

## APPENDIX 5 Schedule of Key Questions

### CHAPTER 5

2. Do you agree that the Community workshop is the right way to increase the community's involvement in the project and enhance the quality of design?
3. Are there any other methods of community consultation you would recommend to us?
4. Are there any groups or other people you may think may have an interest in the proposals?
5. Are there any operational issues or restoration aspects which you believe would be of interest to the local community?
6. Are there any other methods to encourage more young people to get involved in local planning applications?
7. Are there any ways of improving the response rate to the questionnaires distributed in the local area?

### CHAPTER 6

8. Based on the preliminary design described in this chapter – do you have any comments or concerns?
9. Do you agree or disagree with the reasoning provided for the location of certain elements of the sites preliminary design? (i.e: access point, compound, overburden and soil storage, excavation area?)
10. Do you have any views on what the “buffer zones” highlighted on SR06 should be used for?
11. Do you have any views regarding the restoration principles outlined?
12. Do you think any further details should be added to those already included in the EMP?
13. Are there any elements of the site design that you would want to know more about?
14. Do you have any suggestions for ecological improvements that could be incorporated into the SBAP?

### CHAPTER 7

15. Do you agree with the Constraints highlighted?
16. Do you agree or disagree with the key issues highlighted?

17. Do you feel that other key issues have been omitted?
18. Do you have any suggestions that you would want to see included in the final restoration plan for the site?
19. Do you think that any other activities should be included within the cumulative impacts assessment?
20. Do you have any views of the sand extraction permitted at Hemscott Hill?

## CHAPTER 8

21. Do you agree that we have identified all the relevant policies against which the Highthorn proposals will need to be judged?
22. Do you agree with our interpretation of sustainable development in light of the NPPF?
23. Do you agree that the preferred options for the Northumberland Core Strategy should be accorded only less weight in the planning application?
24. Are there any other non-planning documents that should be considered in the development of the Highthorn proposals?

## CHAPTER 9

25. Do you agree that the sensitive viewpoints listed above and shown on plan SR05 are sufficient to assess the visual impact of the Highthorn proposals?  
  
Yes/No If No, Why?
26. Do you agree with the methodology outlined for the Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment?  
  
Yes/No If No, Why?
27. Do you agree with the methodology outlined for the Archaeology and cultural Heritage assessment?  
  
Yes/No If No, Why?
28. Do you agree that the ecological surveys and methods outlined are sufficient to assess the proposals?  
  
Yes/No If No, Why?
29. Do you agree with the approach and methodology for assessing the impact of the Highthorn site upon noise levels?  
  
Yes/No If No, Why?

30. Do you agree with the approach and methodology for assessing the impact of the Highthorn site upon air quality levels?

Yes/No If No, Why?

31 Do you agree with the approach and methodology for assessing blast induced vibration?

Yes/No If No, Why?

32. Do you agree that this should be the approach used for assessing the soil quality and Agricultural Land Classification of the proposed Highthorn site?

Yes/No If No, Why?

33. Do you agree that this should be the approach used for assessing the hydrological and hydrogeological impacts of the proposed Highthorn planning application?

Yes/No If No, Why?

34. Is there a justification for coastal processes to be assessed?

Yes/No If No, Why?

35. Do you agree that this should be the approach used for assessing the geotechnical impacts of the proposed Highthorn planning application?

Yes/No If No, Why?

36. Do you agree that this should be the approach used for assessing the impacts of potential stythe/mine gas generated by the proposed Highthorn planning application?

Yes/No If No, Why?

37. Do you agree that this should be the approach used for assessing the impact of the Highthorn proposal upon the surrounding road network?

Yes/No If No, Why?

38. Do you agree that the sites outlined above should for the context for a cumulative impact assessment for the Highthorn proposals?

Yes/No If No, Why?

39. Do you agree that the cumulative issues outlined above should be included in a cumulative impact assessment to accompany a planning application for the Highthorn proposals?

Yes/No If No, Why?