 85 | 301 | 1245 | 0.273 | 340 | 399 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 4.377 | A |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 370 | 92 | 392 | 879 | 0.421 | 371 | 249 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 7.830 | A |
| 4 - Whinney Lane | 135 | 34 | 650 | 697 | 0.193 | 135 | 113 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 7.059 | A |
| 5 - Beckwith Road | 237 | 59 | 496 | 1148 | 0.207 | 238 | 290 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 4.352 | A |

## Existing Layout - 2030 NO Dev, PM Peak

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction type | Use circulating lanes | Arm order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Pannal Ash Rd/Beckworth Rd/ Whinney Ln/ Green Ln/Yew Tree Ln Roundabout | Standard Roundabout |  | $\begin{gathered} 1,2,3,4 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | 6.96 | A |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) | Run automatically |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D6 | 2030 NO Dev | PM Peak | ONE HOUR | $17: 00$ | $18: 30$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |


| Vehicle mix varies over turn | Vehicle mix varies over entry | Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV Percentages | 2.00 |

## Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Profile type | Use O-D data | Average Demand (PCU/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Pannal Ash Road |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 360 | 100.000 |
| 2 - Green Lane |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 395 | 100.000 |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 283 | 100.000 |
| 4-Whinney Lane |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 120 | 100.000 |
| 5-Beckwith Road |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 287 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | 1- <br> Pannal <br> Ash <br> Road | 2- <br> Green <br> Lane | 3 - <br> Yew <br> Tree <br> Lane | 4 - <br> Whinney Lane | 5 - <br> Beckwith <br> Road |
|  | 1-Pannal Ash Road | 0 | 177 | 120 | 42 | 21 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 207 | 0 | 16 | 34 | 138 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 155 | 26 | 0 | 16 | 86 |
|  | 4-Whinney Lane | 41 | 53 | 19 | 0 | 7 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 21 | 164 | 83 | 19 | 0 |

Proportions

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2- } \\ & \text { Green } \\ & \text { Lane } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 3- \\ \text { Yew } \\ \text { Tree } \\ \text { Lane } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 4Whinney Lane | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ \text { Beck } \\ \text { Roa } \end{array}$ |
|  | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 0.00 | 0.49 | 0.33 | 0.12 | 0.0 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 0.52 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.3 |
|  | 3-Yew Tree Lane | 0.55 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.3 |
|  | 4 - Whinney Lane | 0.34 | 0.44 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.0 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 0.07 | 0.57 | 0.29 | 0.07 | 0.0 |

Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | 1- <br> Pannal Ash Road | 2- <br> Green Lane | $\begin{gathered} 3- \\ \text { Yew } \\ \text { Tree } \\ \text { Lane } \end{gathered}$ | 4Whinney Lane | 5 - <br> Beckwith Road |
|  | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | 2-Green Lane | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | 3 -Yew Tree Lane | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | 4-Whinney Lane | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | 5-Beckwith Road | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |

Average PCU Per Veh

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $1-$ Pannal Ash Road | 2 Green Lane | $3-$ Yew <br> Tree <br> Lane | 4 Whinney Lane | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ \text { Beck } \\ \text { Roa } \end{array}$ |
|  | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.10 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.10 |
|  | 3 -Yew Tree Lane | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.10 |
|  | 4 - Whinney Lane | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.10 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.10 |

## Detailed Demand Data

Demand for each time segment

| Time Segment | Arm | Demand (PCU/hr) | Demand in PCU (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:00-17:15 | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 271 | 271 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 297 | 297 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 213 | 213 |
|  | 4 - Whinney Lane | 90 | 90 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 216 | 216 |
| 17:15-17:30 | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 324 | 324 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 355 | 355 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 254 | 254 |
|  | 4 - Whinney Lane | 108 | 108 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 258 | 258 |
| 17:30-17:45 | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 396 | 396 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 435 | 435 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 312 | 312 |
|  | 4 - Whinney Lane | 132 | 132 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 316 | 316 |
| 17:45-18:00 | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 396 | 396 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 435 | 435 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 312 | 312 |
|  | 4-Whinney Lane | 132 | 132 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 316 | 316 |
| 18:00-18:15 | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 324 | 324 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 355 | 355 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 254 | 254 |
|  | 4 - Whinney Lane | 108 | 108 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 258 | 258 |
| 18:15-18:30 | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 271 | 271 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 297 | 297 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 213 | 213 |
|  | 4 - Whinney Lane | 90 | 90 |
|  | 5-Beckwith Road | 216 | 216 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (PCU) | Max LOS | Average Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Total Junction <br> Arrivals (PCU) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 0.50 | 9.96 | 1.1 | A | 330 |  |
| 2 - Green Lane | 0.35 | 5.01 | 0.6 | A | 496 |  |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 0.38 | 7.75 | 0.7 | A | 544 |  |
| 4 - Whinney Lane | 0.20 | 7.30 | 0.3 | A | 260 |  |
| 5 - Beckwith Road | 0.28 | 4.96 | 0.4 | A | 110 |  |

## Main Results for each time segment

17:00-17:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Circulating } \\ \text { flow } \\ (\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Pannal Ash Road | 271 | 68 | 273 | 853 | 0.318 | 269 | 318 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 6.756 | A |
| 2-Green Lane | 297 | 74 | 227 | 1287 | 0.231 | 296 | 314 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 3.990 | A |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 213 | 53 | 345 | 901 | 0.236 | 212 | 178 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 5.732 | A |
| 4 - Whinney Lane | 90 | 23 | 474 | 780 | 0.116 | 90 | 83 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 5.731 | A |
| 5-Beckwith Road | 216 | 54 | 375 | 1220 | 0.177 | 215 | 189 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 3.937 | A |

17:15-17:30

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Pannal Ash Road | 324 | 81 | 327 | 828 | 0.391 | 323 | 381 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 7.827 | A |
| 2 - Green Lane | 355 | 89 | 273 | 1261 | 0.282 | 355 | 377 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 4.366 | A |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 254 | 64 | 414 | 868 | 0.293 | 254 | 214 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 6.446 | A |
| 4 - Whinney Lane | 108 | 27 | 568 | 736 | 0.147 | 108 | 100 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 6.305 | A |
| 5 - Beckwith Road | 258 | 65 | 450 | 1175 | 0.220 | 258 | 226 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 4.315 | A |

17:30-17:45

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 396 | 99 | 400 | 794 | 0.499 | 395 | 466 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 9.881 | A |
| 2 - Green Lane | 435 | 109 | 334 | 1226 | 0.355 | 434 | 461 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 4.995 | A |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 312 | 78 | 507 | 823 | 0.379 | 311 | 261 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 7.720 | A |
| 4-Whinney Lane | 132 | 33 | 695 | 675 | 0.196 | 132 | 122 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 7.281 | A |
| 5 - Beckwith Road | 316 | 79 | 550 | 1115 | 0.284 | 315 | 277 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 4.952 | A |

17:45-18:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Circulating } \\ & \text { flow } \\ & \text { (PCU/hr) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Capacity <br> (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Pannal Ash Road | 396 | 99 | 401 | 794 | 0.499 | 396 | 467 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 9.962 | A |
| 2-Green Lane | 435 | 109 | 335 | 1226 | 0.355 | 435 | 462 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 5.007 | A |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 312 | 78 | 508 | 822 | 0.379 | 312 | 262 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 7.753 | A |
| 4 - Whinney Lane | 132 | 33 | 697 | 675 | 0.196 | 132 | 122 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 7.296 | A |
| 5 - Beckwith Road | 316 | 79 | 552 | 1114 | 0.284 | 316 | 277 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 4.962 | A |

18:00-18:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Capacity <br> (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Pannal Ash Road | 324 | 81 | 328 | 828 | 0.391 | 325 | 382 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 7.904 | A |
| 2 - Green Lane | 355 | 89 | 274 | 1260 | 0.282 | 356 | 379 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 4.380 | A |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 254 | 64 | 415 | 867 | 0.293 | 255 | 215 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 6.481 | A |
| 4-Whinney Lane | 108 | 27 | 571 | 735 | 0.147 | 108 | 100 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 6.327 | A |
| 5 - Beckwith Road | 258 | 65 | 452 | 1174 | 0.220 | 258 | 227 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 4.328 | A |

## 18:15-18:30

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Capacity <br> (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 271 | 68 | 274 | 852 | 0.318 | 272 | 320 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 6.829 | A |
| 2 - Green Lane | 297 | 74 | 229 | 1286 | 0.231 | 298 | 317 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 4.007 | A |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 213 | 53 | 348 | 900 | 0.237 | 214 | 180 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 5.770 | A |
| 4-Whinney Lane | 90 | 23 | 477 | 778 | 0.116 | 91 | 84 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 5.757 | A |
| 5 - Beckwith Road | 216 | 54 | 378 | 1218 | 0.177 | 216 | 190 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 3.954 | A |

## Existing Layout - 2030 With Dev, AM Peak

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction type | Use circulating lanes | Arm order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Pannal Ash Rd/Beckworth Rd/ Whinney Ln/ Green Ln/Yew Tree Ln Roundabout | Standard Roundabout |  | $\begin{gathered} 1,2,3,4 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | 14.22 | B |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) | Run automatically |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D7 | 2030 With Dev | AM Peak | ONE HOUR | $08: 00$ | $09: 30$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |


| Vehicle mix varies over turn | Vehicle mix varies over entry | Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV Percentages | 2.00 |

## Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Profile type | Use O-D data | Average Demand (PCU/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Pannal Ash Road |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 478 | 100.000 |
| 2 - Green Lane |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 455 | 100.000 |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 533 | 100.000 |
| 4 - Whinney Lane |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 234 | 100.000 |
| 5 - Beckwith Road |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 330 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | 1- <br> Pannal <br> Ash <br> Road | 2- <br> Green <br> Lane | 3 - <br> Yew <br> Tree <br> Lane | 4 - <br> Whinney Lane | 5 - <br> Beckwith Road |
|  | 1-Pannal Ash Road | 2 | 213 | 164 | 64 | 35 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 194 | 2 | 34 | 58 | 167 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 210 | 73 | 3 | 37 | 210 |
|  | 4 - Whinney Lane | 100 | 85 | 42 | 0 | 7 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 34 | 167 | 102 | 26 | 1 |

Proportions

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | 1- <br> Pannal <br> Ash <br> Road | 2- <br> Green <br> Lane | 3 - <br> Yew <br> Tree <br> Lane | 4 - <br> Whinney Lane | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ \text { Beck } \\ \text { Roa } \end{array}$ |
|  | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 0.00 | 0.45 | 0.34 | 0.13 | 0.0 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 0.43 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.13 | 0.3 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 0.39 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.3 |
|  | 4 - Whinney Lane | 0.43 | 0.36 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.0 |
|  | 5-Beckwith Road | 0.10 | 0.51 | 0.31 | 0.08 | 0.0 |

Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | 1- <br> Pannal Ash Road | 2- <br> Green Lane | $\begin{gathered} 3- \\ \text { Yew } \\ \text { Tree } \\ \text { Lane } \end{gathered}$ | 4Whinney Lane | 5 - <br> Beckwith Road |
|  | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | 2-Green Lane | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | 3 -Yew Tree Lane | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | 4-Whinney Lane | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | 5-Beckwith Road | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |

Average PCU Per Veh

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $1-$ Pannal Ash Road | 2 Green Lane | $3-$ Yew <br> Tree <br> Lane | 4 Whinney Lane | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ \text { Beck } \\ \text { Roa } \end{array}$ |
|  | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.10 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.10 |
|  | 3 -Yew Tree Lane | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.10 |
|  | 4 - Whinney Lane | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.10 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.10 |

## Detailed Demand Data

Demand for each time segment

| Time Segment | Arm | Demand (PCU/hr) | Demand in PCU (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08:00-08:15 | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 360 | 360 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 343 | 343 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 401 | 401 |
|  | 4 - Whinney Lane | 176 | 176 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 248 | 248 |
| 08:15-08:30 | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 430 | 430 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 409 | 409 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 479 | 479 |
|  | 4 - Whinney Lane | 210 | 210 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 297 | 297 |
| 08:30-08:45 | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 526 | 526 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 501 | 501 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 587 | 587 |
|  | 4 - Whinney Lane | 258 | 258 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 363 | 363 |
| 08:45-09:00 | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 526 | 526 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 501 | 501 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 587 | 587 |
|  | 4-Whinney Lane | 258 | 258 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 363 | 363 |
| 09:00-09:15 | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 430 | 430 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 409 | 409 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 479 | 479 |
|  | 4 - Whinney Lane | 210 | 210 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 297 | 297 |
| 09:15-09:30 | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 360 | 360 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 343 | 343 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 401 | 401 |
|  | 4 - Whinney Lane | 176 | 176 |
|  | 5-Beckwith Road | 248 | 248 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (PCU) | Max LOS | Average Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Total Junction <br> Arrivals (PCU) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 0.73 | 19.91 | 2.8 | C | 439 |  |
| 2 - Green Lane | 0.44 | 6.19 | 0.9 | A | 418 |  |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 0.76 | 20.81 | 3.3 | C | 68 |  |
| 4 - Whinney Lane | 0.48 | 14.12 | 1.0 | B | 489 | 215 |
| 5 - Beckwith Road | 0.37 | 6.47 | 0.6 | A | 734 |  |

## Main Results for each time segment

08:00-08:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Pannal Ash Road | 360 | 90 | 375 | 806 | 0.447 | 356 | 404 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 8.746 | A |
| 2 - Green Lane | 343 | 86 | 328 | 1230 | 0.279 | 341 | 403 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 4.447 | A |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 401 | 100 | 411 | 869 | 0.462 | 398 | 258 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 8.330 | A |
| 4-Whinney Lane | 176 | 44 | 670 | 687 | 0.256 | 175 | 138 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 7.702 | A |
| 5 - Beckwith Road | 248 | 62 | 531 | 1126 | 0.221 | 247 | 314 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 4.498 | A |

08:15-08:30

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Pannal Ash Road | 430 | 107 | 449 | 771 | 0.557 | 428 | 484 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 11.468 | B |
| 2-Green Lane | 409 | 102 | 393 | 1192 | 0.343 | 408 | 484 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 5.051 | A |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 479 | 120 | 493 | 830 | 0.578 | 477 | 309 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 11.161 | B |
| 4-Whinney Lane | 210 | 53 | 804 | 624 | 0.337 | 210 | 166 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 9.534 | A |
| 5 - Beckwith Road | 297 | 74 | 637 | 1062 | 0.279 | 296 | 376 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 5.164 | A |

08:30-08:45

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Capacity <br> (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Pannal Ash Road | 526 | 132 | 549 | 725 | 0.726 | 521 | 591 | 1.3 | 2.7 | 18.909 | C |
| 2-Green Lane | 501 | 125 | 480 | 1142 | 0.439 | 500 | 590 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 6.154 | A |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 587 | 147 | 602 | 776 | 0.756 | 580 | 377 | 1.5 | 3.1 | 19.543 | C |
| 4-Whinney Lane | 258 | 64 | 980 | 541 | 0.476 | 256 | 202 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 13.809 | B |
| 5 - Beckwith Road | 363 | 91 | 777 | 978 | 0.371 | 362 | 459 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 6.422 | A |

08:45-09:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Capacity <br> (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 526 | 132 | 551 | 724 | 0.727 | 526 | 594 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 19.911 | C |
| 2-Green Lane | 501 | 125 | 483 | 1140 | 0.439 | 501 | 594 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 6.192 | A |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 587 | 147 | 604 | 775 | 0.757 | 586 | 380 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 20.813 | C |
| 4 - Whinney Lane | 258 | 64 | 987 | 538 | 0.479 | 258 | 204 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 14.124 | B |
| 5 - Beckwith Road | 363 | 91 | 782 | 975 | 0.373 | 363 | 462 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 6.474 | A |

09:00-09:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 430 | 107 | 453 | 769 | 0.559 | 435 | 489 | 2.8 | 1.4 | 12.038 | B |
| 2 - Green Lane | 409 | 102 | 398 | 1189 | 0.344 | 410 | 490 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 5.092 | A |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 479 | 120 | 496 | 828 | 0.579 | 486 | 313 | 3.3 | 1.6 | 11.801 | B |
| 4 - Whinney Lane | 210 | 53 | 814 | 619 | 0.340 | 212 | 168 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 9.759 | A |
| 5 - Beckwith Road | 297 | 74 | 645 | 1058 | 0.280 | 298 | 381 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 5.215 | A |

09:15-09:30

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Capacity <br> (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 360 | 90 | 378 | 804 | 0.448 | 362 | 408 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 8.997 | A |
| 2 - Green Lane | 343 | 86 | 332 | 1227 | 0.279 | 343 | 408 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 4.481 | A |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 401 | 100 | 414 | 868 | 0.462 | 404 | 261 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 8.575 | A |
| 4-Whinney Lane | 176 | 44 | 678 | 684 | 0.258 | 177 | 140 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 7.826 | A |
| 5 - Beckwith Road | 248 | 62 | 538 | 1122 | 0.221 | 249 | 318 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 4.537 | A |

## Existing Layout - 2030 With Dev, PM Peak

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction type | Use circulating lanes | Arm order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Pannal Ash Rd/Beckworth Rd/ Whinney Ln/ Green Ln/Yew Tree Ln Roundabout | Standard Roundabout |  | $\begin{gathered} 1,2,3,4 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | 7.62 | A |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) | Run automatically |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D8 | 2030 With Dev | PM Peak | ONE HOUR | $17: 00$ | $18: 30$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |


| Vehicle mix varies over turn | Vehicle mix varies over entry | Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV Percentages | 2.00 |

## Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Profile type | Use O-D data | Average Demand (PCU/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Pannal Ash Road |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 394 | 100.000 |
| 2 - Green Lane |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 409 | 100.000 |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 297 | 100.000 |
| 4-Whinney Lane |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 151 | 100.000 |
| 5-Beckwith Road |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 287 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  |  | 2- <br> Green <br> Lane | 3- <br> Yew <br> Tree <br> Lane | 4 - <br> Whinney Lane | 5 - <br> Beckwith <br> Road |
|  | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 0 | 177 | 120 | 76 | 21 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 207 | 0 | 16 | 48 | 138 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 155 | 26 | 0 | 30 | 86 |
|  | 4-Whinney Lane | 58 | 60 | 26 | 0 | 7 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 21 | 164 | 83 | 19 | 0 |

Proportions

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | 1 - <br> Pannal <br> Ash <br> Road | 2- <br> Green <br> Lane | 3 - <br> Yew <br> Tree <br> Lane | 4 - <br> Whinney Lane | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ \text { Beck } \\ \text { Roa } \end{array}$ |
|  | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 0.00 | 0.45 | 0.30 | 0.19 | 0.0 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 0.51 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.12 | 0.3 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 0.52 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.2 |
|  | 4-Whinney Lane | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.0 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 0.07 | 0.57 | 0.29 | 0.07 | 0.0 |

Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | 1- <br> Pannal Ash Road | 2- <br> Green Lane | $\begin{gathered} 3- \\ \text { Yew } \\ \text { Tree } \\ \text { Lane } \end{gathered}$ | 4Whinney Lane | 5 - <br> Beckwith Road |
|  | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | 2-Green Lane | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | 3 -Yew Tree Lane | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | 4-Whinney Lane | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | 5-Beckwith Road | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |

Average PCU Per Veh

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $1-$ Pannal Ash Road | 2 Green Lane | $3-$ Yew <br> Tree <br> Lane | 4 Whinney Lane | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ \text { Beck } \\ \text { Roa } \end{array}$ |
|  | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.10 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.10 |
|  | 3 -Yew Tree Lane | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.10 |
|  | 4 - Whinney Lane | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.10 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.100 | 1.10 |

## Detailed Demand Data

Demand for each time segment

| Time Segment | Arm | Demand (PCU/hr) | Demand in PCU (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:00-17:15 | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 297 | 297 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 308 | 308 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 224 | 224 |
|  | 4 - Whinney Lane | 114 | 114 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 216 | 216 |
| 17:15-17:30 | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 354 | 354 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 368 | 368 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 267 | 267 |
|  | 4 - Whinney Lane | 136 | 136 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 258 | 258 |
| 17:30-17:45 | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 434 | 434 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 450 | 450 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 327 | 327 |
|  | 4 - Whinney Lane | 166 | 166 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 316 | 316 |
| 17:45-18:00 | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 434 | 434 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 450 | 450 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 327 | 327 |
|  | 4-Whinney Lane | 166 | 166 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 316 | 316 |
| 18:00-18:15 | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 354 | 354 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 368 | 368 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 267 | 267 |
|  | 4 - Whinney Lane | 136 | 136 |
|  | 5 - Beckwith Road | 258 | 258 |
| 18:15-18:30 | 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 297 | 297 |
|  | 2 - Green Lane | 308 | 308 |
|  | 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 224 | 224 |
|  | 4 - Whinney Lane | 114 | 114 |
|  | 5-Beckwith Road | 216 | 216 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (PCU) | Max LOS | Average Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Total Junction <br> Arrivals (PCU) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 0.55 | 11.22 | 1.3 | B | 362 |  |
| 2 - Green Lane | 0.38 | 5.28 | 0.7 | A | 375 |  |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 0.41 | 8.43 | 0.8 | A | 562 |  |
| 4 - Whinney Lane | 0.25 | 7.79 | 0.4 | A | 273 |  |
| 5 - Beckwith Road | 0.29 | 5.09 | 0.4 | A | 139 |  |

## Main Results for each time segment

17:00-17:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Capacity <br> (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Pannal Ash Road | 297 | 74 | 283 | 848 | 0.350 | 294 | 330 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 7.117 | A |
| 2-Green Lane | 308 | 77 | 258 | 1270 | 0.242 | 307 | 319 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 4.105 | A |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 224 | 56 | 381 | 884 | 0.253 | 222 | 183 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 5.971 | A |
| 4 - Whinney Lane | 114 | 28 | 474 | 780 | 0.146 | 113 | 129 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 5.929 | A |
| 5 - Beckwith Road | 216 | 54 | 398 | 1206 | 0.179 | 215 | 189 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 3.992 | A |

17:15-17:30

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Circulating } \\ & \text { flow } \\ & \text { (PCU/hr) } \end{aligned}$ | Capacity <br> (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Pannal Ash Road | 354 | 89 | 339 | 822 | 0.431 | 353 | 396 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 8.427 | A |
| 2 - Green Lane | 368 | 92 | 309 | 1240 | 0.296 | 367 | 383 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 4.534 | A |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 267 | 67 | 457 | 847 | 0.315 | 266 | 220 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 6.816 | A |
| 4-Whinney Lane | 136 | 34 | 568 | 736 | 0.185 | 136 | 155 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 6.595 | A |
| 5 - Beckwith Road | 258 | 65 | 477 | 1159 | 0.223 | 258 | 226 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 4.395 | A |

17:30-17:45

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Capacity (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Pannal Ash Road | 434 | 108 | 415 | 787 | 0.551 | 432 | 484 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 11.089 | B |
| 2-Green Lane | 450 | 113 | 378 | 1201 | 0.375 | 450 | 469 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 5.267 | A |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 327 | 82 | 559 | 797 | 0.410 | 326 | 269 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 8.385 | A |
| 4 - Whinney Lane | 166 | 42 | 695 | 676 | 0.246 | 166 | 190 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 7.763 | A |
| 5 - Beckwith Road | 316 | 79 | 584 | 1094 | 0.289 | 315 | 277 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 5.082 | A |

17:45-18:00

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | ```Circulating flow (PCU/hr)``` | Capacity <br> (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - Pannal Ash Road | 434 | 108 | 416 | 787 | 0.552 | 434 | 486 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 11.222 | B |
| 2-Green Lane | 450 | 113 | 380 | 1200 | 0.375 | 450 | 470 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 5.283 | A |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 327 | 82 | 560 | 797 | 0.411 | 327 | 270 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 8.431 | A |
| 4-Whinney Lane | 166 | 42 | 697 | 675 | 0.246 | 166 | 190 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 7.786 | A |
| 5 - Beckwith Road | 316 | 79 | 586 | 1093 | 0.289 | 316 | 277 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 5.093 | A |

18:00-18:15

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | Circulating flow (PCU/hr) | Capacity <br> (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay <br> (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Pannal Ash Road | 354 | 89 | 341 | 822 | 0.431 | 356 | 398 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 8.542 | A |
| 2 - Green Lane | 368 | 92 | 311 | 1239 | 0.297 | 368 | 385 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 4.553 | A |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 267 | 67 | 459 | 846 | 0.316 | 268 | 221 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 6.864 | A |
| 4-Whinney Lane | 136 | 34 | 571 | 734 | 0.185 | 136 | 156 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 6.623 | A |
| 5 - Beckwith Road | 258 | 65 | 480 | 1157 | 0.223 | 259 | 227 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 4.410 | A |

## 18:15-18:30

| Arm | Total Demand (PCU/hr) | Junction Arrivals (PCU) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Circulating } \\ \text { flow } \\ (\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Capacity <br> (PCU/hr) | RFC | Throughput (PCU/hr) | Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queue (PCU) | End queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | Unsignalised level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Pannal Ash Road | 297 | 74 | 285 | 847 | 0.350 | 298 | 333 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 7.216 | A |
| 2 - Green Lane | 308 | 77 | 260 | 1268 | 0.243 | 308 | 322 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 4.126 | A |
| 3 - Yew Tree Lane | 224 | 56 | 384 | 883 | 0.253 | 224 | 185 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 6.021 | A |
| 4-Whinney Lane | 114 | 28 | 477 | 778 | 0.146 | 114 | 131 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 5.960 | A |
| 5-Beckwith Road | 216 | 54 | 401 | 1204 | 0.179 | 216 | 190 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 4.010 | A |

Full Input Data And Results
Full Input Data And Results

## User and Project Details

| Project: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Title: |  |
| Location: |  |
| Additional detail: |  |
| File name: | SJ16\&17 model.lsg3x |
| Author: |  |
| Company: |  |
| Address: |  |

## Network Layout Diagram



C1
Phase Diagram


Phase Input Data

| Phase Name | Phase Type | Assoc. Phase | Street Min | Cont Min |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Traffic |  | 7 | 7 |
| B | Traffic |  | 7 | 7 |
| C | Traffic |  | 7 | 7 |
| D | Traffic |  | 7 | 7 |
| E | Ind. Arrow | D | 4 | 4 |
| F | Pedestrian |  | 5 | 5 |

Full Input Data And Results
Phase Intergreens Matrix


Phases in Stage

| Stage No. | Phases in Stage |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | A B |
| 2 | F |
| 3 | C D |
| 4 | D E |

## Stage Diagram



Phase Delays

| Term. Stage | Start Stage | Phase | Type | Value | Cont value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

There are no Phase Delays defined

Prohibited Stage Change


C2
Phase Diagram


Phase Input Data

| Phase Name | Phase Type | Assoc. Phase | Street Min | Cont Min |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Traffic |  | 7 | 7 |
| B | Traffic |  | 7 | 7 |
| C | Traffic |  | 7 | 7 |
| D | Pedestrian |  | 5 | 5 |
| E | Traffic |  | 7 | 7 |

Full Input Data And Results
Phase Intergreens Matrix

|  | Starting Phase |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | E |
|  | A |  | - | 6 | 7 | - |
| Terminating <br> Phase | B | - |  | - | 7 | - |
|  | C | 6 | - |  | 7 | 6 |
|  | D | 5 | 5 | 5 |  | 5 |
|  | E | - | - | 6 | 7 |  |

Phases in Stage

| Stage No. | Phases in Stage |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | A B E |
| 2 | D |
| 3 | B C |

## Stage Diagram



## Phase Delays

| Term. Stage | Start Stage | Phase | Type | Value | Cont value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| There are no Phase Delays defined |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Prohibited Stage Change

|  | To Stage |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|  | 1 |  | 7 | 6 |
| From | 1 |  | 7 | 6 |
| Stage | 2 | 5 |  | 5 |
|  | 3 | 6 | 7 |  |

Full Input Data And Results

## Give-Way Lane Input Data

| Junction: J1: Otley Road/Cold Bath Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Movement | Max Flow when Giving Way (PCU/Hr) | Min Flow when Giving Way (PCU/Hr) | Opposing Lane | Opp. Lane Coeff. | Opp. Mvmnts. | Right Turn Storage (PCU) | Non-Blocking Storage (PCU) | RTF | Right Turn Move up (s) | Max Turns in Intergreen (PCU) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { J1:1/2 } \\ \text { (Otley Road (E)) } \end{gathered}$ | J1:7/1 <br> (Right) | 1439 | 0 | J1:3/2 | 1.09 | All | 2.00 | - | 0.50 | 2 | 2.00 |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{J1:2/1} \\ \text { (Arthurs Avenue) } \end{gathered}$ | J1:5/1 (Right) | 1439 | 0 | J1:4/1 | 1.09 | All | 2.00 | 2.00 | 0.50 | 2 | 2.00 |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{J} 1: 3 / 2 \\ \text { (Otley Road (W)) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { J1:8/1 } \\ & \text { (Right) } \end{aligned}$ | 1439 | 0 | J1:1/1 | 1.09 | All | 2.00 | - | 0.50 | 2 | 2.00 |
| J1:4/2 <br> (Cold Bath Road) | J1:6/1 <br> (Right) | 1439 | 0 | J1:2/1 | 1.09 | To J1:6/1 (Left) To J1:7/1 (Ahead) | 2.00 | - | 0.50 | 2 | 2.00 |

Junction: J2: Otley Road/Pannal Ash Road

| Lane | Movement | Max Flow when Giving Way (PCU/Hr) | Min Flow when Giving Way (PCU/Hr) | Opposing Lane | Opp. Lane Coeff. | Opp. Mvmnts. | Right Turn Storage (PCU) | Non-Blocking Storage (PCU) | RTF | Right Turn Move up (s) | Max Turns in Intergreen (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { J2:1/2 } \\ \text { (Otley Road (E)) } \end{gathered}$ | J2:7/1 (Right) | 1439 | 0 | J2:3/1 | 1.09 | All | 2.00 | 2.00 | 0.50 | 2 | 2.00 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { J2:3/2 } \\ \text { (Otley Road (W)) } \end{gathered}$ | J2:6/1 (Right) | 1439 | 0 | J2:1/2 | 1.09 | To J2:5/1 (Ahead) | 2.00 | - | 0.50 | 2 | 2.00 |
|  |  |  |  | J2:1/1 | 1.09 | All |  |  |  |  |  |

Full Input Data And Results
Lane Input Data

## Junction: J1: Otley Road/Cold Bath Road

| Lane | Lane Type | Phases | Start <br> Disp. | End Disp. | Physical Length (PCU) | Sat Flow Type | Def User Saturation Flow (PCU/Hr) | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Turns | Turning Radius (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| J1:1/1 (Otley Road (E)) | U | A | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | User | 1704 | - | - | - | - | - |
| J1:1/2 (Otley Road (E)) | 0 | A | 2 | 3 | 4.0 | User | 1546 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{J}: 2 / 1 \\ & \text { (Arthurs } \\ & \text { Avenue) } \end{aligned}$ | 0 | C | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | User | 1665 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { J1:3/1 } \\ & \text { (Otley Road } \\ & \text { (W)) } \end{aligned}$ | U | B | 2 | 3 | 19.1 | User | 1806 | - | - | - | - | - |
| J1:3/2 (Otley Road (W)) | 0 | B | 2 | 3 | $9.8$ | User | $1598$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| J1:4/1 (Cold Bath Road) | U | DE | 2 | 3 | 7.8 | User | $1574$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| J1:4/2 <br> (Cold Bath <br> Road) | 0 | D E | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | User | 1501 | - | - | - | - | - |
| J1:5/1 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 8.7 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| J1:6/1 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 6.6 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| J1:7/1 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 8.7 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| J1:8/1 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 8.7 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Full Input Data And Results

| Junction: J2: Otley Road/Pannal Ash Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Lane Type | Phases | Start Disp. | End Disp. | Physical Length (PCU) | Sat Flow Type | Def User Saturation Flow (PCU/Hr) | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Turns | Turning Radius (m) |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { J2:1/1 } \\ \text { (Otley Road } \\ \text { (E)) } \end{array}$ | U | B | 2 | 3 | 6.0 | User | 1554 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { J2:1/2 } \\ & \text { (Otley Road } \\ & \text { (E)) } \end{aligned}$ | 0 | A | 2 | 3 | $19.1$ | User | $1736$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { J2:2/1 } \\ \text { (Pannal Ash } \\ \text { Road) } \end{gathered}$ | U | C | 2 | 3 | 4.3 | User | 1803 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{J} 2: 2 / 2 \\ \text { (Pannal Ash } \\ \text { Road) } \end{gathered}$ | U | C | 2 | 3 | 60.0 | User | 1563 | - | - | - | - | - |
| J2:3/1 (Otley Road (W)) | U | E | 2 | 3 | 61.9 | User | 1727 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { J2:3/2 } \\ & \text { (Otley Road } \\ & \text { (W)) } \end{aligned}$ | 0 | E | 2 | 3 | 1.0 | User | 1645 | - | - | - | - | - |
| J2:4/1 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 4.9 | Geom | - | 4.80 | 0.00 | Y | Arm J1:3 Ahead | Inf |
| J2:5/1 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 4.9 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| J2:6/1 | U |  | 2 | 3 | 8.7 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| J2:7/1 <br> (Mannor Drive) | U |  | 2 | 3 | 8.7 | Inf | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Traffic Flow Groups

| Flow Group | Start Time | End Time | Duration | Formula |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1: '2020 AM Peak' | $08: 00$ | $09: 00$ | $01: 00$ |  |
| 2: '2020 PM Peak' | $17: 00$ | $18: 00$ | $01: 00$ |  |
| 3: '2030 Base: AM Peak' | $08: 00$ | $09: 00$ | $01: 00$ |  |
| 4: '2030 Base: PM Peak' | $17: 00$ | $18: 00$ | $01: 00$ |  |
| 5: '2030 Base + Development: AM Peak' | $08: 00$ | $09: 00$ | $01: 00$ |  |
| 6: '2030 Base + Development: PM Peak' | $17: 00$ | $18: 00$ | $01: 00$ |  |

Scenario 1: '2020 AM Peak' (FG1: '2020 AM Peak', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Turning Counts Diagram
J2: Otley Road/Pannal Ash Road

Lane Saturation Flows

| Junction: J1: Otley Road/Cold Bath Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Allowed Turns | Turning Radius (m) | Turning Prop. | Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) | Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{J1:1/1} \\ \text { (Otley Road (E) Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1704 | 1704 |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{J1:1/2} \\ \text { (Otley Road (E) Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1546 | 1546 |
| J1:2/1 (Arthurs Avenue Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1665 | 1665 |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{J1}: 3 / 1 \\ \text { (Otley Road (W) Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1806 | 1806 |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{J} 1: 3 / 2 \\ \text { (Otley Road (W) Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1598 | 1598 |
| J1:4/1 (Cold Bath Road Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1574 | 1574 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { J1:4/2 } \\ \text { (Cold Bath Road Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1501 | 1501 |
| J1:5/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| J1:6/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| J1:7/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |

Full Input Data And Results

## Junction: J2: Otley Road/Pannal Ash Road

| Lane | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Allowed Turns | Turning Radius (m) | Turning Prop. | Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) | Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { J2:1/1 } \\ \text { (Otley Road (E) Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1554 | 1554 |
| J2:1/2 (Otley Road (E) Lane 2) J2:2/1 (Pannal Ash Road Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation FlowThis lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1736 \\ & 1803 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1736 \\ & 1803 \end{aligned}$ |
| J2:2/2 (Pannal Ash Road Lane 2) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1563 | 1563 |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{J} 2: 3 / 1 \\ \text { (Otley Road (W) Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1727 | 1727 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { J2:3/2 } \\ \text { (Otley Road (W) Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1645 | 1645 |
| J2:4/1 | 4.80 | 0.00 | Y | Arm J1:3 Ahead | Inf | 100.0 \% | 2095 | 2095 |
| J2:5/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| J2:6/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { J2:7/1 } \\ \text { (Mannor Drive Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |

Scenario 2: '2020 PM Peak' (FG2: '2020 PM Peak', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Turning Counts Diagram
J2: Otley Road/Pannal Ash Road

Full Input Data And Results

## Lane Saturation Flows

| Junction: J1: Otley Road/Cold Bath Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Allowed Turns | Turning Radius (m) | Turning Prop. | Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) | Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { J1:1/1 } \\ \text { (Otley Road (E) Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1704 | 1704 |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{J1:1/2} \\ \text { (Otley Road (E) Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1546 | 1546 |
| J1:2/1 (Arthurs Avenue Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1665 | 1665 |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{J1}: 3 / 1 \\ \text { (Otley Road (W) Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1806 | 1806 |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{J1}: 3 / 2 \\ \text { (Otley Road (W) Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1598 | 1598 |
| J1:4/1 (Cold Bath Road Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1574 | 1574 |
| J1:4/2 (Cold Bath Road Lane 2) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1501 | 1501 |
| J1:5/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| J1:6/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| J1:7/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| J1:8/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |

Junction: J2: Otley Road/Pannal Ash Road

| Lane | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Allowed Turns | Turning Radius (m) | Turning Prop. | Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) | Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { J2:1/1 } \\ \text { (Otley Road (E) Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1554 | 1554 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { J2:1/2 } \\ \text { (Otley Road (E) Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1736 | 1736 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { J2:2/1 } \\ \text { (Pannal Ash Road Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1803 | 1803 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { J2:2/2 } \\ \text { (Pannal Ash Road Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1563 | 1563 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { J2:3/1 } \\ \text { (Otley Road (W) Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1727 | 1727 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { J2:3/2 } \\ \text { (Otley Road (W) Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1645 | 1645 |
| J2:4/1 | 4.80 | 0.00 | Y | Arm J1:3 Ahead | Inf | 100.0 \% | 2095 | 2095 |
| J2:5/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| J2:6/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| J2:7/1 (Mannor Drive Lane 1) | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |

Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 3: '2030 Base: AM Peak' (FG3: '2030 Base: AM Peak', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Turning Counts Diagram


## Lane Saturation Flows

| Junction: J1: Otley Road/Cold Bath Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Allowed Turns | Turning Radius (m) | Turning Prop. | Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) | Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) |
| $\mathrm{J1:1/1}$ (Otley Road (E) Lane 1) $\mathrm{J1:1/2}$ (Otley Road (E) Lane 2) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1704 \\ & 1546 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1704 \\ & 1546 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{J1:2/1} \\ \text { (Arthurs Avenue Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1665 | 1665 |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{J} 1: 3 / 1 \\ \text { (Otley Road (W) Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1806 | 1806 |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{J1}: 3 / 2 \\ \text { (Otley Road (W) Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1598 | 1598 |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{J1:4/1} \\ \text { (Cold Bath Road Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1574 | 1574 |
| J1:4/2 <br> (Cold Bath Road Lane 2) J1:5/1 | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1501 \\ \text { Inf } \end{gathered}$ | 1501 Inf |
| J1:6/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| J1:7/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| J1:8/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |

Full Input Data And Results

| Junction: J2: Otley Road/Pannal Ash Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Allowed Turns | Turning Radius (m) | Turning Prop. | Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) | Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { J2:1/1 } \\ \text { (Otley Road (E) Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1554 | 1554 |
| J2:1/2 (Otley Road (E) Lane 2) J2:2/1 (Pannal Ash Road Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation FlowThis lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1736 \\ & 1803 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1736 \\ & 1803 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { J2:2/2 } \\ \text { (Pannal Ash Road Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1563 | 1563 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { J2:3/1 } \\ \text { (Otley Road (W) Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1727 | 1727 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { J2:3/2 } \\ \text { (Otley Road (W) Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1645 | 1645 |
| J2:4/1 | 4.80 | 0.00 | Y | Arm J1:3 Ahead | Inf | 100.0 \% | 2095 | 2095 |
| J2:5/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| J2:6/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { J2:7/1 } \\ \text { (Mannor Drive Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |

Scenario 4: '2030 Base: PM Peak' (FG4: '2030 Base: PM Peak', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Turning Counts Diagram


Full Input Data And Results

## Lane Saturation Flows

| Junction: J1: Otley Road/Cold Bath Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Allowed Turns | Turning Radius (m) | Turning Prop. | Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) | Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { J1:1/1 } \\ \text { (Otley Road (E) Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1704 | 1704 |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{J1:1/2} \\ \text { (Otley Road (E) Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1546 | 1546 |
| J1:2/1 (Arthurs Avenue Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1665 | 1665 |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{J1}: 3 / 1 \\ \text { (Otley Road (W) Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1806 | 1806 |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{J1}: 3 / 2 \\ \text { (Otley Road (W) Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1598 | 1598 |
| J1:4/1 (Cold Bath Road Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1574 | 1574 |
| J1:4/2 (Cold Bath Road Lane 2) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1501 | 1501 |
| J1:5/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| J1:6/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| J1:7/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| J1:8/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |

Junction: J2: Otley Road/Pannal Ash Road

| Lane | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Allowed Turns | Turning Radius (m) | Turning Prop. | Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) | Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { J2:1/1 } \\ \text { (Otley Road (E) Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1554 | 1554 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { J2:1/2 } \\ \text { (Otley Road (E) Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1736 | 1736 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { J2:2/1 } \\ \text { (Pannal Ash Road Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1803 | 1803 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { J2:2/2 } \\ \text { (Pannal Ash Road Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1563 | 1563 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { J2:3/1 } \\ \text { (Otley Road (W) Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1727 | 1727 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { J2:3/2 } \\ \text { (Otley Road (W) Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1645 | 1645 |
| J2:4/1 | 4.80 | 0.00 | Y | Arm J1:3 Ahead | Inf | 100.0 \% | 2095 | 2095 |
| J2:5/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| J2:6/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| J2:7/1 (Mannor Drive Lane 1) | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |

Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 5: '2030 Base + Development: AM Peak' (FG5: '2030 Base + Development: AM Peak', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')
Turning Counts Diagram
J2: Otley Road/Pannal Ash Road

Lane Saturation Flows

| Junction: J1: Otley Road/Cold Bath Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Allowed Turns | Turning Radius (m) | Turning Prop. | Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) | Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { J1:1/1 } \\ \text { (Otley Road (E) Lane 1) } \\ \text { J1:1/2 } \\ \text { (Otley Road (E) Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow <br> This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1704 \\ & 1546 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1704 \\ & 1546 \end{aligned}$ |
| J1:2/1 (Arthurs Avenue Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1665 | 1665 |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{J1}: 3 / 1 \\ \text { (Otley Road (W) Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1806 | 1806 |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{J} 1: 3 / 2 \\ \text { (Otley Road (W) Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1598 | 1598 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { J1:4/1 } \\ \text { (Cold Bath Road Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1574 | 1574 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { J1:4/2 } \\ \text { (Cold Bath Road Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1501 | 1501 |
| J1:5/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| J1:6/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| J1:7/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| J1:8/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |

Full Input Data And Results

| Junction: J2: Otley Road/Pannal Ash Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Allowed Turns | Turning Radius (m) | Turning Prop. | Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) | Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { J2:1/1 } \\ \text { (Otley Road (E) Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1554 | 1554 |
| J2:1/2 (Otley Road (E) Lane 2) J2:2/1 (Pannal Ash Road Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation FlowThis lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1736 \\ & 1803 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1736 \\ & 1803 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { J2:2/2 } \\ \text { (Pannal Ash Road Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1563 | 1563 |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{J} 2: 3 / 1 \\ \text { (Otley Road (W) Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1727 | 1727 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { J2:3/2 } \\ \text { (Otley Road (W) Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1645 | 1645 |
| J2:4/1 | 4.80 | 0.00 | Y | Arm J1:3 Ahead | Inf | 100.0 \% | 2095 | 2095 |
| J2:5/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| J2:6/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| J2:7/1 (Mannor Drive Lane 1) | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |

Scenario 6: '2030 Base + Development: PM Peak' (FG6: '2030 Base + Development: PM Peak', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')
Turning Counts Diagram


Full Input Data And Results

## Lane Saturation Flows

| Junction: J1: Otley Road/Cold Bath Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Allowed Turns | Turning Radius (m) | Turning Prop. | Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) | Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { J1:1/1 } \\ \text { (Otley Road (E) Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1704 | 1704 |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{J1:1/2} \\ \text { (Otley Road (E) Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1546 | 1546 |
| J1:2/1 (Arthurs Avenue Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1665 | 1665 |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{J1}: 3 / 1 \\ \text { (Otley Road (W) Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1806 | 1806 |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{J1}: 3 / 2 \\ \text { (Otley Road (W) Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1598 | 1598 |
| J1:4/1 (Cold Bath Road Lane 1) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1574 | 1574 |
| J1:4/2 (Cold Bath Road Lane 2) | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1501 | 1501 |
| J1:5/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| J1:6/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| J1:7/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| J1:8/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |

Junction: J2: Otley Road/Pannal Ash Road

| Lane | Lane Width (m) | Gradient | Nearside Lane | Allowed Turns | Turning Radius (m) | Turning Prop. | Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) | Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { J2:1/1 } \\ \text { (Otley Road (E) Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1554 | 1554 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { J2:1/2 } \\ \text { (Otley Road (E) Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1736 | 1736 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { J2:2/1 } \\ \text { (Pannal Ash Road Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1803 | 1803 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { J2:2/2 } \\ \text { (Pannal Ash Road Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1563 | 1563 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { J2:3/1 } \\ \text { (Otley Road (W) Lane 1) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1727 | 1727 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { J2:3/2 } \\ \text { (Otley Road (W) Lane 2) } \end{gathered}$ | This lane uses a directly entered Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | 1645 | 1645 |
| J2:4/1 | 4.80 | 0.00 | Y | Arm J1:3 Ahead | Inf | 100.0 \% | 2095 | 2095 |
| J2:5/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| J2:6/1 | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |
| J2:7/1 (Mannor Drive Lane 1) | Infinite Saturation Flow |  |  |  |  |  | Inf | Inf |

Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 1: '2020 AM Peak' (FG1: '2020 AM Peak', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')
C1
Stage Sequence Diagram


## Stage Timings

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 42 | 5 | 30 |
| Change Point | 0 | 47 | 61 |

Signal Timings Diagram


C2
Stage Sequence Diagram


Full Input Data And Results

## Stage Timings

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 51 | 5 | 22 |
| Change Point | 92 | 53 | 65 |

## Signal Timings Diagram




## Full Input Data And Results

## Network Results

| Item | Lane Description | Lane Type | Controller Stream | Position In Filtered Route | Full Phase | Arrow Phase | Num Greens | Total Green <br> (s) | Arrow Green (s) | Demand Flow (pcu) | Sat Flow (pcu/Hr) | Capacity (pcu) | Deg Sat <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 76.5\% |
| J1: Otley Road/Cold Bath Road | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 76.5\% |
| 1/1+1/2 | Otley Road (E) Ahead Right Left | U+O | N/A | N/A | C1:A |  | 1 | 42 | - | 597 | 1704:1546 | 713+67 | $\begin{aligned} & 76.5: \\ & 76.5 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 2/1 | Arthurs Avenue Right Left Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | C1:C |  | 1 | 30 | - | 247 | 1665 | 418 | 59.1\% |
| 3/2+3/1 | Otley Road (W) Ahead Left Right | O+U | N/A | N/A | C1:B |  | 1 | 45 | - | 629 | 1598:1806 | $61+854$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68.7: \\ & 68.7 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 4/2+4/1 | Cold Bath Road Left Right Ahead | $\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{U}$ | N/A | N/A | C1:D | C1:E | 1 | 30 | 0:0 | 517 | 1501:1574 | 387+313 | $\begin{gathered} 73.9: \\ 73.9 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| 5/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 784 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 754 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 7/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 182 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 8/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 270 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| J2: Otley Road/Pannal Ash Road | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 67.6\% |
| 1/2+1/1 | Otley Road (E) Ahead Left Right | O+U | N/A | N/A | C2:A C2:B |  | 1 | 51:79 | - | 754 | 1736:1554 | 576+546 | $\begin{gathered} 67.2: \\ 67.2 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| 2/2+2/1 | Pannal Ash Road Right Left Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | C2:C |  | 1 | 22 | - | 332 | 1563:1803 | 310+180 | $\begin{gathered} 67.6: \\ 67.6 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| 3/1+3/2 | Otley Road (W) Ahead Right Left | U+O | N/A | N/A | C2:E |  | 1 | 51 | - | 499 | 1727:1645 | 833+103 | $\begin{aligned} & 53.3: \\ & 53.3 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 4/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 629 | 2095 | 2095 | 30.0\% |
| 5/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 395 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 422 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |



Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 2: '2020 PM Peak' (FG2: '2020 PM Peak', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')
C1
Stage Sequence Diagram


## Stage Timings

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 49 | 5 | 23 |
| Change Point | 0 | 54 | 68 |

Signal Timings Diagram


Time in cycle (sec)

C2
Stage Sequence Diagram


Full Input Data And Results

## Stage Timings

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 50 | 5 | 23 |
| Change Point | 4 | 60 | 72 |

Signal Timings Diagram



## Full Input Data And Results

## Network Results

| Item | Lane Description | Lane Type | Controller Stream | Position In Filtered Route | Full Phase | Arrow Phase | Num Greens | Total Green <br> (s) | Arrow Green (s) | Demand Flow (pcu) | Sat Flow (pcu/Hr) | Capacity (pcu) | Deg Sat <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 69.1\% |
| J1: Otley Road/Cold Bath Road | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 69.1\% |
| 1/1+1/2 | Otley Road (E) Ahead Right Left | U+O | N/A | N/A | C1:A |  | 1 | 49 | - | 628 | 1704:1546 | 785+124 | $\begin{aligned} & 69.1: \\ & 69.1 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 2/1 | Arthurs Avenue Right Left Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | C1:C |  | 1 | 23 | - | 117 | 1665 | 322 | 36.4\% |
| 3/2+3/1 | Otley Road (W) Ahead Left Right | O+U | N/A | N/A | C1:B |  | 1 | 52 | - | 666 | 1598:1806 | 27+988 | $\begin{aligned} & 65.6: \\ & 65.6 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 4/2+4/1 | Cold Bath Road Left Right Ahead | $\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{U}$ | N/A | N/A | C1:D | C1:E | 1 | 23 | 0:0 | 425 | 1501:1574 | 349+274 | $\begin{aligned} & 68.2 \text { : } \\ & 68.2 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 5/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 734 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 745 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 7/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 251 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 8/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 106 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| J2: Otley Road/Pannal Ash Road | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 67.2\% |
| 1/2+1/1 | Otley Road (E) Ahead Left Right | O+U | N/A | N/A | C2:A C2:B |  | 1 | 50:79 | - | 745 | 1736:1554 | 564+545 | $\begin{gathered} 67.2: \\ 67.2 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| 2/2+2/1 | Pannal Ash Road Right Left Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | C2:C |  | 1 | 23 | - | 357 | 1563:1803 | 309+224 | $\begin{gathered} 67.0: \\ 67.0 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| 3/1+3/2 | Otley Road (W) Ahead Right Left | U+O | N/A | N/A | C2:E |  | 1 | 50 | - | 529 | 1727:1645 | 860+59 | $\begin{aligned} & 57.6: \\ & 57.6 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 4/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 666 | 2095 | 2095 | 31.8\% |
| 5/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 387 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 400 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |



Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 3: '2030 Base: AM Peak' (FG3: '2030 Base: AM Peak', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')
C1
Stage Sequence Diagram


## Stage Timings

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 42 | 5 | 30 |
| Change Point | 63 | 14 | 28 |

Signal Timings Diagram


Time in cycle (sec)

C2
Stage Sequence Diagram


Full Input Data And Results

## Stage Timings

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 51 | 5 | 22 |
| Change Point | 56 | 17 | 29 |

## Signal Timings Diagram




## Full Input Data And Results

## Network Results

| Item | Lane Description | Lane Type | Controller Stream | Position In Filtered Route | Full Phase | Arrow Phase | Num Greens | Total Green <br> (s) | Arrow Green (s) | Demand Flow (pcu) | Sat Flow (pcu/Hr) | Capacity (pcu) | Deg Sat <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 79.0\% |
| J1: Otley Road/Cold Bath Road | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 79.0\% |
| 1/1+1/2 | Otley Road (E) Ahead Right Left | U+O | N/A | N/A | C1:A |  | 1 | 42 | - | 610 | 1704:1546 | 713+66 | $\begin{gathered} 78.2 \text { : } \\ 78.2 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| 2/1 | Arthurs Avenue Right Left Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | C1:C |  | 1 | 30 | - | 248 | 1665 | 418 | 59.3\% |
| 3/2+3/1 | Otley Road (W) Ahead Left Right | O+U | N/A | N/A | C1:B |  | 1 | 45 | - | 720 | 1598:1806 | 57+855 | $\begin{aligned} & 79.0: \\ & 79.0 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 4/2+4/1 | Cold Bath Road Left Right Ahead | $\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{U}$ | N/A | N/A | C1:D | C1:E | 1 | 30 | 0:0 | 533 | 1501:1574 | 386+316 | $\begin{aligned} & 75.9: \\ & 75.9 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 5/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 849 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 776 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 7/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 208 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 8/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 278 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| J2: Otley Road/Pannal Ash Road | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 72.7\% |
| 1/2+1/1 | Otley Road (E) Ahead Left Right | O+U | N/A | N/A | C2:A C2:B |  | 1 | 51:79 | - | 776 | 1736:1554 | 579+541 | $\begin{aligned} & 69.3: \\ & 69.3 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 2/2+2/1 | Pannal Ash Road Right Left Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | C2:C |  | 1 | 22 | - | 352 | 1563:1803 | $314+171$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72.7: \\ & 72.7 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 3/1+3/2 | Otley Road (W) Ahead Right Left | U+O | N/A | N/A | C2:E |  | 1 | 51 | - | 576 | 1727:1645 | 844+93 | $\begin{aligned} & 61.5: \\ & 61.5 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 4/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 720 | 2095 | 2095 | 34.4\% |
| 5/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 410 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 432 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |



Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 4: '2030 Base: PM Peak' (FG4: '2030 Base: PM Peak', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')
C1
Stage Sequence Diagram


## Stage Timings

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 49 | 5 | 23 |
| Change Point | 63 | 21 | 35 |

Signal Timings Diagram


Time in cycle (sec)

C2
Stage Sequence Diagram


Full Input Data And Results

## Stage Timings

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 50 | 5 | 23 |
| Change Point | 55 | 15 | 27 |

Signal Timings Diagram



## Full Input Data And Results

## Network Results

| Item | Lane Description | Lane Type | Controller Stream | Position In Filtered Route | Full Phase | Arrow Phase | Num Greens | Total Green <br> (s) | Arrow Green (s) | Demand Flow (pcu) | Sat Flow (pcu/Hr) | Capacity (pcu) | Deg Sat <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 72.9\% |
| J1: Otley Road/Cold Bath Road | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 72.9\% |
| 1/1+1/2 | Otley Road (E) Ahead Right Left | U+O | N/A | N/A | C1:A |  | 1 | 49 | - | 662 | 1704:1546 | 790+118 | $\begin{aligned} & 72.9: \\ & 72.9 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 2/1 | Arthurs Avenue Right Left Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | C1:C |  | 1 | 23 | - | 120 | 1665 | 323 | 37.1\% |
| 3/2+3/1 | Otley Road (W) Ahead Left Right | O+U | N/A | N/A | C1:B |  | 1 | 52 | - | 722 | 1598:1806 | 27+988 | $\begin{gathered} 71.1: \\ 71.1 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| 4/2+4/1 | Cold Bath Road Left Right Ahead | $\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{U}$ | N/A | N/A | C1:D | C1:E | 1 | 23 | 0:0 | 440 | 1501:1574 | 349+261 | $\begin{aligned} & 72.2: \\ & 72.2 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 5/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 780 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 795 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 7/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 262 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 8/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 107 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| J2: Otley Road/Pannal Ash Road | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 71.5\% |
| 1/2+1/1 | Otley Road (E) Ahead Left Right | O+U | N/A | N/A | C2:A C2:B |  | 1 | 50:79 | - | 795 | 1736:1554 | 562+550 | $\begin{aligned} & 71.5: \\ & 71.5 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 2/2+2/1 | Pannal Ash Road Right Left Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | C2:C |  | 1 | 23 | - | 374 | 1563:1803 | $312+214$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 71.1: } \\ & 71.1 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 3/1+3/2 | Otley Road (W) Ahead Right Left | U+O | N/A | N/A | C2:E |  | 1 | 50 | - | 570 | 1727:1645 | 864+55 | $\begin{gathered} 62.0 \text { : } \\ 62.0 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| 4/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 722 | 2095 | 2095 | 34.5\% |
| 5/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 410 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 427 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |


| Full Input Data And Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7/1 | Mannor Drive | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 180 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| Item | Arriving (pcu) | Leaving (pcu) | Turners In Gaps (pcu) | Turners When Unopposed (pcu) | Turners In Intergreen (pcu) | Uniform Delay (pcuHr) | Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr) | Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr) | Total Delay (pcuHr) | Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu) | Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu) | Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu) | Mean <br> Max Queue (pcu) |
| Network | - | - | 488 | 5 | 0 | 16.1 | 7.7 | 0.5 | 24.3 | - | - | - | - |
| J1: Otley Road/Cold Bath Road | - | - | 420 | 5 | 0 | 9.7 | 4.1 | 0.4 | 14.2 | - | - | - | - |
| 1/1+1/2 | 662 | 662 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 24.2 | 12.6 | 1.3 | 14.0 |
| 2/1 | 120 | 120 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 42.4 | 2.6 | 0.3 | 2.9 |
| $3 / 2+3 / 1$ | 722 | 722 | 14 | 5 | 0 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 14.6 | 7.9 | 1.2 | 9.1 |
| 4/2+4/1 | 440 | 440 | 252 | 0 | 0 | 3.9 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 5.4 | 43.9 | 6.1 | 1.3 | 7.4 |
| 5/1 | 780 | 780 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 6/1 | 795 | 795 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 7/1 | 262 | 262 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 8/1 | 107 | 107 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| J2: Otley Road/Pannal Ash Road | - | - | 68 | 0 | 0 | 6.5 | 3.5 | 0.1 | 10.1 | - | - | - | - |
| 1/2+1/1 | 795 | 795 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 2.1 | 9.5 | 3.1 | 1.2 | 4.4 |
| 2/2+2/1 | 374 | 374 | - | - | - | 3.2 | 1.2 | - | 4.4 | 42.5 | 5.8 | 1.2 | 7.0 |
| $3 / 1+3 / 2$ | 570 | 570 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 2.5 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 3.3 | 21.1 | 10.4 | 0.8 | 11.2 |
| 4/1 | 722 | 722 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.3 | - | 0.3 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 5/1 | 410 | 410 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 6/1 | 427 | 427 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 7/1 | 180 | 180 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C1 } \\ & \text { C2 } \end{aligned}$ | PRC for Signalled Lanes (\%): PRC for Signalled Lanes (\%): PRC Over All Lanes (\%): |  | $\begin{aligned} & 23.5 \\ & 25.8 \\ & 23.5 \end{aligned}$ | Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): 14.16 <br> Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): 9.86 <br> Total Delay Over All Lanes(pcuHr): 24.29 |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Cycle Time (s): } & 96 \\ \text { Cycle Time (s): } & 96 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 96 \\ & 96 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 5: '2030 Base + Development: AM Peak' (FG5: '2030 Base + Development: AM Peak', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')
C1
Stage Sequence Diagram


## Stage Timings

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 43 | 5 | 29 |
| Change Point | 51 | 3 | 17 |

## Signal Timings Diagram



Time in cycle (sec)

C2
Stage Sequence Diagram


Full Input Data And Results

## Stage Timings

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 50 | 5 | 23 |
| Change Point | 45 | 5 | 17 |

## Signal Timings Diagram




## Full Input Data And Results

## Network Results

| Item | Lane Description | Lane Type | Controller Stream | Position In Filtered Route | Full Phase | Arrow Phase | Num Greens | Total Green <br> (s) | Arrow Green (s) | Demand Flow (pcu) | Sat Flow (pcu/Hr) | Capacity (pcu) | Deg Sat <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 81.9\% |
| J1: Otley Road/Cold Bath Road | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 81.9\% |
| 1/1+1/2 | Otley Road (E) Ahead Right Left | U+O | N/A | N/A | C1:A |  | 1 | 43 | - | 616 | 1704:1546 | 730+67 | $\begin{aligned} & 77.3: \\ & 77.3 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 2/1 | Arthurs Avenue Right Left Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | C1:C |  | 1 | 29 | - | 247 | 1665 | 384 | 64.3\% |
| 3/2+3/1 | Otley Road (W) Ahead Left Right | O+U | N/A | N/A | C1:B |  | 1 | 46 | - | 739 | 1598:1806 | 23+879 | $\begin{aligned} & 81.9: \\ & 81.9 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 4/2+4/1 | Cold Bath Road Left Right Ahead | $\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{U}$ | N/A | N/A | C1:D | C1:E | 1 | 29 | 0:0 | 533 | 1501:1574 | $371+301$ | $\begin{aligned} & 79.3: \\ & 79.3 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 5/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 824 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 784 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 7/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 277 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 8/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 250 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| J2: Otley Road/Pannal Ash Road | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 75.6\% |
| 1/2+1/1 | Otley Road (E) Ahead Left Right | O+U | N/A | N/A | C2:A C2:B |  | 1 | 50:79 | - | 784 | 1736:1554 | 566+541 | $\begin{aligned} & 70.8: \\ & 70.8 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 2/2+2/1 | Pannal Ash Road Right Left Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | C2:C |  | 1 | 23 | - | 373 | 1563:1803 | 329+164 | $\begin{aligned} & 75.6: \\ & 75.6 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 3/1+3/2 | Otley Road (W) Ahead Right Left | U+O | N/A | N/A | C2:E |  | 1 | 50 | - | 574 | 1727:1645 | 828+91 | $\begin{gathered} 62.5: \\ 62.5 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| 4/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 739 | 2095 | 2095 | 35.3\% |
| 5/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 410 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 440 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |


| Full Input Data And Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7/1 | Mannor Drive | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 142 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| Item | Arriving (pcu) | Leaving (pcu) | Turners In Gaps (pcu) | Turners When Unopposed (pcu) | Turners In Intergreen (pcu) | Uniform Delay (pcuHr) | Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr) | Storage Area <br> Uniform Delay (pcuHr) | Total Delay (pcuHr) | Av. Delay <br> Per PCU <br> (s/pcu) | Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu) | Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu) | Mean <br> Max <br> Queue (pcu) |
| Network | - | - | 561 | 6 | 0 | 18.5 | 10.4 | 0.7 | 29.7 | - | - | - | - |
| J1: Otley Road/Cold Bath Road | - | - | 490 | 6 | 0 | 12.1 | 6.6 | 0.6 | 19.3 | - | - | - | - |
| 1/1+1/2 | 616 | 616 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 3.6 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 31.0 | 13.1 | 1.7 | 14.8 |
| 2/1 | 247 | 247 | 131 | 0 | 0 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 3.1 | 45.4 | 5.9 | 0.9 | 6.8 |
| 3/2+3/1 | 739 | 739 | 13 | 6 | 0 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 22.1 | 9.6 | 2.2 | 11.8 |
| 4/2+4/1 | 533 | 533 | 294 | 0 | 0 | 4.2 | 1.9 | 0.3 | 6.4 | 43.0 | 7.2 | 1.9 | 9.0 |
| $5 / 1$ | 824 | 824 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 6/1 | 784 | 784 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 7/1 | 277 | 277 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 8/1 | 250 | 250 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| J2: Otley Road/Pannal Ash Road | - | - | 71 | 0 | 0 | 6.4 | 3.8 | 0.1 | 10.3 | - | - | - | - |
| 1/2+1/1 | 784 | 784 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 8.7 | 3.9 | 1.2 | 5.1 |
| 2/2+2/1 | 373 | 373 | - | - | - | 3.3 | 1.5 | - | 4.8 | 46.1 | 6.8 | 1.5 | 8.3 |
| $3 / 1+3 / 2$ | 574 | 574 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 2.5 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 3.4 | 21.3 | 10.6 | 0.8 | 11.4 |
| 4/1 | 739 | 739 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.3 | - | 0.3 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 5/1 | 410 | 410 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 6/1 | 440 | 440 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 7/1 | 142 | 142 | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $\square$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} 1 \\ & \mathrm{C} 2 \end{aligned}$ | PRC for Signalled Lanes (\%): PRC for Signalled Lanes (\%): PRC Over All Lanes (\%): |  | $\begin{array}{r} 9.9 \\ 19.0 \\ 9.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rll}\text { Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): } & 19.32 \\ \text { Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): } & 10.06 \\ \text { Total Delay Over All Lanes(pcuHr): } & 29.65\end{array}$ |  |  | Cycle Time (s): $\quad 96$ <br> Cycle Time (s): 96 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 96 \\ & 96 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

Full Input Data And Results
Scenario 6: '2030 Base + Development: PM Peak' (FG6: '2030 Base + Development: PM Peak', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')
C1
Stage Sequence Diagram


## Stage Timings

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 49 | 5 | 23 |
| Change Point | 47 | 5 | 19 |

## Signal Timings Diagram



Time in cycle (sec)

C2


Full Input Data And Results

## Stage Timings

| Stage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | 50 | 5 | 23 |
| Change Point | 43 | 3 | 15 |

Signal Timings Diagram



## Full Input Data And Results

## Network Results

| Item | Lane Description | Lane Type | Controller Stream | Position In Filtered Route | Full Phase | Arrow Phase | Num Greens | Total Green <br> (s) | Arrow Green (s) | Demand Flow (pcu) | Sat Flow (pcu/Hr) | Capacity (pcu) | Deg Sat <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 74.7\% |
| J1: Otley Road/Cold Bath Road | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 74.7\% |
| 1/1+1/2 | Otley Road (E) Ahead Right Left | U+O | N/A | N/A | C1:A |  | 1 | 49 | - | 678 | 1704:1546 | 797+110 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 74.7: } \\ & 74.7 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 2/1 | Arthurs Avenue Right Left Ahead | 0 | N/A | N/A | C1:C |  | 1 | 23 | - | 118 | 1665 | 325 | 36.3\% |
| 3/2+3/1 | Otley Road (W) Ahead Left Right | O+U | N/A | N/A | C1:B |  | 1 | 52 | - | 721 | 1598:1806 | 30+987 | $\begin{aligned} & 70.9: \\ & 70.9 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 4/2+4/1 | Cold Bath Road Left Right Ahead | $\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{U}$ | N/A | N/A | C1:D | C1:E | 1 | 23 | 0:0 | 438 | 1501:1574 | 349+246 | $\begin{aligned} & 73.6: \\ & 73.6 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 5/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 830 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 822 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 7/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 195 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 8/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 108 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| J2: Otley Road/Pannal Ash Road | - | - | N/A | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 73.5\% |
| 1/2+1/1 | Otley Road (E) Ahead Left Right | O+U | N/A | N/A | C2:A C2:B |  | 1 | 50:79 | - | 822 | 1736:1554 | 548+581 | $\begin{aligned} & 72.8: \\ & 72.8 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 2/2+2/1 | Pannal Ash Road Right Left Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | C2:C |  | 1 | 23 | - | 384 | 1563:1803 | $314+208$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73.5: \\ & 73.5 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 3/1+3/2 | Otley Road (W) Ahead Right Left | U+O | N/A | N/A | C2:E |  | 1 | 50 | - | 562 | 1727:1645 | 862+57 | $\begin{gathered} 61.2: \\ 61.2 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| 4/1 | Ahead | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 721 | 2095 | 2095 | 34.4\% |
| 5/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 410 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |
| 6/1 |  | U | N/A | N/A | - |  | - | - | - | 458 | Inf | Inf | 0.0\% |



| Junctions 9 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| ARCADY 9 - Roundabout Module |  |
| Version: 9.5.1.7462(c) Copyright TRL Limited, 2019 |  |
| For sales and distitibuion information, program advice and manitenance, contact TRL: |  |
| The users of this computer program for the solution of | ion of an engineering problem are in no way relieved of their responsibility for the correctness of the solution |

Filename: PoW Rnd.j9
Path: \Inewcastle13\data3\Projects\A081501-A082000\A081951-3 Castle Hill Farm, Whinney Lane, Harrogate\Analysis\Traffic Models\Prince of Wales Rbtl2022 Assessment
Report generation date: 06/12/2022 15:27:24
»Existing Layout - 2020, AM
»Existing Layout - 2020, PM
"Existing Layout - 2030 No Dev, AM
„Existing Layout - 2030 No Dev, PM
„Existing Layout - 2030 With Dev, AM
„Existing Layout - 2030 With Dev, PM

## Summary of junction performance

|  | AM |  |  |  | PM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Set ID | Queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | RFC | Set ID | Queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | RFC |
|  | Existing Layout - 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 - A61 York Place | D3 | 3.4 | 7.82 | 0.77 | D4 | 3.0 | 6.73 | 0.75 |
| 2 - A61 Leeds Rd |  | 1.7 | 6.95 | 0.62 |  | 3.1 | 10.82 | 0.76 |
| 3 - B6162 Otley Road |  | 5.3 | 20.96 | 0.85 |  | 5.2 | 24.66 | 0.85 |
|  | Existing Layout - 2030 No Dev |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 - A61 York Place | D5 | 4.1 | 9.12 | 0.80 | D6 | 3.5 | 7.64 | 0.78 |
| 2 - A61 Leeds Rd |  | 2.1 | 8.26 | 0.67 |  | 3.7 | 12.79 | 0.79 |
| 3 - B6162 Otley Road |  | 14.4 | 52.26 | 0.96 |  | 12.2 | 53.66 | 0.95 |
|  | Existing Layout - 2030 With Dev |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 - A61 York Place | D7 | 4.2 | 9.26 | 0.80 | D8 | 3.8 | 8.11 | 0.79 |
| 2 - A61 Leeds Rd |  | 2.1 | 8.35 | 0.67 |  | 4.0 | 13.68 | 0.80 |
| 3-B6162 Otley Road |  | 17.7 | 62.07 | 0.98 |  | 14.0 | 59.72 | 0.97 |

Values shown are the highest values encountered over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle.

## File summary

File Description

| Title | Castle Hill Farm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Location | Whinney Lane |
| Site number | 784-A081951-3 |
| Date | $06 / 12 / 22$ |
| Version |  |


| Status | (new file) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Identifier | Angus Atkin |
| Client |  |
| Jobnumber |  |
| Enumerator | TT/ANGUS.ATKIN |
| Description |  |

Units

| Distance <br> units | Speed <br> units | Traffic units <br> input | Traffic units <br> results | Flow <br> units | Average delay <br> units | Total delay <br> units | Rate of delay <br> units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| m | kph | PCU | PCU | perHour | s | -Min | perMin |

## Analysis Options

| Vehicle <br> length $(\mathbf{m})$ | Calculate Queue <br> Percentiles | Calculate detailed <br> queueing delay | Calculate residual <br> capacity | RFC <br> Threshold | Average Delay <br> threshold (s) | Queue <br> threshold (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.75 |  |  |  | 0.85 | 36.00 | 20.00 |

## Demand Set Summary

| ID | Scenario <br> name | Time Period <br> name | Traffic profile <br> type | Start time <br> (HH:mm) | Finish time <br> (HH:mm) | Time segment length <br> (min) | Run <br> automatically |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D3 | 2020 | AM | ONE HOUR | $08: 00$ | $09: 30$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |
| D4 | 2020 | PM | ONE HOUR | $17: 00$ | $18: 30$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |
| D5 | 2030 No Dev | AM | ONE HOUR | $08: 00$ | $09: 30$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |
| D6 | 2030 No Dev | PM | ONE HOUR | $17: 00$ | $18: 30$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |
| D7 | 2030 With Dev | AM | ONE HOUR | $08: 00$ | $09: 30$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |
| D8 | 2030 With Dev | PM | ONE HOUR | $17: 00$ | $18: 30$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |

## Analysis Set Details

| ID | Name | Include in report | Network flow scaling factor (\%) | Network capacity scaling factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A1 | Existing Layout | $\checkmark$ | 100.000 | 100.000 |

## Existing Layout - 2020, AM

## Junction Network

Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction type | Use circulating lanes | Arm order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Prince of Wales Rbt | Standard Roundabout |  | $1,2,3,4$ | 11.27 | B |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

## Arms

| Arm | Name | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |


| $\mathbf{1}$ | A61 York Place |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | A61 Leeds Rd |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | B6162 Otley Road |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | A 61 W Park |  |

Roundabout Geometry

| Arm | V-Approach road <br> half-width $(\mathbf{m})$ | E-Entry <br> width $(\mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{I}$ - Effective <br> flare length $(\mathbf{m})$ | R-Entry <br> radius $(\mathbf{m})$ | D - Inscribed <br> circle diameter <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | PHI - Conflict <br> (entry) angle <br> $($ deg) | Exit <br> only |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-A61 York Place | 6.30 | 7.30 | 10.0 | 40.0 | 53.0 | 10.0 |  |
| 2-A61 Leeds Rd | 6.00 | 6.80 | 7.0 | 25.0 | 53.0 | 23.0 |  |
| 3-B6162 Otley Road | 4.80 | 7.10 | 7.0 | 40.0 | 53.0 | 17.0 |  |
| 4-A 61 W Park |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

| Arm | Final slope | Final intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - A61 York Place | 0.739 | 2339 |
| 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 0.671 | 2063 |
| 3 - B6162 Otley Road | 0.655 | 1919 |
| 4 - A 61 W Park |  |  |

The slope and intercept shown above include any corrections and adjustments

## Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario <br> name | Time Period <br> name | Traffic profile <br> type | Start time <br> (HH:mm) | Finish time <br> (HH:mm) | Time segment length <br> (min) | Run <br> automatically |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D3 | 2020 | AM | ONE HOUR | $08: 00$ | $09: 30$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |


| Vehicle mix varies over turn | Vehicle mix varies over entry | Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Profile type | Use O-D data | Average Demand (PCU/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-A61 York Place |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1439 | 100.000 |
| 2-A61 Leeds Rd |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 800 | 100.000 |
| 3-B6162 Otley Road |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 869 | 100.000 |
| 4 - A 61 W Park |  |  |  |  |  |

## Origin-Destination Data

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Fro } \\ \text { m } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1 - <br> A61 <br> York <br> Place | $\begin{gathered} 2- \\ \text { A61 } \\ \text { Leed } \\ \mathbf{s} \\ \text { Rd } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3- \\ \text { B616 } \\ 2 \\ \text { Otley } \\ \text { Road } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4- \\ \text { A } 61 \\ \text { W } \\ \text { Park } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 1 - A61 York Place | 0 | 571 | 544 | 324 |
|  | 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 153 | 0 | 30 | 617 |
|  | 3-B6162 Otley Road | 349 | 342 | 0 | 178 |
|  | 4-A 61 W Park | Exitonly | Exitonly | Exitonly | Exitonly |

Proportions

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fro m |  | 1- <br> A61 <br> York <br> Place | $\begin{gathered} 2- \\ \text { A61 } \\ \text { Leed } \\ \text { s } \\ \text { Rd } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3- \\ \text { B616 } \\ 2 \\ \text { Otley } \\ \text { Road } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4- \\ \text { A } 61 \\ \text { W } \\ \text { Park } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 1-A61 York Place | 0.00 | 0.40 | 0.38 | 0.23 |
|  | 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.77 |
|  | 3 - B6162 Otley <br> Road | 0.40 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 0.20 |
|  | 4-A 61 W Park | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Fro } \\ \text { m } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1 - <br> A61 <br> York <br> Place | $\begin{gathered} 2- \\ \text { A61 } \\ \text { Leed } \\ \mathbf{s} \\ \text { Rd } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3- \\ \text { B616 } \\ 2 \\ \text { Otley } \\ \text { Road } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4- \\ \text { A } 61 \\ \text { W } \\ \text { Park } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 1 - A61 York Place | 0 | 5 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 2-A61 Leeds Rd | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
|  | 3-B6162 Otley Road | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4-A 61 W Park | Exitonly | Exitonly | Exitonly | Exitonly |

Average PCU Per Veh

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fro m |  | 1 - <br> A61 <br> York <br> Place | $\begin{gathered} 2- \\ \text { A61 } \\ \text { Leed } \\ \mathrm{s} \\ \text { Rd } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3- \\ \text { B616 } \\ 2 \\ \text { Otley } \\ \text { Road } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4- \\ \text { A } 61 \\ \text { W } \\ \text { Park } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 1 - A61 York Place | 1.000 | 1.050 | 1.030 | $\begin{gathered} 1.03 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 2-A61 Leeds Rd | 1.040 | 1.000 | 1.000 | $\begin{gathered} 1.05 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 3-B6162 Otley <br> Road | 1.070 | 1.030 | 1.000 | $\begin{gathered} 1.00 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 4-A 61 W Park | Exitonly | Exitonly | Exitonly | Exitonly |

## Detailed Demand Data

Demand for each time segment

| Time Segment | Arm | Demand (PCU/hr) | Demand in PCU (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08:00-08:15 | 1 - A61 York Place | 1083 | 1083 |
|  | 2-A61 Leeds Rd | 602 | 602 |
|  | 3-B6162 Otley Road | 654 | 654 |
|  | 4 - A 61 W Park | 0 | 0 |
| 08:15-08:30 | 1 - A61 York Place | 1294 | 1294 |
|  | 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 719 | 719 |
|  | 3-B6162 Otley Road | 781 | 781 |
|  | 4 - A 61 W Park | 0 | 0 |
| 08:30-08:45 | 1 - A61 York Place | 1584 | 1584 |
|  | 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 881 | 881 |
|  | 3-B6162 Otley Road | 957 | 957 |
|  | 4 - A 61 W Park | 0 | 0 |
| 08:45-09:00 | 1 - A61 York Place | 1584 | 1584 |
|  | 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 881 | 881 |
|  | 3 - B6162 Otley Road | 957 | 957 |
|  | 4 - A 61 W Park | 0 | 0 |
| 09:00-09:15 | 1 - A61 York Place | 1294 | 1294 |


|  | 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 719 | 719 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3- B6162 Otley Road | 781 | 781 |
|  | 4-A 61 W Park | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1-A61 York Place | 1083 | 1083 |
|  | 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 602 | 602 |
|  | 3- B6162 Otley Road | 654 | 654 |
|  | 4-A 61 W Park | 0 | 0 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue <br> (PCU) | Max LOS | Average <br> Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Total Junction <br> Arrivals (PCU) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-A61 York Place | 0.77 | 7.82 | 3.4 | A | 1320 | 1981 |
| 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 0.62 | 6.95 | 1.7 | A | 734 | 1101 |
| 3-B6162 Otley Road | 0.85 | 20.96 | 5.3 | C | 797 | 1196 |
| 4-A 61 W Park |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Main Results for each time segment

| Arm | Total Deman d (PCU/hr ) | Junctio <br> n <br> Arrivals (PCU) | Circulatin g flow (PCU/hr) | Capacit y (PCU/hr ) | RFC | Throughp ut (PCU/hr) | Throughp ut (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queu e (PCU ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { End } \\ \text { queu } \\ \text { e } \\ \text { (PCU } \\ \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dela } \\ & \text { y (s) } \end{aligned}$ | Unsignalise d level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - A61 York Place | 1083 | 271 | 256 | 2150 | $\begin{gathered} 0.50 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 1079 | 376 | 0.0 | 1.0 | $\begin{gathered} 3.47 \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | A |
| 2-A61 Leeds Rd | 602 | 151 | 651 | 1626 | $\begin{gathered} 0.37 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 600 | 684 | 0.0 | 0.6 | $\begin{gathered} 3.65 \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | A |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3-B6162 Otley } \\ & \text { Road } \end{aligned}$ | 654 | 164 | 820 | 1382 | $\begin{gathered} 0.47 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 651 | 430 | 0.0 | 0.9 | $\begin{gathered} 5.08 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | A |
| 4-A 61 W Park |  |  | 632 |  |  |  | 839 |  |  |  |  |

08:15-08:30

| Arm | Total Deman d (PCU/hr ) | Junctio <br> n <br> Arrivals <br> (PCU) | Circulatin g flow (PCU/hr) | Capacit y (PCU/hr ) | RFC | Throughp ut (PCU/hr) | Throughp ut (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queu e (PCU ) | End queu e (PCU ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dela } \\ & y(s) \end{aligned}$ | Unsignalise d level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - A61 York Place | 1294 | 323 | 306 | 2113 | $\begin{gathered} 0.61 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 1291 | 450 | 1.0 | 1.6 | $\begin{gathered} 4.53 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | A |
| 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 719 | 180 | 779 | 1541 | $\begin{gathered} 0.46 \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | 718 | 819 | 0.6 | 0.9 | $\begin{gathered} 4.57 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | A |
| 3-B6162 Otley Road | 781 | 195 | 982 | 1276 | $\begin{gathered} 0.61 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 778 | 515 | 0.9 | 1.6 | $\begin{gathered} 7.47 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | A |
| 4-A 61 W Park |  |  | 756 |  |  |  | 1004 |  |  |  |  |

08:30-08:45

| Arm | Total Deman d (PCU/hr ) | Junctio <br> n Arrivals (PCU) | Circulatin g flow (PCU/hr) | Capacit y (PCU/hr ) | RFC | Throughp ut <br> (PCU/hr) | Throughp ut (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queu e (PCU ) | End queu e (PCU ) | Delay (s) | Unsignalise d level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-A61 York Place | 1584 | 396 | 371 | 2065 | $0.76$ | 1578 | 547 | 1.6 | 3.3 | 7.565 | A |


| 2-A61 Leeds Rd | 881 | 220 | 952 | 1425 | 0.61 <br> 8 | 878 | 997 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 6.848 | A |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-B6162 Otley <br> Road | 957 | 239 | 1200 | 1133 | 0.84 <br> 4 | 943 | 629 | 1.6 | 5.0 | 18.51 <br> 0 | C |
| 4-A 61 W Park |  |  | 918 |  |  |  | 1225 |  |  |  |  |


| Arm | Total Deman d (PCU/hr ) | Junctio <br> n Arrivals (PCU) | Circulatin g flow (PCU/hr) | Capacit $y$ (PCU/hr ) | RFC | Throughp ut (PCU/hr) | Throughp ut (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queu e (PCU ) | End queu e (PCU ) | Delay (s) | Unsignalise d level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - A61 York Place | 1584 | 396 | 376 | 2061 | $\begin{gathered} 0.76 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | 1584 | 552 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 7.817 | A |
| 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 881 | 220 | 956 | 1422 | $\begin{gathered} 0.61 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | 881 | 1005 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 6.954 | A |
| $3 \text { - B6162 Otley }$ <br> Road | 957 | 239 | 1204 | 1131 | $\begin{gathered} 0.84 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | 955 | 632 | 5.0 | 5.3 | $\begin{gathered} 20.96 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | C |
| 4-A 61 W Park |  |  | 928 |  |  |  | 1232 |  |  |  |  |

09:00-09:15

| Arm | Total Deman d (PCU/hr ) | Junctio <br> n Arrivals (PCU) | Circulatin g flow (PCU/hr) | Capacit y (PCU/hr ) | RFC | Throughp ut (PCU/hr) | Throughp ut (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queu e (PCU ) | End queu e (PCU ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dela } \\ & y(s) \end{aligned}$ | Unsignalise d level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - A61 York Place | 1294 | 323 | 313 | 2108 | $\begin{gathered} 0.61 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 1300 | 458 | 3.4 | 1.7 | $\begin{gathered} 4.66 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | A |
| 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 719 | 180 | 784 | 1537 | $\begin{gathered} 0.46 \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | 722 | 829 | 1.7 | 0.9 | $\begin{gathered} 4.63 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | A |
| 3-B6162 Otley Road | 781 | 195 | 988 | 1272 | $\begin{gathered} 0.61 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 796 | 519 | 5.3 | 1.7 | $\begin{gathered} 8.07 \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | A |
| 4-A 61 W Park |  |  | 771 |  |  |  | 1013 |  |  |  |  |


| Arm | Total Deman d (PCU/hr ) | Junctio <br> n Arrivals (PCU) | Circulatin g flow (PCU/hr) | Capacit y (PCU/hr ) | RFC | Throughp ut (PCU/hr) | Throughp ut (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queu e (PCU ) | End queu e (PCU ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dela } \\ & y(s) \end{aligned}$ | Unsignalise d level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - A61 York Place | 1083 | 271 | 259 | 2148 | $\begin{gathered} 0.50 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 1086 | 379 | 1.7 | 1.1 | $\begin{gathered} 3.52 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | A |
| 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 602 | 151 | 655 | 1624 | $\begin{gathered} 0.37 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 604 | 689 | 0.9 | 0.6 | $\begin{gathered} 3.69 \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | A |
| 3-B6162 Otley Road | 654 | 164 | 825 | 1379 | $\begin{gathered} 0.47 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | 657 | 433 | 1.7 | 0.9 | $\begin{gathered} 5.20 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | A |
| 4-A 61 W Park |  |  | 638 |  |  |  | 845 |  |  |  |  |

## Existing Layout - 2020, PM

## Junction Network

Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction type | Use circulating lanes | Arm order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Prince of Wales Rbt | Standard Roundabout |  | $1,2,3,4$ | 12.08 | B |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario <br> name | Time Period <br> name | Traffic profile <br> type | Start time <br> (HH:mm) | Finish time <br> (HH:mm) | Time segment length <br> (min) | Run <br> automatically |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D4 | 2020 | PM | ONE HOUR | $17: 00$ | $18: 30$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |


| Vehicle mix varies over turn | Vehicle mix varies over entry | Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV Percentages | 2.00 |

## Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Profile type | Use O-D data | Average Demand (PCU/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-A61 York Place |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1480 | 100.000 |
| 2-A61 Leeds Rd |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 960 | 100.000 |
| 3-B6162 Otley Road |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 727 | 100.000 |
| 4-A 61 W Park |  |  |  |  |  |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fro m |  | 1 - <br> A61 <br> York <br> Place | 2 - <br> A61 <br> Leed <br> $s$ $R d$ | 3 - <br> B616 <br> 2 <br> Otley <br> Road | 4- <br> A 61 <br> W <br> Park |
|  | 1-A61 York Place | 0 | 580 | 483 | 417 |
|  | 2-A61 Leeds Rd | 190 | 0 | 21 | 749 |
|  | 3-B6162 Otley Road | 360 | 205 | 0 | 162 |
|  | 4-A 61 W Park | Exitonly | Exitonly | Exitonly | Exitonly |

Proportions

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fro <br> $\mathbf{m}$ |  | 1- <br> A61 <br> York <br> Place | 2- <br> A61 <br> Leed <br> s <br> Rd | 3- <br> B616 <br> $\mathbf{2}$ <br> Otley <br> Road | 4- <br> A 61 <br> W <br> Park |
|  | 1-A61 York Place | 0.00 | 0.39 | 0.33 | 0.28 |
|  | 2-A61 Leeds Rd | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.78 |
|  | 3- B6162 Otley <br> Road | 0.50 | 0.28 | 0.00 | 0.22 |
|  | 4-A 61 W Park | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Fro } \\ \text { m } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1 - <br> A61 <br> York <br> Place | $\begin{gathered} 2- \\ \text { A61 } \\ \text { Leed } \\ \mathrm{s} \\ \text { Rd } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3- \\ \text { B616 } \\ 2 \\ \text { Otley } \\ \text { Road } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4- \\ \text { A } 61 \\ \text { W } \\ \text { Park } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 1 - A61 York Place | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
|  | 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
|  | 3-B6162 Otley Road | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 4-A 61 W Park | Exitonly | Exitonly | Exitonly | Exitonly |

Average PCU Per Veh

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Fro } \\ \text { m } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1 - <br> A61 <br> York <br> Place | $\begin{gathered} 2- \\ \text { A61 } \\ \text { Leed } \\ \text { s } \\ \text { Rd } \end{gathered}$ | 3 - <br> B616 <br> 2 <br> Otley <br> Road | $\begin{gathered} \text { 4- } \\ \text { A } 61 \\ \text { W } \\ \text { Park } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 1 - A61 York Place | 1.000 | 1.020 | 1.010 | $\begin{gathered} 1.02 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 1.030 | 1.000 | 1.000 | $\begin{gathered} 1.03 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 3-B6162 Otley Road | 1.010 | 1.000 | 1.000 | $\begin{gathered} 1.01 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 4-A 61 W Park | Exitonly | Exitonly | Exitonly | Exitonly |

## Detailed Demand Data

Demand for each time segment

| Time Segment | Arm | Demand (PCU/hr) | Demand in PCU (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:00-17:15 | 1 - A61 York Place | 1114 | 1114 |
|  | 2-A61 Leeds Rd | 723 | 723 |
|  | 3-B6162 Otley Road | 547 | 547 |
|  | 4 - A 61 W Park | 0 | 0 |
| 17:15-17:30 | 1-A61 York Place | 1330 | 1330 |
|  | 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 863 | 863 |
|  | 3-B6162 Otley Road | 654 | 654 |
|  | 4 - A 61 W Park | 0 | 0 |
| 17:30-17:45 | 1-A61 York Place | 1630 | 1630 |
|  | 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 1057 | 1057 |
|  | 3-B6162 Otley Road | 800 | 800 |
|  | 4-A 61 W Park | 0 | 0 |
| 17:45-18:00 | 1 - A61 York Place | 1630 | 1630 |
|  | 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 1057 | 1057 |
|  | 3-B6162 Otley Road | 800 | 800 |
|  | 4 - A 61 W Park | 0 | 0 |
| 18:00-18:15 | 1 - A61 York Place | 1330 | 1330 |
|  | 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 863 | 863 |
|  | 3-B6162 Otley Road | 654 | 654 |
|  | 4 - A 61 W Park | 0 | 0 |
| 18:15-18:30 | 1-A61 York Place | 1114 | 1114 |
|  | 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 723 | 723 |
|  | 3-B6162 Otley Road | 547 | 547 |
|  | 4 - A 61 W Park | 0 | 0 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue <br> (PCU) | Max LOS | Average <br> Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Total Junction <br> Arrivals (PCU) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-A61 York Place | 0.75 | 6.73 | 3.0 | A | 1358 | 2037 |
| 2-A61 Leeds Rd | 0.76 | 10.82 | 3.1 | B | 881 | 1321 |
| 3- B6162 Otley Road | 0.85 | 24.66 | 5.2 | C | 667 | 1001 |
| 4-A 61 W Park |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Main Results for each time segment

| Arm | Total Deman d (PCU/hr ) | Junctio n Arrivals (PCU) | Circulatin g flow (PCU/hr) | Capacit y (PCU/hr ) | RFC | Throughp ut (PCU/hr) | Throughp ut (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queu e (PCU ) | End queu e (PCU ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dela } \\ & y(s) \end{aligned}$ | Unsignalise d level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - A61 York Place | 1114 | 279 | 153 | 2226 | $\begin{gathered} 0.50 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 1110 | 412 | 0.0 | 1.0 | $\begin{gathered} 3.26 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | A |
| 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 723 | 181 | 675 | 1610 | $\begin{gathered} 0.44 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | 719 | 589 | 0.0 | 0.8 | $\begin{gathered} 4.14 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | A |


| 3-B6162 Otley <br> Road | 547 | 137 | 1016 | 1254 | 0.43 <br> 7 | 544 | 378 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 5.09 <br> 1 | A |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4-A 61 W Park |  |  | 565 |  |  |  | 995 |  |  |  |  |


| Arm | Total Deman d (PCU/hr ) | Junctio <br> n <br> Arrivals (PCU) | Circulatin g flow (PCU/hr) | Capacit y (PCU/hr ) | RFC | Throughp ut (PCU/hr) | Throughp ut (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queu e (PCU ) | End queu e (PCU ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dela } \\ & \text { y (s) } \end{aligned}$ | Unsignalise d level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - A61 York Place | 1330 | 333 | 184 | 2203 | $\begin{gathered} 0.60 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 1328 | 493 | 1.0 | 1.5 | $\begin{gathered} 4.17 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | A |
| 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 863 | 216 | 808 | 1521 | $\begin{gathered} 0.56 \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | 861 | 704 | 0.8 | 1.3 | $\begin{gathered} 5.59 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | A |
| 3-B6162 Otley Road | 654 | 163 | 1216 | 1123 | $\begin{gathered} 0.58 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 651 | 452 | 0.8 | 1.4 | $\begin{gathered} 7.64 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | A |
| 4 - A 61 W Park |  |  | 676 |  |  |  | 1191 |  |  |  |  |


| Arm | Total Deman d (PCU/hr ) | Junctio <br> n Arrivals (PCU) | Circulatin g flow (PCU/hr) | Capacit y (PCU/hr ) | RFC | Throughp ut (PCU/hr) | Throughp ut (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queu e (PCU ) | End queu e (PCU ) | Delay (s) | Unsignalise d level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - A61 York Place | 1630 | 407 | 222 | 2175 | $\begin{gathered} 0.74 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | 1624 | 598 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 6.570 | A |
| 2-A61 Leeds Rd | 1057 | 264 | 987 | 1401 | $\begin{gathered} 0.75 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | 1050 | 858 | 1.3 | 3.0 | $\begin{gathered} 10.37 \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | B |
| $\begin{aligned} & 3-\text { B6162 Otley } \\ & \text { Road } \end{aligned}$ | 800 | 200 | 1485 | 947 | $\begin{gathered} 0.84 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | 787 | 553 | 1.4 | 4.8 | $\begin{gathered} 21.07 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | C |
| 4-A 61 W Park |  |  | 819 |  |  |  | 1452 |  |  |  |  |

17:45-18:00

| Arm | Total Deman d (PCU/hr ) | Junctio <br> n Arrivals (PCU) | Circulatin g flow (PCU/hr) | Capacit y (PCU/hr ) | RFC | Throughp ut (PCU/hr) | Throughp ut (exit side) (PCU/hr) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Start } \\ \text { queu } \\ \text { e } \\ \text { (PCU } \\ \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { End } \\ \text { queu } \\ \text { e } \\ \text { (PCU } \\ \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | Delay (s) | Unsignalise d level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - A61 York Place | 1630 | 407 | 225 | 2173 | $\begin{gathered} 0.75 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 1629 | 605 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 6.729 | A |
| 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 1057 | 264 | 991 | 1398 | $\begin{gathered} 0.75 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | 1057 | 864 | 3.0 | 3.1 | $\begin{gathered} 10.81 \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | B |
| $3 \text { - B6162 Otley }$ Road | 800 | 200 | 1493 | 942 | $\begin{gathered} 0.85 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 799 | 555 | 4.8 | 5.2 | $\begin{gathered} 24.66 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | C |
| 4-A 61 W Park |  |  | 830 |  |  |  | 1461 |  |  |  |  |


| Arm | Total Deman d (PCU/hr ) | Junctio <br> n <br> Arrivals <br> (PCU) | Circulatin g flow (PCU/hr) | Capacit y (PCU/hr ) | RFC | Throughp ut (PCU/hr) | Throughp ut (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start <br> queu e (PCU ) | End queu e (PCU ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dela } \\ & \mathrm{y}(\mathrm{~s}) \end{aligned}$ | Unsignalise d level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - A61 York Place | 1330 | 333 | 189 | 2200 | $\begin{gathered} 0.60 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | 1336 | 503 | 3.0 | 1.6 | $\begin{gathered} 4.26 \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | A |
| 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 863 | 216 | 813 | 1518 | $\begin{gathered} 0.56 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | 870 | 712 | 3.1 | 1.4 | $\begin{gathered} 5.77 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | A |
| 3 - B6162 Otley Road | 654 | 163 | 1227 | 1116 | $\begin{gathered} 0.58 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | 669 | 455 | 5.2 | 1.5 | $\begin{gathered} 8.37 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | A |
| 4 - A 61 W Park |  |  | 692 |  |  |  | 1204 |  |  |  |  |

18:15-18:30

| Arm | Total Deman d (PCU/hr ) | Junctio <br> n <br> Arrivals <br> (PCU) | Circulatin g flow (PCU/hr) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Capacit } \\ y \\ (\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr} \\ ) \end{gathered}$ | RFC | Throughp ut (PCU/hr) | Throughp ut (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queu e (PCU ) | End queu e (PCU ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dela } \\ & \text { y (s) } \end{aligned}$ | Unsignalise d level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 1-A61 York Place | 1114 | 279 | 155 | 2225 | 0.50 <br> 1 | 1116 | 416 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 3.30 <br> 8 | A |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-A61 Leeds Rd | 723 | 181 | 679 | 1608 | 0.45 <br> 0 | 725 | 593 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 4.20 <br> 8 | A |
| 3-B6162 Otley <br> Road | 547 | 137 | 1024 | 1249 | 0.43 <br> 8 | 550 | 380 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 5.20 <br> 5 | A |
| 4-A 61 W Park |  |  | 571 |  |  |  | 1003 |  |  |  |  |

## Existing Layout - 2030 No Dev, AM

## Junction Network

Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction type | Use circulating lanes | Arm order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Prince of Wales Rbt | Standard Roundabout |  | $1,2,3,4$ | 21.29 | C |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario <br> name | Time Period <br> name | Traffic profile <br> type | Start time <br> (HH:mm) | Finish time <br> (HH:mm) | Time segment length <br> (min) | Run <br> automatically |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D5 | 2030 No Dev | AM | ONE HOUR | $08: 00$ | $09: 30$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |


| Vehicle mix varies over turn | Vehicle mix varies over entry | Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Profile type | Use O-D data | Average Demand (PCU/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-A61 York Place |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1497 | 100.000 |
| 2-A61 Leeds Rd |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 849 | 100.000 |
| 3-B6162 Otley Road |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 946 | 100.000 |
| 4-A 61 W Park |  |  |  |  |  |

## Origin-Destination Data

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Fro } \\ \text { m } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1 - <br> A61 <br> York <br> Place | $\begin{gathered} 2- \\ \text { A61 } \\ \text { Leed } \\ \mathbf{s} \\ \text { Rd } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3- \\ \text { B616 } \\ 2 \\ \text { Otley } \\ \text { Road } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4- \\ \text { A } 61 \\ \text { W } \\ \text { Park } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 1 - A61 York Place | 0 | 586 | 581 | 330 |
|  | 2-A61 Leeds Rd | 172 | 0 | 22 | 655 |
|  | 3-B6162 Otley Road | 380 | 352 | 0 | 214 |
|  | 4-A 61 W Park | Exitonly | Exitonly | Exitonly | Exitonly |

Proportions

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fro m |  | 1- <br> A61 <br> York <br> Place | $\begin{gathered} 2- \\ \text { A61 } \\ \text { Leed } \\ \text { s } \\ \text { Rd } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3- \\ \text { B616 } \\ 2 \\ \text { Otley } \\ \text { Road } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4- \\ \text { A } 61 \\ \text { W } \\ \text { Park } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 1-A61 York Place | 0.00 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.22 |
|  | 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.77 |
|  | 3 - B6162 Otley <br> Road | 0.40 | 0.37 | 0.00 | 0.23 |
|  | 4-A 61 W Park | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Fro } \\ \text { m } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1 - <br> A61 <br> York <br> Place | $\begin{gathered} 2- \\ \text { A61 } \\ \text { Leed } \\ \mathbf{s} \\ \text { Rd } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3- \\ \text { B616 } \\ 2 \\ \text { Otley } \\ \text { Road } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4- \\ \text { A } 61 \\ \text { W } \\ \text { Park } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 1 - A61 York Place | 0 | 5 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 2-A61 Leeds Rd | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
|  | 3-B6162 Otley Road | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4-A 61 W Park | Exitonly | Exitonly | Exitonly | Exitonly |

Average PCU Per Veh

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fro m |  | 1 - <br> A61 <br> York <br> Place | $\begin{gathered} 2- \\ \text { A61 } \\ \text { Leed } \\ \mathrm{s} \\ \text { Rd } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3- \\ \text { B616 } \\ 2 \\ \text { Otley } \\ \text { Road } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4- \\ \text { A } 61 \\ \text { W } \\ \text { Park } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 1 - A61 York Place | 1.000 | 1.050 | 1.030 | $\begin{gathered} 1.03 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 2-A61 Leeds Rd | 1.040 | 1.000 | 1.000 | $\begin{gathered} 1.05 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 3-B6162 Otley <br> Road | 1.070 | 1.030 | 1.000 | $\begin{gathered} 1.00 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 4-A 61 W Park | Exitonly | Exitonly | Exitonly | Exitonly |

## Detailed Demand Data

Demand for each time segment

| Time Segment | Arm | Demand (PCU/hr) | Demand in PCU (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08:00-08:15 | 1 - A61 York Place | 1127 | 1127 |
|  | 2-A61 Leeds Rd | 639 | 639 |
|  | 3-B6162 Otley Road | 712 | 712 |
|  | 4 - A 61 W Park | 0 | 0 |
| 08:15-08:30 | 1 - A61 York Place | 1346 | 1346 |
|  | 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 763 | 763 |
|  | 3-B6162 Otley Road | 850 | 850 |
|  | 4 - A 61 W Park | 0 | 0 |
| 08:30-08:45 | 1 - A61 York Place | 1648 | 1648 |
|  | 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 935 | 935 |
|  | 3-B6162 Otley Road | 1042 | 1042 |
|  | 4 - A 61 W Park | 0 | 0 |
| 08:45-09:00 | 1 - A61 York Place | 1648 | 1648 |
|  | 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 935 | 935 |
|  | 3-B6162 Otley Road | 1042 | 1042 |
|  | 4 - A 61 W Park | 0 | 0 |
| 09:00-09:15 | 1 - A61 York Place | 1346 | 1346 |


|  | 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 763 | 763 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3- B6162 Otley Road | 850 | 850 |
|  | 4-A 61 W Park | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1 - A61 York Place | 1127 | 1127 |
|  | 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 639 | 639 |
|  | 3- B6162 Otley Road | 712 | 712 |
|  | 4-A 61 W Park | 0 | 0 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue <br> (PCU) | Max LOS | Average <br> Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Total Junction <br> Arrivals (PCU) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-A61 York Place | 0.80 | 9.12 | 4.1 | A | 1374 | 2061 |
| 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 0.67 | 8.26 | 2.1 | A | 779 | 1169 |
| 3-B6162 Otley Road | 0.96 | 52.26 | 14.4 | F | 868 | 1302 |
| 4-A 61 W Park |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Main Results for each time segment

| Arm | Total Deman d (PCU/hr ) | Junctio n Arrivals (PCU) | Circulatin g flow (PCU/hr) | Capacit y (PCU/hr ) | RFC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Throughp } \\ & \text { ut } \\ & \text { (PCU/hr) } \end{aligned}$ | Throughp ut (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queu e (PCU ) | End queu e (PCU ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dela } \\ & y(s) \end{aligned}$ | Unsignalise d level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - A61 York Place | 1127 | 282 | 263 | 2145 | $\begin{gathered} 0.52 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | 1122 | 413 | 0.0 | 1.1 | $\begin{gathered} 3.63 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | A |
| 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 639 | 160 | 683 | 1605 | $\begin{gathered} 0.39 \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | 636 | 703 | 0.0 | 0.7 | $\begin{gathered} 3.87 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | A |
| $\begin{aligned} & 3-\text { B6162 Otley } \\ & \text { Road } \end{aligned}$ | 712 | 178 | 867 | 1351 | $\begin{gathered} 0.52 \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | 708 | 452 | 0.0 | 1.1 | $\begin{gathered} 5.77 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | A |
| 4-A 61 W Park |  |  | 676 |  |  |  | 899 |  |  |  |  |

08:15-08:30

| Arm | Total Deman d (PCU/hr ) | Junctio <br> n <br> Arrivals <br> (PCU) | Circulatin g flow (PCU/hr) | Capacit y (PCU/hr ) | RFC | Throughp ut (PCU/hr) | Throughp ut (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queu e (PCU ) | End queu e (PCU ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dela } \\ & y(s) \end{aligned}$ | Unsignalise d level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - A61 York Place | 1346 | 336 | 315 | 2106 | $\begin{gathered} 0.63 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | 1343 | 494 | 1.1 | 1.8 | $\begin{gathered} 4.87 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | A |
| 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 763 | 191 | 817 | 1515 | $\begin{gathered} 0.50 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 762 | 841 | 0.7 | 1.1 | $\begin{gathered} 4.99 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | A |
| 3-B6162 Otley Road | 850 | 213 | 1038 | 1239 | $\begin{gathered} 0.68 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | 846 | 541 | 1.1 | 2.2 | $\begin{gathered} 9.40 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | A |
| 4-A 61 W Park |  |  | 809 |  |  |  | 1075 |  |  |  |  |

08:30-08:45

| Arm | Total Deman d (PCU/hr ) | Junctio <br> n Arrivals (PCU) | Circulatin g flow (PCU/hr) | Capacit y (PCU/hr ) | RFC | Throughp ut <br> (PCU/hr) | Throughp ut (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queu e (PCU ) | End queu e (PCU ) | Delay (s) | Unsignalise d level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - A61 York Place | 1648 | 412 | 374 | 2063 | $\begin{gathered} 0.79 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | 1640 | 592 | 1.8 | 3.9 | 8.659 | A |


| 2-A61 Leeds Rd | 935 | 234 | 998 | 1394 | 0.67 <br> 1 | 931 | 1016 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 8.067 | A |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-B6162 Otley <br> Road | 1042 | 260 | 1268 | 1089 | 0.95 <br> 6 | 1005 | 661 | 2.2 | 11.3 | 35.10 <br> 6 | E |
| 4-A 61 W Park |  |  | 966 |  |  |  | 1307 |  |  |  |  |


| Arm | Total Deman d (PCU/hr ) | Junctio <br> n Arrivals (PCU) | Circulatin g flow (PCU/hr) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Capacit } \\ y \\ (\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr} \\ ) \end{gathered}$ | RFC | Throughp ut (PCU/hr) | Throughp ut (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start <br> queu e (PCU ) | End queu e (PCU ) | Delay (s) | Unsignalise d level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - A61 York Place | 1648 | 412 | 383 | 2056 | $\begin{gathered} 0.80 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 1648 | 603 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 9.120 | A |
| 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 935 | 234 | 1003 | 1390 | $\begin{gathered} 0.67 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 935 | 1028 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 8.258 | A |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3-B6162 Otley } \\ & \text { Road } \end{aligned}$ | 1042 | 260 | 1274 | 1085 | $\begin{gathered} 0.96 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 1029 | 664 | 11.3 | 14.4 | $\begin{gathered} 52.26 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | F |
| 4-A 61 W Park |  |  | 986 |  |  |  | 1317 |  |  |  |  |

09:00-09:15

| Arm | Total Deman d (PCU/hr ) | Junctio <br> n <br> Arrivals (PCU) | Circulatin g flow (PCU/hr) | Capacit y (PCU/hr ) | RFC | Throughp ut (PCU/hr) | Throughp ut (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queu e (PCU ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { End } \\ \text { queu } \\ \text { e } \\ \text { (PCU } \\ \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | Delay (s) | Unsignalise d level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-A61 York Place | 1346 | 336 | 334 | 2092 | $\begin{gathered} 0.64 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 1354 | 516 | 4.1 | 1.9 | 5.124 | A |
| 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 763 | 191 | 824 | 1510 | $\begin{gathered} 0.50 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | 767 | 864 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 5.101 | A |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3-B6162 Otley } \\ & \text { Road } \end{aligned}$ | 850 | 213 | 1046 | 1234 | $\begin{gathered} 0.68 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | 898 | 546 | 14.4 | 2.4 | $\begin{gathered} 12.66 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | B |
| 4-A 61 W Park |  |  | 851 |  |  |  | 1094 |  |  |  |  |


| Arm | Total Deman d (PCU/hr ) | Junctio n Arrivals (PCU) | Circulatin g flow (PCU/hr) | Capacit y (PCU/hr ) | RFC | Throughp ut (PCU/hr) | Throughp ut (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queu e (PCU ) | End queu e (PCU ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dela } \\ & \mathrm{y}(\mathrm{~s}) \end{aligned}$ | Unsignalise d level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - A61 York Place | 1127 | 282 | 267 | 2142 | $\begin{gathered} 0.52 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | 1130 | 418 | 1.9 | 1.2 | $\begin{gathered} 3.70 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | A |
| 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 639 | 160 | 688 | 1602 | $\begin{gathered} 0.39 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | 641 | 709 | 1.1 | 0.7 | $\begin{gathered} 3.92 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | A |
| 3 - B6162 Otley Road | 712 | 178 | 873 | 1347 | $\begin{gathered} 0.52 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | 717 | 455 | 2.4 | 1.2 | $\begin{gathered} 5.97 \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | A |
| 4-A 61 W Park |  |  | 685 |  |  |  | 906 |  |  |  |  |

## Existing Layout - 2030 No Dev, PM

## Junction Network

Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction type | Use circulating lanes | Arm order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Prince of Wales Rbt | Standard Roundabout |  | $1,2,3,4$ | 20.14 | C |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario <br> name | Time Period <br> name | Traffic profile <br> type | Start time <br> (HH:mm) | Finish time <br> (HH:mm) | Time segment length <br> (min) | Run <br> automatically |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D6 | 2030 No Dev | PM | ONE HOUR | $17: 00$ | $18: 30$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |


| Vehicle mix varies over turn | Vehicle mix varies over entry | Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV Percentages | 2.00 |

## Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Profile type | Use O-D data | Average Demand (PCU/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - A61 York Place |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1541 | 100.000 |
| 2 - A61 Leeds Rd |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 981 | 100.000 |
| 3 - B6162 Otley Road |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 790 | 100.000 |
| 4 - A 61 W Park |  |  |  |  |  |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fro m |  | 1 - <br> A61 <br> York <br> Place | $\begin{gathered} 2- \\ \text { A61 } \\ \text { Leed } \\ \mathrm{s} \\ \mathrm{Rd} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3- \\ \text { B616 } \\ 2 \\ \text { Otley } \\ \text { Road } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4- \\ \text { A } 61 \\ \text { W } \\ \text { Park } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 1-A61 York Place | 0 | 600 | 511 | 430 |
|  | 2-A61 Leeds Rd | 199 | 0 | 15 | 767 |
|  | 3-B6162 Otley Road | 407 | 205 | 0 | 178 |
|  | 4-A 61 W Park | Exitonly | Exitonly | Exitonly | Exitonly |

Proportions

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Fro } \\ \text { m } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1- <br> A61 <br> York <br> Place | $\begin{gathered} 2- \\ \text { A61 } \\ \text { Leed } \\ \mathbf{s} \\ \text { Rd } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3- \\ \text { B616 } \\ 2 \\ \text { Otley } \\ \text { Road } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4- \\ \text { A } 61 \\ \text { W } \\ \text { Park } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 1-A61 York Place | 0.00 | 0.39 | 0.33 | 0.28 |
|  | 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.78 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3-B6162 Otley } \\ & \text { Road } \end{aligned}$ | 0.52 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.23 |
|  | 4-A 61 W Park | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fro m |  | 1 - <br> A61 <br> York <br> Place | 2 - <br> A61 <br> Leed <br> $s$ $R d$ | $\begin{gathered} 3- \\ \text { B616 } \\ 2 \\ \text { Otley } \\ \text { Road } \end{gathered}$ | 4- <br> A 61 <br> W <br> Park |
|  | 1 - A61 York Place | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
|  | 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
|  | 3-B6162 Otley Road | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 4-A 61 W Park | Exitonly | Exitonly | Exitonly | Exitonly |

Average PCU Per Veh

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Fro } \\ \text { m } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1 - <br> A61 <br> York <br> Place | $\begin{gathered} 2- \\ \text { A61 } \\ \text { Leed } \\ \mathbf{s} \\ \text { Rd } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3- \\ \text { B616 } \\ 2 \\ \text { Otley } \\ \text { Road } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4- \\ \text { A } 61 \\ \text { W } \\ \text { Park } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 1 - A61 York Place | 1.000 | 1.020 | 1.010 | $\begin{gathered} 1.02 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 1.030 | 1.000 | 1.000 | $\begin{gathered} 1.03 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 3-B6162 Otley Road | 1.010 | 1.000 | 1.000 | $\begin{gathered} 1.01 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 4-A 61 W Park | Exitonly | Exitonly | Exitonly | Exitonly |

## Detailed Demand Data

Demand for each time segment

| Time Segment | Arm | Demand (PCU/hr) | Demand in PCU (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:00-17:15 | 1 - A61 York Place | 1160 | 1160 |
|  | 2-A61 Leeds Rd | 739 | 739 |
|  | 3-B6162 Otley Road | 595 | 595 |
|  | 4 - A 61 W Park | 0 | 0 |
| 17:15-17:30 | 1-A61 York Place | 1385 | 1385 |
|  | 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 882 | 882 |
|  | 3-B6162 Otley Road | 710 | 710 |
|  | 4 - A 61 W Park | 0 | 0 |
| 17:30-17:45 | 1-A61 York Place | 1697 | 1697 |
|  | 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 1080 | 1080 |
|  | 3-B6162 Otley Road | 870 | 870 |
|  | 4-A 61 W Park | 0 | 0 |
| 17:45-18:00 | 1 - A61 York Place | 1697 | 1697 |
|  | 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 1080 | 1080 |
|  | 3-B6162 Otley Road | 870 | 870 |
|  | 4 - A 61 W Park | 0 | 0 |
| 18:00-18:15 | 1 - A61 York Place | 1385 | 1385 |
|  | 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 882 | 882 |
|  | 3-B6162 Otley Road | 710 | 710 |
|  | 4-A 61 W Park | 0 | 0 |
| 18:15-18:30 | 1-A61 York Place | 1160 | 1160 |
|  | 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 739 | 739 |
|  | 3-B6162 Otley Road | 595 | 595 |
|  | 4-A 61 W Park | 0 | 0 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue <br> (PCU) | Max LOS | Average <br> Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Total Junction <br> Arrivals (PCU) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-A61 York Place | 0.78 | 7.64 | 3.5 | A | 1414 | 2121 |
| 2-A61 Leeds Rd | 0.79 | 12.79 | 3.7 | B | 900 | 1350 |
| 3- B6162 Otley Road | 0.95 | 53.66 | 12.2 | F | 725 | 1087 |
| 4-A 61 W Park |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Main Results for each time segment

| Arm | Total Deman d (PCU/hr ) | Junctio <br> n Arrivals (PCU) | Circulatin g flow (PCU/hr) | Capacit y (PCU/hr ) | RFC | Throughp ut (PCU/hr) | Throughp ut (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queu e (PCU ) | End queu e (PCU ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dela } \\ & y(s) \end{aligned}$ | Unsignalise d level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - A61 York Place | 1160 | 290 | 153 | 2226 | $\begin{gathered} 0.52 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 1156 | 454 | 0.0 | 1.1 | $\begin{gathered} 3.40 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | A |
| 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 739 | 185 | 706 | 1590 | $\begin{gathered} 0.46 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | 735 | 603 | 0.0 | 0.9 | $\begin{gathered} 4.32 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | A |


| 3-B6162 Otley <br> Road | 595 | 149 | 1046 | 1234 | 0.48 <br> 2 | 591 | 394 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 5.60 <br> 9 | A |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4-A 61 W Park |  |  | 607 |  |  |  | 1030 |  |  |  |  |


| Arm | Total Deman d (PCU/hr ) | Junctio <br> n <br> Arrivals (PCU) | Circulatin g flow (PCU/hr) | Capacit y (PCU/hr ) | RFC | Throughp ut (PCU/hr) | Throughp ut (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queu e (PCU ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { End } \\ \text { queu } \\ \text { e } \\ \text { (PCU } \\ \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dela } \\ & \text { y (s) } \end{aligned}$ | Unsignalise d level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - A61 York Place | 1385 | 346 | 183 | 2204 | $\begin{gathered} 0.62 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | 1383 | 543 | 1.1 | 1.7 | $\begin{gathered} 4.44 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | A |
| 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 882 | 220 | 844 | 1497 | $\begin{gathered} 0.58 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | 880 | 722 | 0.9 | 1.5 | $\begin{gathered} 5.98 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | A |
| 3-B6162 Otley Road | 710 | 178 | 1252 | 1099 | $\begin{gathered} 0.64 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | 707 | 472 | 0.9 | 1.8 | $\begin{gathered} 9.15 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | A |
| 4-A 61 W Park |  |  | 726 |  |  |  | 1233 |  |  |  |  |


| Arm | Total Deman d (PCU/hr ) | Junctio <br> n <br> Arrivals (PCU) | Circulatin g flow (PCU/hr) | Capacit <br> y (PCU/hr ) | RFC | Throughp ut (PCU/hr) | Throughp ut (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queu e (PCU ) | End queu e (PCU ) | Delay (s) | Unsignalise d level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - A61 York Place | 1697 | 424 | 218 | 2178 | $\begin{gathered} 0.77 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | 1690 | 649 | 1.7 | 3.5 | 7.384 | A |
| 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 1080 | 270 | 1032 | 1371 | $\begin{gathered} 0.78 \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | 1072 | 875 | 1.5 | 3.6 | $\begin{gathered} 12.04 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | B |
| 3-B6162 Otley Road | 870 | 217 | 1527 | 920 | $\begin{gathered} 0.94 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | 839 | 577 | 1.8 | 9.6 | $\begin{gathered} 35.91 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | E |
| 4-A 61 W Park |  |  | 867 |  |  |  | 1498 |  |  |  |  |

17:45-18:00

| Arm | Total Deman d (PCU/hr ) | Junctio <br> n <br> Arrivals <br> (PCU) | Circulatin g flow (PCU/hr) | Capacit y (PCU/hr ) | RFC | Throughp ut (PCU/hr) | Throughp ut (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queu e (PCU ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { End } \\ \text { queu } \\ \text { e } \\ \text { (PCU } \\ \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | Delay (s) | Unsignalise d level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - A61 York Place | 1697 | 424 | 223 | 2174 | $\begin{gathered} 0.78 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 1696 | 662 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 7.642 | A |
| 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 1080 | 270 | 1036 | 1368 | $\begin{gathered} 0.78 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | 1080 | 883 | 3.6 | 3.7 | $12.78$ | B |
| $3 \text { - B6162 Otley }$ Road | 870 | 217 | 1536 | 913 | $\begin{gathered} 0.95 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 859 | 579 | 9.6 | 12.2 | $\begin{gathered} 53.65 \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | F |
| 4-A 61 W Park |  |  | 885 |  |  |  | 1511 |  |  |  |  |


| Arm | Total Deman d (PCU/hr ) | Junctio <br> n Arrivals (PCU) | Circulatin g flow (PCU/hr) | Capacit y (PCU/hr ) | RFC | Throughp ut (PCU/hr) | Throughp ut (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queu e (PCU ) | End queu e (PCU ) | Delay (s) | Unsignalise d level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - A61 York Place | 1385 | 346 | 195 | 2195 | $\begin{gathered} 0.63 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 1392 | 568 | 3.5 | 1.8 | 4.600 | A |
| 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 882 | 220 | 850 | 1493 | $\begin{gathered} 0.59 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 891 | 737 | 3.7 | 1.5 | 6.244 | A |
| 3-B6162 Otley Road | 710 | 178 | 1266 | 1091 | $\begin{gathered} 0.65 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 751 | 475 | 12.2 | 1.9 | $\begin{gathered} 11.95 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | B |
| 4-A 61 W Park |  |  | 763 |  |  |  | 1254 |  |  |  |  |

18:15-18:30

| Arm | Total Deman d (PCU/hr ) | Junctio <br> n <br> Arrivals <br> (PCU) | Circulatin g flow (PCU/hr) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Capacit } \\ y \\ (\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr} \\ ) \end{gathered}$ | RFC | Throughp ut (PCU/hr) | Throughp ut (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queu e (PCU ) | End queu e (PCU ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dela } \\ & \text { y (s) } \end{aligned}$ | Unsignalise d level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 1-A61 York Place | 1160 | 290 | 155 | 2224 | 0.52 <br> 2 | 1163 | 459 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 3.45 <br> 7 | A |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-A61 Leeds Rd | 739 | 185 | 710 | 1587 | 0.46 <br> 5 | 741 | 608 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 4.39 <br> 5 | A |
| 3-B6162 Otley <br> Road | 595 | 149 | 1054 | 1229 | 0.48 <br> 4 | 599 | 397 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 5.79 <br> 0 | A |
| 4-A 61 W Park |  |  | 614 |  |  |  | 1039 |  |  |  |  |

## Existing Layout - 2030 With Dev, AM

## Junction Network

Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction type | Use circulating lanes | Arm order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Prince of Wales Rbt | Standard Roundabout |  | $1,2,3,4$ | 24.38 | $C$ |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario <br> name | Time Period <br> name | Traffic profile <br> type | Start time <br> (HH:mm) | Finish time <br> (HH:mm) | Time segment length <br> (min) | Run <br> automatically |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D7 | 2030 With Dev | AM | ONE HOUR | $08: 00$ | $09: 30$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |


| Vehicle mix varies over turn | Vehicle mix varies over entry | Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Profile type | Use O-D data | Average Demand (PCU/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-A61 York Place |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1504 | 100.000 |
| 2-A61 Leeds Rd |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 849 | 100.000 |
| 3-B6162 Otley Road |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 964 | 100.000 |
| 4-A 61 W Park |  |  |  |  |  |

## Origin-Destination Data

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Fro } \\ \text { m } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1 - <br> A61 <br> York <br> Place | $\begin{gathered} 2- \\ \text { A61 } \\ \text { Leed } \\ \mathbf{s} \\ \text { Rd } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3- \\ \text { B616 } \\ 2 \\ \text { Otley } \\ \text { Road } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4- \\ \text { A } 61 \\ \text { W } \\ \text { Park } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 1 - A61 York Place | 0 | 586 | 588 | 330 |
|  | 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 172 | 0 | 22 | 655 |
|  | 3-B6162 Otley Road | 395 | 352 | 0 | 217 |
|  | 4-A 61 W Park | Exitonly | Exitonly | Exitonly | Exitonly |

Proportions

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fro m |  | 1- <br> A61 <br> York <br> Place | $\begin{gathered} 2- \\ \text { A61 } \\ \text { Leed } \\ \text { s } \\ \text { Rd } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3- \\ \text { B616 } \\ 2 \\ \text { Otley } \\ \text { Road } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4- \\ \text { A } 61 \\ \text { W } \\ \text { Park } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 1-A61 York Place | 0.00 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.22 |
|  | 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.77 |
|  | 3 - B6162 Otley <br> Road | 0.41 | 0.37 | 0.00 | 0.23 |
|  | 4-A 61 W Park | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Fro } \\ \text { m } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1 - <br> A61 <br> York <br> Place | $\begin{gathered} 2- \\ \text { A61 } \\ \text { Leed } \\ \mathbf{s} \\ \text { Rd } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3- \\ \text { B616 } \\ 2 \\ \text { Otley } \\ \text { Road } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4- \\ \text { A } 61 \\ \text { W } \\ \text { Park } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 1 - A61 York Place | 0 | 5 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 2-A61 Leeds Rd | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
|  | 3-B6162 Otley Road | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4-A 61 W Park | Exitonly | Exitonly | Exitonly | Exitonly |

Average PCU Per Veh

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fro m |  | 1 - <br> A61 <br> York <br> Place | $\begin{gathered} 2- \\ \text { A61 } \\ \text { Leed } \\ \mathrm{s} \\ \text { Rd } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3- \\ \text { B616 } \\ 2 \\ \text { Otley } \\ \text { Road } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4- \\ \text { A } 61 \\ \text { W } \\ \text { Park } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 1 - A61 York Place | 1.000 | 1.050 | 1.030 | $\begin{gathered} 1.03 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 2-A61 Leeds Rd | 1.040 | 1.000 | 1.000 | $\begin{gathered} 1.05 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 3-B6162 Otley <br> Road | 1.070 | 1.030 | 1.000 | $\begin{gathered} 1.00 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 4-A 61 W Park | Exitonly | Exitonly | Exitonly | Exitonly |

## Detailed Demand Data

Demand for each time segment

| Time Segment | Arm | Demand (PCU/hr) | Demand in PCU (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08:00-08:15 | 1 - A61 York Place | 1132 | 1132 |
|  | 2-A61 Leeds Rd | 639 | 639 |
|  | 3-B6162 Otley Road | 726 | 726 |
|  | 4 - A 61 W Park | 0 | 0 |
| 08:15-08:30 | 1 - A61 York Place | 1352 | 1352 |
|  | 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 763 | 763 |
|  | 3-B6162 Otley Road | 867 | 867 |
|  | 4 - A 61 W Park | 0 | 0 |
| 08:30-08:45 | 1 - A61 York Place | 1656 | 1656 |
|  | 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 935 | 935 |
|  | 3-B6162 Otley Road | 1061 | 1061 |
|  | 4 - A 61 W Park | 0 | 0 |
| 08:45-09:00 | 1 - A61 York Place | 1656 | 1656 |
|  | 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 935 | 935 |
|  | 3-B6162 Otley Road | 1061 | 1061 |
|  | 4 - A 61 W Park | 0 | 0 |
| 09:00-09:15 | 1 - A61 York Place | 1352 | 1352 |


|  | 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 763 | 763 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3- B6162 Otley Road | 867 | 867 |
|  | 4-A 61 W Park | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1 - A61 York Place | 1132 | 1132 |
|  | 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 639 | 639 |
|  | 3- B6162 Otley Road | 726 | 726 |
|  | 4-A 61 W Park | 0 | 0 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue <br> (PCU) | Max LOS | Average <br> Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Total Junction <br> Arrivals (PCU) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-A61 York Place | 0.80 | 9.26 | 4.2 | A | 1380 | 2070 |
| 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 0.67 | 8.35 | 2.1 | A | 779 | 1169 |
| 3-B6162 Otley Road | 0.98 | 62.07 | 17.7 | F | 885 | 1327 |
| 4-A 61 W Park |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Main Results for each time segment

| Arm | Total Deman d (PCU/hr ) | Junctio <br> n Arrivals (PCU) | Circulatin g flow (PCU/hr) | Capacit y (PCU/hr ) | RFC | Throughp ut (PCU/hr) | Throughp ut (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queu e (PCU ) | End queu e (PCU ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dela } \\ & \text { y (s) } \end{aligned}$ | Unsignalise d level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - A61 York Place | 1132 | 283 | 263 | 2145 | $\begin{gathered} 0.52 \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | 1128 | 424 | 0.0 | 1.2 | $\begin{gathered} 3.65 \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | A |
| 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 639 | 160 | 688 | 1601 | $\begin{gathered} 0.39 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | 636 | 703 | 0.0 | 0.7 | $\begin{gathered} 3.89 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | A |
| 3-B6162 Otley Road | 726 | 181 | 867 | 1351 | $\begin{gathered} 0.53 \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | 721 | 457 | 0.0 | 1.2 | $\begin{gathered} 5.89 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | A |
| 4-A 61 W Park |  |  | 688 |  |  |  | 901 |  |  |  |  |

08:15-08:30

| Arm | Total Deman d (PCU/hr ) | Junctio <br> n <br> Arrivals <br> (PCU) | Circulatin g flow (PCU/hr) | Capacit y (PCU/hr ) | RFC | Throughp ut (PCU/hr) | Throughp ut (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queu e (PCU ) | End queu e (PCU ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dela } \\ & y(s) \end{aligned}$ | Unsignalise d level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - A61 York Place | 1352 | 338 | 315 | 2107 | $\begin{gathered} 0.64 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 1349 | 508 | 1.2 | 1.8 | $\begin{gathered} 4.91 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | A |
| 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 763 | 191 | 824 | 1511 | $\begin{gathered} 0.50 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | 762 | 841 | 0.7 | 1.1 | $\begin{gathered} 5.02 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | A |
| 3-B6162 Otley Road | 867 | 217 | 1038 | 1239 | $\begin{gathered} 0.69 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | 862 | 547 | 1.2 | 2.3 | $\begin{gathered} 9.79 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | A |
| 4-A 61 W Park |  |  | 822 |  |  |  | 1078 |  |  |  |  |

08:30-08:45

| Arm | Total Deman d (PCU/hr ) | Junctio <br> n Arrivals (PCU) | Circulatin g flow (PCU/hr) | Capacit y (PCU/hr ) | RFC | Throughp ut (PCU/hr) | Throughp ut (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queu e (PCU ) | End queu e (PCU ) | Delay (s) | Unsignalise d level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - A61 York Place | 1656 | 414 | 372 | 2065 | $\begin{gathered} 0.80 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 1647 | 606 | 1.8 | 4.0 | 8.773 | A |


| 2-A61 Leeds Rd | 935 | 234 | 1005 | 1389 | 0.67 <br> 3 | 931 | 1013 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 8.153 | A |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-B6162 Otley <br> Road | 1061 | 265 | 1268 | 1089 | 0.97 <br> 5 | 1018 | 668 | 2.3 | 13.3 | 39.16 <br> 3 | E |
| 4-A 61 W Park |  |  | 977 |  |  |  | 1308 |  |  |  |  |


| Arm | Total Deman d (PCU/hr ) | Junctio <br> n Arrivals (PCU) | Circulatin g flow (PCU/hr) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Capacit } \\ y \\ (\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr} \\ ) \end{gathered}$ | RFC | Throughp ut (PCU/hr) | Throughp ut (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queu e (PCU ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { End } \\ \text { queu } \\ \text { e } \\ \text { (PCU } \\ \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | Delay (s) | Unsignalise d level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - A61 York Place | 1656 | 414 | 381 | 2058 | $\begin{gathered} 0.80 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | 1655 | 617 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 9.257 | A |
| 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 935 | 234 | 1010 | 1385 | $\begin{gathered} 0.67 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | 935 | 1026 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 8.354 | A |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3-B6162 Otley } \\ & \text { Road } \end{aligned}$ | 1061 | 265 | 1274 | 1085 | $\begin{gathered} 0.97 \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | 1043 | 671 | 13.3 | 17.7 | $\begin{gathered} 62.07 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | F |
| 4-A 61 W Park |  |  | 998 |  |  |  | 1319 |  |  |  |  |

09:00-09:15

| Arm | Total Deman d (PCU/hr ) | Junctio <br> n <br> Arrivals (PCU) | Circulatin g flow (PCU/hr) | Capacit y (PCU/hr ) | RFC | Throughp ut (PCU/hr) | Throughp ut (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queu e (PCU ) | End queu e (PCU ) | Delay (s) | Unsignalise d level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-A61 York Place | 1352 | 338 | 339 | 2089 | $\begin{gathered} 0.64 \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | 1361 | 535 | 4.2 | 1.9 | 5.194 | A |
| 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 763 | 191 | 831 | 1506 | $\begin{gathered} 0.50 \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | 767 | 869 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 5.132 | A |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3-B6162 Otley } \\ & \text { Road } \end{aligned}$ | 867 | 217 | 1046 | 1234 | $\begin{gathered} 0.70 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 927 | 552 | 17.7 | 2.6 | $\begin{gathered} 14.54 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | B |
| 4-A 61 W Park |  |  | 874 |  |  |  | 1099 |  |  |  |  |


| Arm | Total Deman d (PCU/hr ) | Junctio <br> n Arrivals (PCU) | Circulatin g flow (PCU/hr) | Capacit y (PCU/hr ) | RFC | Throughp ut (PCU/hr) | Throughp ut (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queu e (PCU ) | End queu e (PCU ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dela } \\ & y(s) \end{aligned}$ | Unsignalise d level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - A61 York Place | 1132 | 283 | 267 | 2142 | $\begin{gathered} 0.52 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | 1135 | 429 | 1.9 | 1.2 | $\begin{gathered} 3.72 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | A |
| 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 639 | 160 | 693 | 1598 | $\begin{gathered} 0.40 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 641 | 709 | 1.1 | 0.7 | $\begin{gathered} 3.94 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | A |
| 3-B6162 Otley <br> Road | 726 | 181 | 873 | 1347 | $\begin{gathered} 0.53 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | 731 | 460 | 2.6 | 1.2 | $\begin{gathered} 6.11 \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | A |
| 4-A 61 W Park |  |  | 696 |  |  |  | 908 |  |  |  |  |

## Existing Layout - 2030 With Dev, PM

## Junction Network

Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction type | Use circulating lanes | Arm order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Prince of Wales Rbt | Standard Roundabout |  | $1,2,3,4$ | 22.09 | C |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario <br> name | Time Period <br> name | Traffic profile <br> type | Start time <br> (HH:mm) | Finish time <br> (HH:mm) | Time segment length <br> (min) | Run <br> automatically |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D8 | 2030 With Dev | PM | ONE HOUR | $17: 00$ | $18: 30$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |


| Vehicle mix varies over turn | Vehicle mix varies over entry | Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV Percentages | 2.00 |

## Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Profile type | Use O-D data | Average Demand (PCU/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-A61 York Place |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1567 | 100.000 |
| 2-A61 Leeds Rd |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 981 | 100.000 |
| 3-B6162 Otley Road |  | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 801 | 100.000 |
| 4-A 61 W Park |  |  |  |  |  |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fro m |  | 1 - <br> A61 <br> York <br> Place | 2 - <br> A61 <br> Leed <br> $s$ $R d$ | 3 - <br> B616 <br> 2 <br> Otley <br> Road | 4- <br> A 61 <br> W <br> Park |
|  | 1-A61 York Place | 0 | 600 | 537 | 430 |
|  | 2-A61 Leeds Rd | 199 | 0 | 15 | 767 |
|  | 3-B6162 Otley Road | 412 | 205 | 0 | 184 |
|  | 4-A 61 W Park | Exitonly | Exitonly | Exitonly | Exitonly |

Proportions

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fro <br> $\mathbf{m}$ |  | 1- <br> A61 <br> York <br> Place | 2- <br> A61 <br> Leed <br> s <br> Rd | 3- <br> B616 <br> $\mathbf{2}$ <br> Otley <br> Road | 4- <br> A 61 <br> W <br> Park |
|  | 1-A61 York Place | 0.00 | 0.38 | 0.34 | 0.27 |
|  | 2-A61 Leeds Rd | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.78 |
|  | 3- B6162 Otley <br> Road | 0.51 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.23 |
|  | 4-A 61 W Park | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Fro } \\ \text { m } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1 - <br> A61 <br> York <br> Place | $\begin{gathered} 2- \\ \text { A61 } \\ \text { Leed } \\ \mathrm{s} \\ \text { Rd } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3- \\ \text { B616 } \\ 2 \\ \text { Otley } \\ \text { Road } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4- \\ \text { A } 61 \\ \text { W } \\ \text { Park } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 1-A61 York Place | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
|  | 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
|  | 3-B6162 Otley Road | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 4-A 61 W Park | Exitonly | Exitonly | Exitonly | Exitonly |

Average PCU Per Veh

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Fro } \\ \text { m } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1 - <br> A61 <br> York <br> Place | $\begin{gathered} 2- \\ \text { A61 } \\ \text { Leed } \\ \text { s } \\ \text { Rd } \end{gathered}$ | 3 - <br> B616 <br> 2 <br> Otley <br> Road | $\begin{gathered} \text { 4- } \\ \text { A } 61 \\ \text { W } \\ \text { Park } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 1 - A61 York Place | 1.000 | 1.020 | 1.010 | $\begin{gathered} 1.02 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 1.030 | 1.000 | 1.000 | $\begin{gathered} 1.03 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 3-B6162 Otley Road | 1.010 | 1.000 | 1.000 | $\begin{gathered} 1.01 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 4-A 61 W Park | Exitonly | Exitonly | Exitonly | Exitonly |

## Detailed Demand Data

Demand for each time segment

| Time Segment | Arm | Demand (PCU/hr) | Demand in PCU (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:00-17:15 | 1 - A61 York Place | 1180 | 1180 |
|  | 2-A61 Leeds Rd | 739 | 739 |
|  | 3-B6162 Otley Road | 603 | 603 |
|  | 4 - A 61 W Park | 0 | 0 |
| 17:15-17:30 | 1-A61 York Place | 1409 | 1409 |
|  | 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 882 | 882 |
|  | 3-B6162 Otley Road | 720 | 720 |
|  | 4 - A 61 W Park | 0 | 0 |
| 17:30-17:45 | 1-A61 York Place | 1725 | 1725 |
|  | 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 1080 | 1080 |
|  | 3-B6162 Otley Road | 882 | 882 |
|  | 4-A 61 W Park | 0 | 0 |
| 17:45-18:00 | 1 - A61 York Place | 1725 | 1725 |
|  | 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 1080 | 1080 |
|  | 3-B6162 Otley Road | 882 | 882 |
|  | 4 - A 61 W Park | 0 | 0 |
| 18:00-18:15 | 1 - A61 York Place | 1409 | 1409 |
|  | 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 882 | 882 |
|  | 3-B6162 Otley Road | 720 | 720 |
|  | 4 - A 61 W Park | 0 | 0 |
| 18:15-18:30 | 1-A61 York Place | 1180 | 1180 |
|  | 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 739 | 739 |
|  | 3-B6162 Otley Road | 603 | 603 |
|  | 4 - A 61 W Park | 0 | 0 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue <br> (PCU) | Max LOS | Average <br> Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Total Junction <br> Arrivals (PCU) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-A61 York Place | 0.79 | 8.11 | 3.8 | A | 1438 | 2157 |
| 2-A61 Leeds Rd | 0.80 | 13.68 | 4.0 | B | 900 | 1350 |
| 3- B6162 Otley Road | 0.97 | 59.72 | 14.0 | F | 735 | 1103 |
| 4-A 61 W Park |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Main Results for each time segment

| Arm | Total Deman d (PCU/hr ) | Junctio n Arrivals (PCU) | Circulatin g flow (PCU/hr) | Capacit y (PCU/hr ) | RFC | Throughp ut (PCU/hr) | Throughp ut (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queu e (PCU ) | End queu e (PCU ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dela } \\ & y(s) \end{aligned}$ | Unsignalise d level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - A61 York Place | 1180 | 295 | 153 | 2226 | $\begin{gathered} 0.53 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 1175 | 457 | 0.0 | 1.1 | $\begin{gathered} 3.47 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | A |
| 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 739 | 185 | 725 | 1577 | $\begin{gathered} 0.46 \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | 735 | 603 | 0.0 | 0.9 | $\begin{gathered} 4.38 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | A |


| 3-B6162 Otley <br> Road | 603 | 151 | 1046 | 1234 | 0.48 <br> 9 | 599 | 414 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 5.67 <br> 8 | A |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4-A 61 W Park |  |  | 611 |  |  |  | 1035 |  |  |  |  |


| Arm | Total Deman d (PCU/hr ) | Junctio <br> n <br> Arrivals (PCU) | Circulatin g flow (PCU/hr) | Capacit y (PCU/hr ) | RFC | Throughp ut (PCU/hr) | Throughp ut (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queu e (PCU ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { End } \\ \text { queu } \\ \text { e } \\ \text { (PCU } \\ \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dela } \\ & \text { y (s) } \end{aligned}$ | Unsignalise d level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - A61 York Place | 1409 | 352 | 183 | 2204 | $\begin{gathered} 0.63 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | 1406 | 547 | 1.1 | 1.8 | $\begin{gathered} 4.57 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | A |
| 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 882 | 220 | 868 | 1481 | $\begin{gathered} 0.59 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | 880 | 722 | 0.9 | 1.5 | $\begin{gathered} 6.13 \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | A |
| 3-B6162 Otley Road | 720 | 180 | 1252 | 1100 | $\begin{gathered} 0.65 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | 716 | 495 | 1.0 | 1.9 | $\begin{gathered} 9.34 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | A |
| 4-A 61 W Park |  |  | 730 |  |  |  | 1238 |  |  |  |  |


| Arm | Total Deman d (PCU/hr ) | Junctio <br> n Arrivals (PCU) | Circulatin g flow (PCU/hr) | Capacit y (PCU/hr ) | RFC | Throughp ut (PCU/hr) | Throughp ut (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queu e (PCU ) | End queu e (PCU ) | Delay (s) | Unsignalise d level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - A61 York Place | 1725 | 431 | 217 | 2179 | $\begin{gathered} 0.79 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 1718 | 653 | 1.8 | 3.7 | 7.801 | A |
| 2-A61 Leeds Rd | 1080 | 270 | 1060 | 1352 | $\begin{gathered} 0.79 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | 1071 | 874 | 1.5 | 3.8 | $\begin{gathered} 12.77 \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | B |
| $\begin{aligned} & 3-\text { B6162 Otley } \\ & \text { Road } \end{aligned}$ | 882 | 220 | 1526 | 920 | $\begin{gathered} 0.95 \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | 847 | 605 | 1.9 | 10.6 | $\begin{gathered} 38.49 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | E |
| 4-A 61 W Park |  |  | 870 |  |  |  | 1503 |  |  |  |  |

17:45-18:00

| Arm | Total Deman d (PCU/hr ) | Junctio <br> n <br> Arrivals <br> (PCU) | Circulatin g flow (PCU/hr) | Capacit y (PCU/hr ) | RFC | Throughp ut (PCU/hr) | Throughp ut (exit side) (PCU/hr) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Start } \\ \text { queu } \\ \text { e } \\ \text { (PCU } \\ \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { End } \\ \text { queu } \\ \text { e } \\ \text { (PCU } \\ \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | Delay (s) | Unsignalise d level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - A61 York Place | 1725 | 431 | 222 | 2175 | $\begin{gathered} 0.79 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 1725 | 666 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 8.115 | A |
| 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 1080 | 270 | 1064 | 1349 | $\begin{gathered} 0.80 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 1079 | 883 | 3.8 | 4.0 | $\begin{gathered} 13.67 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | B |
| $3 \text { - B6162 Otley }$ Road | 882 | 220 | 1536 | 913 | $\begin{gathered} 0.96 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | 868 | 608 | 10.6 | 14.0 | $\begin{gathered} 59.72 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | F |
| 4-A 61 W Park |  |  | 888 |  |  |  | 1517 |  |  |  |  |


| Arm | Total Deman d (PCU/hr ) | Junctio <br> n Arrivals (PCU) | Circulatin g flow (PCU/hr) | Capacit y (PCU/hr ) | RFC | Throughp ut (PCU/hr) | Throughp ut (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queu e (PCU ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { End } \\ \text { queu } \\ \text { e } \\ \text { (PCU } \\ \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | Delay (s) | Unsignalise d level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - A61 York Place | 1409 | 352 | 197 | 2194 | $\begin{gathered} 0.64 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 1417 | 576 | 3.8 | 1.8 | 4.754 | A |
| 2 - A61 Leeds Rd | 882 | 220 | 874 | 1477 | $\begin{gathered} 0.59 \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | 892 | 739 | 4.0 | 1.6 | 6.438 | A |
| 3-B6162 Otley Road | 720 | 180 | 1267 | 1090 | $\begin{gathered} 0.66 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 768 | 499 | 14.0 | 2.0 | $\begin{gathered} 12.91 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | B |
| 4-A 61 W Park |  |  | 773 |  |  |  | 1262 |  |  |  |  |

18:15-18:30

| Arm | Total Deman d (PCU/hr ) | Junctio <br> n <br> Arrivals <br> (PCU) | Circulatin g flow (PCU/hr) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Capacit } \\ y \\ (\mathrm{PCU} / \mathrm{hr} \\ ) \end{gathered}$ | RFC | Throughp ut (PCU/hr) | Throughp ut (exit side) (PCU/hr) | Start queu e (PCU ) | End queu e (PCU ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dela } \\ & \text { y (s) } \end{aligned}$ | Unsignalise d level of service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 1-A61 York Place | 1180 | 295 | 155 | 2224 | 0.53 <br> 0 | 1182 | 463 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 3.52 <br> 3 | A |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-A61 Leeds Rd | 739 | 185 | 730 | 1574 | 0.46 <br> 9 | 741 | 608 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 4.46 <br> 4 | A |
| 3-B6162 Otley <br> Road | 603 | 151 | 1054 | 1229 | 0.49 <br> 1 | 607 | 417 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 5.87 <br> 3 | A |
| 4-A 61 W Park |  |  | 618 |  |  |  | 1043 |  |  |  |  |


[^0]:    VI STATIONARY PEDESTRIAN STARTS TO CROSS THE ROAD TO THE REAR OF VI JUST AS VI STARTS TO REVERSE TOWARDS OTLEY ROAD AND COLLISION OCCURS
    Occurred on MANOR DRIVE 13M N OF OTLEY ROAD HARROGATE

[^1]:    Values shown are the highest values encountered over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle.

[^2]:    Filowe show oiginal tratic demand (PCUMr).

